

[REDACTED]

From: John Bologna <jbologna@smcgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, November 10, 2021 3:53 PM
To: Lisa Aozasa <laozasa@smcgov.org>
Subject: RE: Appeal & Request for Hearing APN 048-076-120 (VIO 2017-00054 & PLN 2018-00426)

Just did it. Have a good weekend!

John

From: Lisa Aozasa <laozasa@smcgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, November 10, 2021 3:48 PM
To: John Bologna <jbologna@smcgov.org>
Cc: ATLANTA VELGADO <avelgado@smcgov.org>
Subject: Fw: Appeal & Request for Hearing APN 048-076-120 (VIO 2017-00054 & PLN 2018-00426)

Hello --

Can you guys upload this to the vio case -- VIO2017-00054). Thank you!

Lisa Aozasa, Deputy Director
San Mateo County
Planning & Building Department

From: Tejinder singh [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, September 22, 2021 7:14 AM
To: Lisa Aozasa <laozasa@smcgov.org>
Cc: Steve Monowitz <smonowitz@smcgov.org>; John Bologna <jbologna@smcgov.org>
Subject: Re: Appeal & Request for Hearing APN 048-076-120 (VIO 2017-00054 & PLN 2018-00426)


CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Hi Lisa,

It is not clear to me, my family and our neighbors, why the Planning Dept. has suddenly decided to start the beating-up of this dead horse.

As stated at the back of the citation, I am appealing the citation and requesting a hearing before a neutral Hearing Officer. Please see attached my appeal and request for hearing.

I will appreciate if you would please acknowledge the receipt of this email and the attached Appeal and Request for Hearing.

Thanks
With Kind Regards
TJ Singh


On Sep 8, 2021, at 11:46 AM, Lisa Aozasa <laozasa@smcgov.org> wrote:

Hello --

I received your email of 8/6/2021, responding to my email below and the Notice of Violation dated 7/8/2021. I was on vacation, but have now had time to review your response, which included information about why you wish to keep the fence and why you still believe it qualifies for a Coastal Development Exemption (CDX). As stated previously, your application for a CDX has already been considered and denied twice by the Community Development Director, so if you wish to keep the fence, you must now apply for a Coastal Development Permit. Per Zoning Regulations Section 6328.9(c), that application will be considered by the Planning Commission, and you will have the opportunity to present the information you recently included in your response to the Commission for their consideration.

Since you have to date not submitted the required CDP permit application, you remain in violation of Zoning Regulation Section 6328.4. To resolve the violation, please pay the fine of \$100 and submit a CDP application on or before 9/22/2021 as shown on the attached Citation (also sent via regular mail). The CDP application materials can be found here:

<https://planning.smcgov.org/coastal-development-permit-hearing-level>

[Coastal Development Permit \(Hearing-Level\) | Planning and Building](https://planning.smcgov.org/coastal-development-permit-hearing-level)

Coastal Development regulations are designed to balance the desire of property owners to develop their property within the coastal zone with the protection of the coastal environment. A hearing-level Coastal Development Permit (CDP) is required when a project does not meet the criteria for an exemption and is located in the Coastal Commission appeals jurisdiction or involves a

planning.smcgov.org

Please note that the permit application fee is also due at the time of submittal as follows, per the Planning Service Fee Schedule:

\$6,978 (CDP Public Hearing Level fee \$3489 x 2 for "after the fact permit")
\$ 136 (Public Noticing)
\$ 355.70 (5% Legal Counsel Surcharge)
\$7,469.70 (Total)

Please contact me if you have any questions about the CDP requirements or process, or John Bologna (cc'd above) about the code enforcement process.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Lisa Aozasa, Deputy Director
San Mateo County
Planning & Building Department

From: Lisa Aozasa
Sent: Thursday, July 8, 2021 4:40 PM
To: Tejinder singh [REDACTED]
Cc: Steve Monowitz <smonowitz@smcgov.org>; John Bologna <jbologna@smcgov.org>
Subject: Unpermitted Fence at APN 048-076-120 (VIO 2017-00054 & PLN 2018-00426)

Hello --

I'm writing to let you know that Code Compliance is moving forward with this violation case again, since it remains unresolved. As you may recall, your application for a Coastal Development Permit Exemption (CDX) to legalize the fence was denied, then reconsidered at your request by the Community Development Director. However, credible information supporting your contention that the fence was eligible for a CDX as an "addition to an existing structure" was not provided, and the fence does not qualify for an exemption under any other category, so the CDX is again denied. A Coastal Development

Permit (CDP) is required to legalize the fence. You can find the information you need to apply for the CDP on our website here:

<https://planning.smcgov.org/coastal-development-permit-hearing-level>

Coastal Development Permit (Hearing-Level) | Planning and Building

Coastal Development regulations are designed to balance the desire of property owners to develop their property within the coastal zone with the protection of the coastal environment. A hearing-level Coastal Development Permit (CDP) is required when a project does not meet the criteria for an exemption and is located in the Coastal Commission appeals jurisdiction or involves a

planning.smcgov.org

Please plan to submit your application prior to August 9, 2021, per the deadline given on the attached Notice of Violation (also sent via regular mail). Please contact me if you have any questions about the CDP requirements or process, or John Bologna (cc'd above) about the code enforcement process. Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Best,

Lisa Aozasa, Deputy Director
San Mateo County
Planning & Building Department
<VIO2017-00054 1st Citation 9.8.2021.pdf>