## **Camille Leung**

From: Camille Leung

**Sent:** Friday, March 6, 2020 4:34 PM

**To:** Tad Sanders

**Subject:** RE: 655 Miramar - Setback question

Hi Tad,

Good, a 6-feet high fence in the side yard (which does not adjoin a street) will work. Just make sure the fence height drops to 4-feet when it enters any setback adjoining a street.

The CDX should take no more than 3-4 days.

## **Thanks**

**From:** Tad Sanders [mailto:tad@tsconsultingcpa.com]

Sent: Friday, March 06, 2020 3:30 PM

To: Camille Leung <cleung@smcgov.org>
Subject: FW: 655 Miramar - Setback question

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

## Hi Camille,

Nice to catch up yesterday although the topic could have been better! I found the old email and attachment our architect worked through with Angela Chavez in your office in 2016. The attachment shows that the area where want to put the fence was designated as a side yard. Our team then used this information to develop the property given these parameters. Based on this I will work on the CDX for the fence and get it submitted as soon as possible. We have been intending to start the fence on 03/27 due to the timing of our surveyor. I will try to get the CDX filed as soon as possible. Is this enough time to get the permit issued?

Thank you for your time and guidance on all this yesterday,

Tad

From: Angela Chavez <a href="mailto:achavez@smcgov.org">achavez@smcgov.org</a>

**Sent:** Friday, August 5, 2016 10:46 AM **To:** Chip Smith <<u>chip@verdigris.com</u>>

Cc: Tad Sanders <tad@tsconsultingcpa.com>; Brian F Farnsworth <bri>derian@verdigris.com>

Subject: RE: 655 Miramar - Setback question

## Chip,

I have reviewed the survey along with senior staff and determined the setback determination for parcel 048-076-130 (655 Miramar). I have marked up the survey with our determination (see attached pdf).

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely, Angela Chavez, Planner III County of San Mateo Planning and Building Department 455 County Center, 2nd Flr Redwood City, CA 94063 (650) 599-7217 (O) (650) 363-4849 (F)

From: cs3mail@gmail.com [mailto:cs3mail@gmail.com] On Behalf Of Chip Smith

**Sent:** Monday, August 01, 2016 5:52 PM **To:** Angela Chavez <a href="mailto:sachavez@smcgov.org">achavez@smcgov.org</a>

Subject: 655 Miramar - Setback question

Hello,

Thanks again for calling me back today.

Here is the new survey and I have put red lines in to suggest the setbacks. Now that I look at the survey and can see the paper street that you were mentioning, I see which line you were considering the front.

I do not know if it will make a difference, but the driveway for this property will be coming in from the tank side, over the easement as this is both the existing, dirt driveway to the property and also the most practical since the paper street would need too steep a drive, I believe.

My hope is that we could work with side yard setbacks given the open space on all other sides.

Apologies for the sketchy lines, but I hope they convey the idea.

Thank you.

Chip

Charles L Smith III | Verdigris, Patina & Rust, Inc | 30 Caledonia Street, Suite 4 | Sausalito, CA 94965 | 415.575.4901 T | 415.324.5883 F | www.verdigris.com