RE: 655 Miramar

Tue 7/21/2020 10:30 AM

To: Lisa Aozasa <laozasa@smcgov.org>

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Hi Lisa,

I am surprised you cannot access Accela when I can. Oh well. In any event, I have inserted some comments/responses in red below.

Thanks



From: Lisa Aozasa < laozasa@smcgov.org>
Sent: Friday, July 17, 2020 2:48 PM

To:

Subject: Re: 655 Miramar

Hello --

I don't have access to our Accela system right now, but nothing has changed since I updated the planning case some months ago. The fence requires a Coastal Development Permit to legalize it; the property owner has made an argument that it could qualify for a Coastal Development Exemption instead. The Director hasn't made a final determination on that, and the case remains a low priority for the Department, as there is not a significant public health and safety issue raised by the fence. I do not agree that there is not a safety issue at risk since their fences prohibit access by fire truck and other emergency service vehicles to property. I certainly hope a need does not arise. If they are granted an audience of the Director, then I believe I should be entitled to attend such meeting to speak on our behalf and in the name of the truth.

The allegations of water theft are new, and have not been investigated by this Department. I have no information, nor have requested Code Enforcement do anything with regard to the water theft. My comments about the water system on their property are to provide the truth about the ownership of the water system. They have asserted the fences are needed to protect the water system. My point is that it is water system, not their's. Once again they are raising a non-issue and one they certainly cannot prove. Ask them to provide a billing statement or an affirmative statement from CCWD that their property has water service; it does not. I suggest you follow up with CCWD who as I understand it are the owners of the water tank. Again, I am not sure why I should follow up with CCWD. With regard to the trees planted in the easement, if it is a private easement, then it is a civil issue. The planting of trees (with some few exceptions) does not violate any zoning regulations.

I honestly don't mean to be unsympathetic to your plight with your neighbors -- I understand it has been a very trying situation. But the Department's involvement is only with regard to violations of zoning and building regulations, and there are many code compliance cases pending that raise more immediate concerns. Given that it has been over three years that the county has sat on their hands on this issue, we expect the county to act. You are allowing these people to violate health and safety issues and they are putting it in your face. Perhaps if you lived there you would feel differently.

Regards --

Lisa Aozasa
Deputy Director
SMC Planning & Building Department

From:

Sent: Tuesday, July 7, 2020 12:11 PM **To:** Lisa Aozasa < <u>laozasa@smcgov.org</u>>

Subject: FW: 655 Miramar

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Hi Lisa,

I am again following up to understand what Code Enforcement is doing about VIO2017-00054 and by connection PLN2018-00426 and the lies the owner of 048-076-120 are perpetrating against us and San Mateo County officials. Please note that this violation condition has existed since the complaint in February 2017. I have provided clear evidence of their lies in early 2020 and have heard nothing from you or from anybody else with the County. Please let me know when enforcement will begin. At this rate, the county could have racked up thousands in fees, for lack of compliance, at a minimum.

From:

Sent: Tuesday, April 7, 2020 3:09 PM **To:** Lisa Aozasa < <u>laozasa@smcgov.org</u>>

Subject: FW: 655 Miramar

Hi Lisa

I'm just following up on the email below having not heard from the County and with no updates to the PLN2018-00426 or VIO2017-00054.

Thanks



From:

Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2020 2:01 PM **To:** Lisa Aozasa < laozasa@smcgov.org >

Cc:

Subject: 655 Miramar

Hi Lisa,

I checked the status of the Violation complaint #VIO2017-00054 and saw that it referenced PLN2018-00426. I have attached a copy of both reports for your convenience.

I read through the details added on 2/7/2020 and want to provide you some facts about the water pump/backflow device. Namely, that water pump belongs to and services and services. It does not belong to the Singhs or TEG. Furthermore, we had a water spigot removed from it when we found out they were stealing water from us to irrigate plants they put in the easement that further reduced our access to our property. I can prove our ownership; please note the last page of the attachment is a copy of our latest water bill for the property. If their allegation is true, then they can provide a bill for their water service; we know that iss

impossible. We learned through three years of litigation that the Singhs have no respect for the truth and will say whatever they feel will support their goals. They have no legal basis to claim the water service is theirs and they cannot prove it. Finally, that water service was installed, I believe, in 1978 when the house was built so it existed for approximately 39 years, before these fences were installed, without issue. Their claim that these illegal fences provide security for the water pump is ridiculous and simply another falsehood they are perpetrating.

The second attachment is a picture of their illegal access to our water. The last attachment, while fuzzy, shows our water pump, their illegal hose attached to our water pump, and the additional plants they installed within our easement to further impair our access to our property.

We are asking the County to do the right thing and force them to remove their illegal fences and levy fines on them for their continued non-compliance with your order to remove them or resolve the issue in September of 2018. Please email or call me should you want to discuss this matter further. Thank you for your time,

