Whether you call it 'size and height', or 'mass, shape and scale', we reject the proposed building PLN2019-00368 because it is too massive and bulky for the placement on a substandard corner lot, on a rise, at the center of the Burnham design. It does not harmonize with our open space where the medians meet. It does not respect the adjacent one-story cottages privacy, nor how it takes away ocean views from 5 households.

There should be no variances granted for setbacks and parking as long as this is designed as a two-story building.

We are 7 households within the 300' neighborhood holding this opinion. We have also asked for input from other El Granada residents: <u>ipetitions.com/petition/elgranada</u>, and you can read the comments below where many point to how it impairs the visual harmony by being too big.

	May 11, 2020
I've lived in El Granada on the Alameda for over 25 year proposed plans for this structure; they do not conform: setbacks and height requirements set out for the neight two-story structure is adjacent to two single story home height variation relative to the adjacent homes will dest the neighborhood. The proposed setback and height of insensitive to the existing residents and their reasons for neighborhood. Although development in the area may lead include neglect and disregard of setback, height and de our community. As a resident of the neighborhood, I rel Design Review to conscientiously apply the existing sett for this structure and all development in the neighborhood community harmony.	to the existing borhood. The proposed is and its extreme visual roy the visual harmony of this structure are or purchasing in the be inevitable, it must not sign standards set out for y on the County and lack and height standards bod to promote visual and
VICTORIA A PIOCK	May 01, 202

visual harmony of the existing neighborhood; the plans as proposed should not be allowed to go forward.		
Nancy Kennedy	Apr 11.	

Owner, 578 The Alameda.	16
Carlotta Lincoln	Apr 08, 2020
for a contract of the contract	

The height and mass of this proposed building is disruptively out of scale with its surroundings, and looks to distract from the overall appearance and harmony of the setting, it also significantly invades and compromises the privacy of its immediate neighbor and Development shall be located, sited and designed to carefully fit its environment so that its presence is subordinated to the maximum extent practicable.

Pepper Mcquarrie Apr 05, 2020

Coming from a family in real estate, A real estate appraiser for 40 years myself locally I am continually amazed that America is not equal for all. Our family has never asked for a variance, we would never be given anything we were denied any permit we ever tried to get. If you're not a contractor don't bother trying we have never bothered our neighbors. It's so difficult to live in California the last thing we need is for rich people being given these variances for substandard lots that should not be built on. There is no excuse to allow building on a substandard lot 2500 feet is not a lot. I have seen all over the coast variance being given that are absurd. You could line up thousands of people and none of us would get a variance, we should not. We make the laws, we make the regulations, we protect the community that we love. People come in from outside our area ignore our laws and regulations and the standards of our lots. I have been a real estate appraiser for 40 years and rarely saw anything like this, it should not be allowed. The permit should not be allowed, variance should not be given. What is the point in having a law when it doesn't count for builders contractors and the rich. I have watched the coast side turn into a garbage dump filled with people that tell me they want sidewalks, bright lights, and they hate fields. why do they move here? I've asked that they want to pave in every inch of the coastline. I don't understand that. What happened to the coastal commission? Why are they building on the coast line? It looks like Detroit the smog will come next. The World War II people protected our towns from greedy overlords as they are dying off everyone else says it's not my problem I won't get involved I'm too busy. They all move away in a year anyway I to breaks my heart. We are attracting greedy people worldwide. Someone has to protect the coastline. Obviously bribes are involved. They come in to town and only every 2500 square-foot lot and get variances on all of them how is that possible? Could

	Apr	04, 20
Scale this way the heck down! Two stories when the other homes arour are not? Don't clog up El Granada with this monstrosity!	nd it	± 1 -
Anne Ingraham	Apr	02, 20
Oversized building for the lot, especially with these setback plans.		± 1]•
Anonymous	Mar	30, 20
do not approve of this scale and design. It does not fit with the nature the surrounding location.		
Anonymous	Mar	30, 20
Very bad idea, everyone needs to be held to the same rules for building	Ţ.	sé 1
Caroline Bengtson	Mar	30, 20
Get a deal on the lot, then overbuild and make everyone else miserable Seems unneighborly to me, and a money grab.	÷.	ıú 1
Gregg Dieguez	Mar	30, 20
Who bribed who to allow this? That's an unbuildable lot, and you're ruing the neighborhood to enrich a lot owner and the real estate industry. You must deny this variance!		₫ 1
Anonymous	Mar	30, 20
We oppose this 2 story duplex		ié 1
DARIA MALDONADO-KNAPP	Mar	30, 20
		ié 1
It is a disgrace that this is even happening!		
It is a disgrace that this is even happening! Suzanne Elliott	Mar	30, 20
	Mar	30, 20
Suzanne Elliott		
Suzanne Elliott Way too large for the lot and unbelievably close to the existing cottage.	Mar	4 2
Suzanne Elliott Way too large for the lot and unbelievably close to the existing cottage. Patrice Dougherty Please stop the building of this structure! It does not belong here and w	Mar vill	4 2
Suzanne Elliott Way too large for the lot and unbelievably close to the existing cottage. Patrice Dougherty Please stop the building of this structure! It does not belong here and whange the serenity of El Granada!	Mar vill	29, 20 1 1
Suzanne Elliott Way too large for the lot and unbelievably close to the existing cottage. Patrice Dougherty Please stop the building of this structure! It does not belong here and vchange the serenity of El Granada! Patricia Chambers The structure is too large for the building site and the character of the	Mar vill Mar	± 29, 20. ± 1 ± 29, 20.
Suzanne Elliott Way too large for the lot and unbelievably close to the existing cottage. Patrice Dougherty Please stop the building of this structure! It does not belong here and when the serenity of El Granada! Patricia Chambers The structure is too large for the building site and the character of the neighborhood.	Mar Mar Mar	29, 200 do 1 4
Suzanne Elliott Way too large for the lot and unbelievably close to the existing cottage. Patrice Dougherty Please stop the building of this structure! It does not belong here and v change the serenity of El Granada! Patricia Chambers The structure is too large for the building site and the character of the neighborhood. Bryan Baldwin It will end up being a hazard! Out of place. Very bad placement for a building like that. Another small cottage, as its predecessing, neighboricottages would even be a tight fit. And, a small cottage would be much suitable than a structure like that. Lot is too small for a building of that suitable than a structure like that. Lot is too small for a building of that	Mar Mar Mar struc	29, 200 do 1 4

Marcia S Miriarty	Mar 29, 2
l believe laws and zoning and building regulations should be uniformly applied.	ıú
kim dailey	Mar 29, 2
Not appropriate in scale, zoning or design standards conformity.	ıú
Susan Casey	Mar 29, 2
I am a resident of El Granada and object to this size house built on suct small lot. This sets a horrible precedent and should never be approved the planning commission. They are breaking their own rules which hav place for decades.	by
Donald McMann	Mar 29, 2
This is out of context for the neighborhood and the variances granted value only allow further degradation of the building requirements of the area.	
Anne B Wright	Mar 29, 2
This project is completely out of character for the location, especially w cottages alongside. It's overbuilding on a lot never meant for such a monstrosity. Please reconsider.	ith 🤞
Lisa Kochman	M 20 1
It is insulting to see that this new structure will be allowed to build with	
It is insulting to see that this new structure will be allowed to build with feet of the property line. This setback should not be allowed. Also, ther horrid unarticulatied design, so it is one long straight wall running the I the entire building. There is massing. It is quite clear that whoever gran setbacks has not even seen the property in person.	in 3 🦸 e is a ength of
It is insulting to see that this new structure will be allowed to build with feet of the property line. This setback should not be allowed. Also, ther horrid unarticulatied design, so it is one long straight wall running the I the entire building. There is massing, It is quite clear that whoever gran	in 3 🦸 e is a ength of
It is insulting to see that this new structure will be allowed to build with feet of the property line. This setback should not be allowed. Also, ther horrid unarticulatied design, so it is one long straight wall running the I the entire building. There is massing. It is quite clear that whoever gran setbacks has not even seen the property in person.	e is a ength of ented the
It is insulting to see that this new structure will be allowed to build with feet of the property line. This setback should not be allowed. Also, ther horrid unarticulatied design, so it is one long straight wall running the I the entire building. There is massing, It is quite clear that whoever gransetbacks has not even seen the property in person. Marina Paap Under no circumstances 3 feet setbacks should be allowed ANYWHERE	e is a ength of ented the
It is insulting to see that this new structure will be allowed to build with feet of the property line. This setback should not be allowed. Also, ther horrid unarticulatide design, so it is one long straight wall running the I the entire building. There is massing. It is quite clear that whoever gran setbacks has not even seen the property in person. Marina Paap Under no circumstances 3 feet setbacks should be allowed ANYWHERE the coast	e is a ength of ited the
It is insulting to see that this new structure will be allowed to build with feet of the property line. This setback should not be allowed. Also, ther horrid unarticulatied design, so it is one long straight wall running the I the entire building. There is massing. It is quite clear that whoever gran setbacks has not even seen the property in person. Marina Paap Under no circumstances 3 feet setbacks should be allowed ANYWHERE the coast Michael J Ahern El Granada is special because of the open space. Setbacks need to be	e is a ength of ited the
It is insulting to see that this new structure will be allowed to build with feet of the property line. This setback should not be allowed. Also, ther horrid unarticulatied design, so it is one long straight wall running the I the entire building. There is massing, I is quite clear that whoever gran setbacks has not even seen the property in person. Marina Paap Under no circumstances 3 feet setbacks should be allowed ANYWHERE the coast Michael J Ahern El Granada is special because of the open space. Setbacks need to be substantial.	in 3 de is a ength of ited the Mar 29, 2 de Mar 20, 2 de
It is insulting to see that this new structure will be allowed to build with feet of the property line. This setback should not be allowed. Also, ther horrid unarticulatied design, so it is one long straight wall running the I the entire building. There is massing. It is quite clear that whoever gran setbacks has not even seen the property in person. Marina Paap Under no circumstances 3 feet setbacks should be allowed ANYWHERE the coast Michael J Ahern El Granada is special because of the open space. Setbacks need to be substantial. Barbara J Hedrick This structure is not fitting in our El Granada neighborhood. It is much	in 3 de is a ength of ited the Mar 29, 2 de Mar 20, 2 de
It is insulting to see that this new structure will be allowed to build with feet of the property line. This setback should not be allowed. Also, ther horrid unarticulatied design, so it is one long straight wall running the I the entire building. There is massing, it is quite clear that whoever gran setbacks has not even seen the property in person. Marina Paap Under no circumstances 3 feet setbacks should be allowed ANYWHERE the coast Michael J Ahern El Granada is special because of the open space. Setbacks need to be substantial. Barbara J Hedrick This structure is not fitting in our El Granada neighborhood. It is much large on a substandard lot.	in 3 de is a ength of ited the Mar 29, 1 de Mar 29, 2 de
It is insulting to see that this new structure will be allowed to build with feet of the property line. This setback should not be allowed. Also, ther horrid unarticulatied design, so it is one long straight wall running the I the entire building. There is massing, it is quite clear that whoever gran setbacks has not even seen the property in person. Marina Paap Under no circumstances 3 feet setbacks should be allowed ANYWHERE the coast Michael J Ahern El Granada is special because of the open space. Setbacks need to be substantial. Barbara J Hedrick This structure is not fitting in our El Granada neighborhood. It is much large on a substandard lot. Judith Brown	in 3 de is a ength of ited the Mar 29, 2 de Mar 29, 2 de Mar 29, 2 too de Mar 29, 2
It is insulting to see that this new structure will be allowed to build with feet of the property line. This setback should not be allowed. Also, ther horrid unarticulatied design, so it is one long straight wall running the I the entire building. There is massing, I tis quite clear that whoever gran setbacks has not even seen the property in person. Marina Paap Under no circumstances 3 feet setbacks should be allowed ANYWHERE the coast Michael J Ahern El Granada is special because of the open space. Setbacks need to be substantial. Barbara J Hedrick This structure is not fitting in our El Granada neighborhood. It is much large on a substandard lot. Judith Brown What an eyesore!	Mar 29, 2

in compliance with Midcoast Design Standards.

Suzanne DeSelover - Sallie	Mar 28, 202
This building does not fit in with this area. Overbearing. Stop density or coast!	the 🤞
Anonymous	Mar 28, 20
Substandard lots should not be granted for development period!	rde
Jeremiah Armstrong	Mar 28, 20
Completely out of scale for that street and one car garages should not acceptable.	be 🔞
EDWIN FERRAN	Mar 28, 20
Awful.	ıú
Alice Keeton	Mar 28, 20
Foo high And too many concessions There are other non conforming lots in the area granted with exceptio nothing like that	ns but
John Lincoln	Mar 26, 20
This project is TOO HIGH for the neighborhood. Was public input ever sought? I never saw it, and I live nearby. Please make sure this is only One store high. Thank you.	ofe
Alicia Addis	Mar 24, 20
know we need housing on the coast but that is a big duplex for a small	all lot. 🦽
James balouan	Mar 24, 20
$\!$	ocean 🧉
Cissy Baloian	Mar 24, 20
One story please! This is greed!!! f this building is allowed , more will follow !! Help us save el granada!	ú
Jean Knight	Mar 23, 20
The proposed design clearly severely impacts the immediate neighbor crushing extent. I sympathize with the challenge of designing for a substandard lot, but this design so totally disregards the neighbors that be denied. We urge one story only.	
Michael Cadigan	Mar 21, 20
28 year resident and home owner	di
Ben Bower	Mar 21, 20
Resident for almost 7 years	ıú
Molly Romm	Mar 21, 20

This proposed project will completely block my partial ocean view

Mar 28, 2020

Ravn miller