Janneth Lujan - PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING MAY 13 ITEM 2

From:	Janet Davis <jadjadjad@sbcglobal.net></jadjadjad@sbcglobal.net>
To:	"Jlujan@smcgov.org" <jlujan@smcgov.org>, Manuel Ramirez</jlujan@smcgov.org>
Date:	5/4/2015 2:03 PM
Subject:	PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING MAY 13 ITEM 2
CC:	Lennie Roberts <lennie@darwin.ptvy.ca.us>, Ginger Holt <ginger@me.com></ginger@me.com></lennie@darwin.ptvy.ca.us>

I most strongly object to this sloppy revision of the provision for several reasons.

1. The "**Planning Director**" whoever that might be now or in the future, does not have the educational background to make informed decisions regarding flooding issues. Plus, according to the Ordinances his/her decisions can be delegated to someone even less qualified to make them. There used to be a Flood Control District with an engineer in charge, and even then totally foolish planning decisions were made in flood zones.

2. FEMA has not yet mapped or updated their maps in all areas. A good example is Stanford Weekend Acres which is a very well documented historical flood plain.

3. The General Plan requires decisions to be made regarding flooding hazards **not only in FEMA designated spots**, but in areas of inundation, such as along San Francisquito Creek. Utterly foolish decisions have already been made by the County with respect to homes on Sneckner, Bishop Court, Bishop Lane, Homer Lane, Wildwood Lane and Stowe Lane.

4. The Stanford Weekend Acres area is marked on the General Plan Hazard maps as an area of inundation because of the controversial Searsville Dam which may or may not be demolished, but which is likely to cause excessive siltation downstream and exacerbate the flood potential.

5. Despite there having been a Creek/flood protection Resolution in place since 1969, the County has steadfastly ignored it, allowing some extremely expensive homes to be built right in the flood plain **AND** has allowed myriad storm drains and purported detention systems that increase the flood potential downstream.

This so-called revision is not ready for prime time and needs to be reviewed by people qualified to understand the issues involved in flooding - or all types.

From:	Planning-Commission
To:	hhardy@smcgov.org
Date:	5/4/2015 2:08 PM
Subject:	Fwd: Fw: PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING MAY 13 ITEM 2

>>> Janet Davis <jadjadjad@sbcglobal.net> 05/04/15 14:08 >>>

----- Forwarded Message -----From: Janet Davis <jadjadjad@sbcglobal.net> To: "Jlujan@smcgov.org" <Jlujan@smcgov.org>; Manuel Ramirez "planning-commission@smcorg.gov" <planning-commission@smcorg.gov>; Don Horsley <dhorsley@smcgov.org>; Dave Pine <dpine@smcgov.org> Cc: Lennie Roberts <lennie@darwin.ptvy.ca.us>; Ginger Holt <ginger@me.com> Sent: Monday, May 4, 2015 2:03 PM

Subject: PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING MAY 13 ITEM 2

I most strongly object to this sloppy revision of the provision for several reasons.1. The "Planning Director" whoever that might be now or in the future, does not have the educational background to make informed decisions regarding flooding issues. Plus, according to the Ordinances his/her decisions can be delegated to someone even less gualified to make them. There used to be a Flood Control District with an engineer in charge, and even then totally foolish planning decisions were made in flood zones.2. FEMA has not yet mapped or updated their maps in all areas. A good example is Stanford Weekend Acres which is a very well documented historical flood plain.3. The General Plan requires decisions to be made regarding flooding hazards not only in FEMA designated spots, but in areas of inundation, such as along San Francisquito Creek. Utterly foolish decisions have already been made by the County with respect to homes on Sneckner, Bishop Court, Bishop Lane, Homer Lane, Wildwood Lane and Stowe Lane.4. The Stanford Weekend Acres area is marked on the General Plan Hazard maps as an area of inundation because of the controversial Searsville Dam which may or may not be demolished, but which is likely to cause excessive siltation downstream and exacerbate the flood potential.5. Despite there having been a Creek/flood protection Resolution in place since 1969, the County has steadfastly ignored it, allowing some extremely expensive homes to be built right in the flood plain AND has allowed myriad storm drains and purported detention systems that increase the flood potential downstream. This so-called revision is not ready for prime time and needs to be reviewed by people qualified to understand the issues involved in flooding - or all types.