

Brenda Bennett

From: Bruce Liu <moosefly24@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2016 3:07 PM
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org
Cc: Alisa.Somera@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org; PARKS_Commission; Marlene Finley
Subject: I support the passing of SFBOS #160183 for improved public access to SFPUC's Peninsula Watershed

(Ms. Somera, Please include my comments below as part of the public record.)

Dear Supervisors Cohen, Peskin, and Wiener, and Mayor Lee:

I would like to enthusiastically express my support for improved public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed. This issue is very important to me and my friends. The public has been closed off from the Watershed's scenic, historical and recreational experiences for too long. Please do what you can to help achieve access reform.

I support the resolution (SFBOS file # 160183) to allow responsible access to the SFPUC watershed lands over existing service road such as Fifield-Cahill Ridge, Pilarcitos Road, Whiting Ridge, Old Cañada, and to historical sites for the following reasons:

- Access to the watershed's existing dirt roads would allow hikers, cyclists and equestrians to visit the area's largest and most scenic unused, publicly held open space, integrating National, State and County parklands across the San Francisco Peninsula.
- At this time there are no significant, scientific concerns over water security, fire safety or environmental stewardship. Prior to opening a complete environmental investigation will surface any environmental issues that need to be addressed.
- The "trails", dirt roads actually, are currently used by SFPUC trucks on a daily basis. They could easily be designated as a trail system, much as is done in the Marin County and Santa Clara County Watersheds.

Please also retire the "mandatory docent system". There are times when we will need to enjoy these lands with out being forced into a large group. This approach works well in other watershed lands across the state, there's no reason it shouldn't work on the Peninsula.

Please pass Resolution 160183 and require SFPUC to work cooperatively with San Mateo County to improve access to the watershed for all.

Thank you for your continued public service.
-- Bruce Liu, San Francisco

Brenda Bennett

From: Sifu Andy Howse <wingtsun650@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2016 10:48 PM
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; alisa.somera@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org
Cc: Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; Jane.Kim@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; PARKS_Commission; ATissier@smcgov.org; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum
Subject: Please SUPPORT Resolution 160183 on expanded public access in the SF Watershed - Please include my voice in the public record

Dear Supervisors Cohen, Peskin and Wiener,

Please include my voice in the public record.

I had my part to play in the resolution being considered today. Before starting the Open the SF Watershed Facebook page in March of 2014. For quite a few years I deeply into the topic of the Crystal Springs "SF" Watershed. I was astounded by the fascinating and important local history and localities that much of a public were, and remain, totally unaware of. The most important historical locations in the state of California are on this SFPUC property. Bours Bourn and William Ralson made sure of that. Alarming to me through my research. It seemed clear that the SF Water Dept has had a for decades of purposely kept the public unaware as possible about this property. And therefore it's significant history and sites. In my mind this seemed to be in egregious crime against our shared cultural heritage. I was also astounded that as an issue, in March of 2014. This was something no one was talking about, or had approached in a public forum for over a decade.

Certainly I do consider myself an environmentalist. And so I was taken aback when voices from organizations I respect raised there ire against the mere thought of access reform. Since then they have a launched quite a few arguments. Arguments that ignore the fact that CA CEQA requires studies to move forward and decide access qualifications for any access reforms within the Watershed on a scientific basis. From that regard the arguments they allay are in fact anti-science fear mongering.

Recently and very telling is a line they have recently started using in their argumentation: "The SF Watershed is not a park, and never should be a park". This is wonderful turn of events because it finally gets to the real heart of the argument. This is for them because of CEQA law not a logical argument, this has become a philosophical argument.

Philosophically I believe the watershed should be integrated into the greater San Francisco Peninsula park system. After all the gravel road network pre-dates any barbwire fences and green signs as it dates back to the 1860s. It is the same network that flows through so much other open space parkland, and connected the once aggression society of our past. Philosophically the voices of decent do not agree. They say this is a special place just for nature. Meaning that open space parkland as an idea, the model for environmental protection and public stewardship, simply does not apply here.

If there is a convergence of differing philosophies. Say cultural heritage and history versus environmental protection versus recreational activities for example. Then it seems to me there is a balance to be struck. Scientific review is the perfect and only real vehicle for that balance. And as I noted before it happens to be mandated by law to occur before any trail reforms can happen. That is why the voices of decent against this resolution are wrong.

But they are also wrong about something else. In decades past there have been other democratic efforts to increase access in our SF Watershed. These efforts have always ebbed and flowed, and each time started again anew. The SFPUC for decades employed bureaucratic efforts to stall and wait. When the voices for access faded away, no real reforms ever occurred. The advent of social media changes this calculus totally. No matter the outcome of the resolution today. Open the SF Watershed as an organization, as a movement and as an idea is not going away and the zenith is very far off. It will only continue to get stronger, the grassroots have taken too strong a hold.

Thank you for your public service,

-Andy Howse

5th generation San Franciscan
Residing in San Bruno

--

Sifu Andy Howse

[650 867 0470](tel:6508670470)

WingTsun650@Gmail.com

Class Times every Monday & Wednesday

Wing Tsun 6:30-8:00

Escrima 8-9:15

Class Location

Inside the Jr.Gym

South B Street

San Mateo, CA

Extra or early training in Central Park, weather permitting.

Website

www.wingsun650.com

Facebook

www.facebook.com/baymountainsanmateo

Brenda Bennett

From: Sifu Andy Howse <wingtsun650@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2016 11:07 PM
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; alisa.somera@sfgov.org; Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; Jane.Kim@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org; PARKS_Commission; ATissier@smcgov.org; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum
Subject: Please SUPPORT Resolution 160183 on expanded public access in the SF Watershed - Please include my voice in the public record (this version)

Dear Supervisors Cohen, Peskin and Wiener,

Please include this email in the public record.

I had my part to play in the resolution being considered today. Before starting the Open the SF Watershed [Facebook page in March of 2014](#). For quite a few years I deeply into the topic of the Crystal Springs "SF" Watershed. I was astounded by the fascinating and important local history and localities that much of a public were, and remain, totally unaware of. The most important historical locations in the state of California are on this SFPUC property. Bours Bourn and William Ralson made sure of that. Alarming to me through my research. It seemed clear that the SF Water Dept has had a for decades of purposely kept the public unaware as possible* about this property. And therefore it's significant history and historical and cultural heritage sites. In my mind this seemed to be in egregious crime against our shared cultural heritage. I was also astounded that as an issue, in March of 2014. This was something no one was talking about, or had approached in a public forum for over a decade.

Certainly I do consider myself an environmentalist. And so I was taken-a-back when voices from organizations I respect raised their ire against the mere thought of access reform. Since then they have a launched quite a few arguments to fight the reform effort. Arguments that essentially ignore the fact that CA CEQA requires scientific studies to move forward and decide access qualifications for any access reforms within the Watershed, and make decisions on a scientific basis. From that regard the arguments they allay are in fact anti-science fear mongering.

Recently and very telling is a line of rhetoric they have started using in their argumentation: "*The SF Watershed is not a park, and never should be a park*". This is wonderful turn of events because it finally gets to the real heart of the argument. This is for them because of CEQA law not a logical argument, this has become a philosophical argument.

Philosophically I believe the watershed should be integrated into the greater San Francisco Peninsula park system. After all the gravel road network pre-dates any barbwire fences and green signs as it dates back to the 1860s. It is the same network that flows through so much other open space parkland, and connected the once agrarian society of our past. The voices of decent do not agree. They say "*this is a special place just for nature*". Meaning that open space parkland as an idea, [the model](#) for environmental protection and public stewardship, simply does not apply here.

When there is a convergence of differing philosophies. Say cultural heritage and history versus environmental

protection versus recreational activities for example. Then it seems to me there is a need for a balance to be struck. Scientific review is the perfect and only real vehicle for that balance. And as I noted before it happens to be mandated by law to occur before any trail reforms can happen. That is why the voices of decent against this resolution are wrong.

But they are also wrong about something else. In decades past there have been other democratic efforts to increase access in our SF Watershed. These efforts have always ebbed and flowed, and each time started again anew. The SFPUC for decades employed bureaucratic efforts to stall and wait. When the voices for access faded away, no real reforms ever occurred. The advent of social media changes this calculus totally. No matter the outcome of the resolution today. Open the SF Watershed as an organization, as a movement and as an idea is not going away and the zenith is very far off. It will only continue to get stronger, the grassroots have taken too strong a hold.

Thank you for your public service,

-Andy Howse
5th generation San Franciscan
Residing in San Bruno

**... "I contacted them and said, "Hey! I'm this terrific landscape photographer, and I'd like to photograph the land." And they laughed. On the phone they said, "You don't understand: we have people whose job it is to keep this property private and off the public radar. We don't respond to press inquiries. Our whole focus is in keeping people unaware of it, or having them just take it for granted as they drive up 280. So no, we are not going to let a landscape photographer go in there and show people the glory that is this property."*...
[Robert Buelteman - Bay Nature - 8/20/15](#)*

...

Sifu Andy Howse
650 867 0470
WingTsun650@Gmail.com

Class Times every Monday & Wednesday

Wing Tsun 6:30-8:00
Escrima 8-9:15

Class Location
Inside the Jr.Gym
South B Street
San Mateo, CA

Extra or early training in Central Park, weather permitting.

Website
www.wingsun650.com

Facebook
www.facebook.com/baymountainsanmateo

Brenda Bennett

From: Tsuyoshi Ozaki <tsuyoshi.ozaki@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2016 11:15 PM
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org
Cc: alisa.somera@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org; PARKS_Commission; Carole Groom; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum
Subject: Open the Peninsula Watershed to public access

Dear Supervisors Cohen, Peskin and Wiener:

I write to express my support for improved public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed that is located in San Mateo County.

This issue is very important to me and my family. The public has been unfairly closed off from the Watershed's scenic, historical and recreational experiences for too long. Please do everything you can to help achieve public access reform.

I support the resolution (SFBOS file # 160183) to allow responsible access to the SFPUC watershed lands over existing service road such as Fifield-Cahill Ridge, Pilarcitos Road, Whiting Ridge, Old Cañada, and to historical sites for the following reasons:

-Access to the watershed's existing dirt roads would allow hikers, cyclists and equestrians to visit the area's largest and most scenic unused, publicly held open space, integrating National, State and County parklands across the San Francisco Peninsula.

-At this time there are no significant, scientific concerns over water security, fire safety or environmental stewardship. Prior to opening a complete environmental investigation will surface any environmental issues that need to be addressed.

-The "trails" in this space are actually existing dirt roads, which are currently used on a daily basis by SFPUC trucks. These roads could easily be designated as a trail system, similar to the Marin County and Santa Clara County Watersheds.

- Other local public spaces allow reasonable and appropriate public access without the negative impacts opponents of SFPUC Watershed access advocate. I draw your attention to the the watershed agencies listed above, and the Midpeninsula Open Space District as successful examples.

- Finally, the current method of public access is extremely limited and unfair to the public. The number of spots available to reserve in advance only, for the few docent led tours that are held - are extremely limited. Typically, there are only a handful of reserveable hiking spots available once a week, and the hikes are only at 9 or 9:30the morning.

Please pass Resolution 160183 and work cooperatively with other agencies such as the SFPUC, San Mateo County and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area to achieve access reform for this important public land.

Thank you for your public service.

Yoshi Ozaki

Brenda Bennett

From: Janet Creech <jntcreech@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, September 09, 2016 2:20 PM
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; alisa.somera@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org
Cc: Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; Jane.Kim@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; PARKS_Commission; Adrienne Tissier; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum
Subject: Resolution 160183, for the public record

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to ask that you support Resolution 160183, opening the San Francisco Peninsula Watershed to the public.

Please make this letter part of the public record.

Thank you,

Janet Creech
939 Helen Drive
Millbrae, CA 940630

Brenda Bennett

From: Richard Howse <howseru@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, September 09, 2016 2:42 PM
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; alisa.somera@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org
Cc: Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; Jane.Kim@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; PARKS_Commission; Adrienne Tissier; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum
Subject: resolution 160183 be placed in public record

Good day

To the San Francisco board of supervisors I ask that the opening of the San Francisco watershed (resolution 160183) be favorably voted on. The continue population growth of the peninsula with it's high density is begging for a place for people to get away. A place to clear their minds, interact with nature, breath clean air and get the benefit of exercise all without cost to the family budget.

Thank you for your consideration

Richard Howse

Brenda Bennett

From: Eugene Buono <ekbuono@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, September 09, 2016 8:54 AM
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org
Cc: alisa.somera@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org; PARKS_Commission; Carole Groom; Marlene Finley
Subject: Crystal Springs Peninsula Watershed Access

Dear Supervisors Cohen, Peskin and Wiener:

I write to express my support for improved public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed that is located in San Mateo County.

This issue is very important to me and my family. The public has been unfairly closed off from the Watershed's scenic, historical and recreational experiences for too long. Please do everything you can to help achieve public access reform.

I support the resolution (SFBOS file # 160183) to allow responsible access to the SFPUC watershed lands over existing service road such as Fifield-Cahill Ridge, Pilarcitos Road, Whiting Ridge, Old Cañada, and to historical sites for the following reasons:

- Access to the watershed's existing dirt roads would allow hikers, cyclists and equestrians to visit the area's largest and most scenic unused, publicly held open space, integrating National, State and County parklands across the San Francisco Peninsula.
- At this time there are no significant, scientific concerns over water security, fire safety or environmental stewardship. Prior to opening a complete environmental investigation will surface any environmental issues that need to be addressed.
- The "trails" in this space are actually existing dirt roads, which are currently used on a daily basis by SFPUC trucks. These roads could easily be designated as a trail system, similar to the Marin County and Santa Clara County Watersheds.
- Other local public spaces allow reasonable and appropriate public access without the negative impacts opponents of SFPUC Watershed access advocate. I draw your attention to the the watershed agencies listed above, and the Mid-peninsula Open Space District as successful examples.
- The trail of the East side of the Crystal Springs reservoir is beautiful and many families utilize it, but the path has become overcrowded. Bikes, strollers, runners, and groups of families walking are competing for the same limited space. Providing an additional outlet can help relieve some of this stress and make both areas more enjoyable for families living here.
- Finally, the current method of public access is extremely limited and unfair to the public. The number of spots available to reserve in advance only, for the few docent led tours that are held - are extremely limited. Typically, there are only a handful of reservable hiking spots available once a week, and the hikes are only at 9 or 9:30 the morning.

Please pass Resolution 160183 and work cooperatively with other agencies such as the SFPUC, San Mateo County and the Golden Gate National Recreation Area to achieve access reform for this important public land.

Thank you for your public service.

Brenda Bennett

From: sanbrunotruth@gmail.com
Sent: Sunday, September 11, 2016 9:58 PM
To: alisa.somera@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org
Cc: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; Jane.Kim@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org; PARKS_Commission; ATissier@smcgov.or; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum
Subject: Increase Public Access to the SF Watershed

Good evening Supervisors,

Please include this email in the public record.

Our country is too divided. Each issue has extreme fringes on both sides eroding the common ground for a sensible solution. This results in politically-motivated rulings instead of common sense solutions. The overwhelming majority of the public suffers as common sense is abandoned in favor of fear tactics.

I am asking each of your to please find a compromise in providing increased public access to public lands. Although I am the Vice Mayor of San Bruno, I am writing on the behalf of myself and my family. I love sharing nature's beauty and wonder with my four year old son. The limited trails are nice however it can be much better if you see through the nonsense and do what is best... compromise! Increase access and tighten up security where it is needed. Saying "No" is too easy. Finding a good solution takes effort but that is what you are supposed to do. I urge you all to see through the hyperbole and hysteria. More can be done... Improve and provide something better than what is currently under available... It is in your hands.

Sincerely,

Marty Medina
San Bruno Vice Mayor

Brenda Bennett

From: John Collins <shinesound@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 11, 2016 3:25 PM
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; alisa.somera@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Scott Wiener; commissioners@sfgov.org
Cc: Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; Jane.Kim@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; PARKS_Commission; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum
Subject: Open the SF Watershed NOW!

I am writing to insist that you all open the SF Watershed NOW! in the words of John Muir Everybody needs beauty as well as bread, places to play in and pray in, where nature may heal and give strength to body and soul alike.

I have been an avid mountain biker for 25 years on the peninsula and living on the coast and in san mateo the lack of trails available is astonishing. It's astonishing because the jewel of the watershed is pristine and has miles and miles of trails that would help us all to decompress in this world where the cost of living is insane.

Trail studies will be done to insure that there will be no damage to the watershed so what is the delay in this? btw Docent led programs are bullshit. I'm 51 years old and I don't need to be supervised nor do any other adults. The very notion of a docent led program pisses me off in that it belies an attitude that our government authority figures know best how to handle us unruly citizens. Forget that!

Please open the watershed NOW!

namaste,

John Collins
Resident San Mateo CA

Brenda Bennett

From: john collins <shinesound@hotmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 11, 2016 3:13 PM
To: alisa.somera@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org
Cc: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org;
Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; Jane.Kim@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org;
Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org;
mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org;
PARKS_Commission; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren
Slocum
Subject: Open the SF watershed NOW!

I am writing to insist that you all open the SF Watershed NOW! in the words of John Muir Everybody needs beauty as well as bread, places to play in and pray in, where nature may heal and give strength to body and soul alike.

I have been an avid mountain biker for 25 years on the peninsula and living on the coast and in san mateo the lack of trails available is astonishing. It's astonishing because the jewel of the watershed is pristine and has miles and miles of trails that would help us all to decompress in this world where the cost of living is insane.

Trail studies will be done to insure that there will be no damage to the watershed so what is the delay in this? btw Docent led programs are bullshit. I'm 51 years old and I don't need to be supervised nor do any other adults. The very notion of a docent led program pisses me off in that it belies an attitude that our government authority figures know best how to handle us unruly citizens. Forget that!

Please open the watershed NOW!

namaste,

John Collins
Resident San Mateo CA

Brenda Bennett

From: John Collins <shinesound@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 11, 2016 3:09 PM
To: alisa.somera@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfwater.org
Cc: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org;
Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; Jane.Kim@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org;
Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org;
mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org;
PARKS_Commission; ATissier@smcgov.or; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine;
Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum
Subject: Open the SF Watershed NOW!

I am writing to insist that you all open the SF Watershed NOW! in the words of John Muir Everybody needs beauty as well as bread, places to play in and pray in, where nature may heal and give strength to body and soul alike.

I have been an avid mountain biker for 25 years on the peninsula and living on the coast and in san mateo the lack of trails available is astonishing. It's astonishing because the jewel of the watershed is pristine and has miles and miles of trails that would help us all to decompress in this world where the cost of living is insane.

Trail studies will be done to insure that there will be no damage to the watershed so what is the delay in this? btw Docent led programs are bullshit. I'm 51 years old and I don't need to be supervised nor do any other adults. The very notion of a docent led program pisses me off in that it belies an attitude that our government authority figures know best how to handle us unruly citizens. Forget that!

Please open the watershed NOW!

namaste,

John Collins
Resident San Mateo CA

Brenda Bennett

From: Jean <jeanforsman@earthlink.net>
Sent: Sunday, September 11, 2016 3:59 PM
To: alisa.somera@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org
Cc: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; Jane.Kim@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org; PARKS_Commission; ATissier@smcgov.or; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum
Subject: Peninsula Watershed

Dear Supervisors Cohen, Peskin and Wiener:

Please include this email as part of the public record.

Thank you for this opportunity to express my support for improved public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed. This issue is very important to very dear friends who live in that area. Friends who along would their children would appreciate and benefit from respectful enjoyment of the area. The public has been closed off from the Watershed's scenic, historical and recreational experiences for too long. Please do what you can to help achieve access reform.

I support the resolution (SFBOS file # 160183)for the following reasons:

- Access to the watershed's existing dirt roads would allow hikers, cyclists and equestrians to visit the area's largest and most scenic unused, publicly held open space, integrating National, State and County parklands across the San Francisco Peninsula.
- At this time there are no significant, scientific concerns over water security, fire safety or environmental stewardship. Prior to opening a complete environmental investigation will surface any environmental issues that need to be addressed.
- The "trails", dirt roads actually, are currently used by SFPUC trucks on a daily basis. They could easily be designated as a trail system, much as is done in the Marin County and Santa Clara County Watersheds.
- The Watershed property contains some of the most important historical and cultural heritage sites in the state of California. The public has a right to be able to access these sites.

Please support of Resolution 160183 and for SFPUC to work cooperatively with San Mateo County to improve access to the watershed.

Thank you all for your continued public service.

Jean Forsman
San Francisco California

Brenda Bennett

From: Mike Turnbull <miket@barkerblue.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 11, 2016 11:14 PM
To: alisa.somera@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org
Cc: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; Jane.Kim@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org; PARKS_Commission; ATissier@smcgov.org; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum
Subject: SFPUC Watershed access

Dear Supervisors Cohen, Peskin and Wiener:

Please include this email as part of the public record.

I would like to express my support for improved public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed. This issue is very important to me and my family. The public has been closed off from the Watershed's scenic, historical and recreational experiences for too long. Please do what you can to help achieve access reform.

I support the resolution (SFBOS file # 160183) to allow responsible access to the SFPUC watershed lands over existing service road such as Fifield-Cahill Ridge, Pilarcitos Road, Whiting Ridge, Old Cañada, and to historical sites for the following reasons:

- Access to the watershed's existing dirt roads would allow hikers, cyclists and equestrians to visit the area's largest and most scenic unused, publicly held open space, integrating National, State and County parklands across the San Francisco Peninsula.
- At this time there are no significant, scientific concerns over water security, fire safety or environmental stewardship. Prior to opening a complete environmental investigation will surface any environmental issues that need to be addressed.
- The "trails", dirt roads actually, are currently used by SFPUC trucks on a daily basis. They could easily be designated as a trail system, much as is done in the Marin County and Santa Clara County Watersheds.
- The Watershed property contains some of the most important historical and cultural heritage sites in the state of California. The public has a right to be able to access these sites.

Please support of Resolution 160183 and for SFPUC to work cooperatively with San Mateo County to improve access to the watershed.

Thank you for your public service.

Mike Turnbull
San Francisco

Brenda Bennett

From: art.muir@kilovolt.com
Sent: Sunday, September 11, 2016 2:47 PM
To: alisa.somera@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org
Cc: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; Jane.Kim@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org; PARKS_Commission; ATissier@smcgov.org; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum
Subject: Support for resolution 160183 - to be included as part of the public record

Honorable Supervisors Cohen, Peskin and Wiener:

I'm writing today to express my support for improving public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed. This issue is important to me as I feel these public lands should be responsibly accessible by the entire community, not just the privileged few that currently have access. The time is long overdue for the watershed's scenic, historical and recreational offerings to be open to the community and I would request you do what you can to help this access reform take place.

The resolution regarding access to the watershed - SFBOS file # 160183 - would allow responsible access to the SFPUC watershed lands over existing service roads such as Fifield-Cahill Ridge, Pilarcitos Road, Whiting Ridge, Old Cañada, and would also grant access to historical sites within the watershed. It would offer access using the watershed's existing dirt roads, allowing hikers, cyclists and equestrians to visit the area's largest and most scenic unused, publicly held open space. Further, it would help better integrate National, State and County parklands across the San Francisco Peninsula.

While at this time there are no known significant concerns over water security, fire safety or environmental stewardship, the mandated environmental investigation, to be performed prior to opening the watershed, would surface any potential areas of environmental concern.

Please note that these "roads" while unpaved, are currently used by SFPUC trucks on a daily basis. They could easily be integrated into a trail system, such as has been done in the Marin County and Santa Clara County watersheds.

It is under the above context that I request that you therefore please support resolution 160183, and put the necessary framework in place so that the SFPUC will work cooperatively with San Mateo County to implement this access to the watershed.

Thank you for your service to the community and the public at large

Note: Please include this email as part of the public record.

Kindest regards,

Art Muir
San Mateo - North Shoreview district

Brenda Bennett

From: Sergey Menshikov <sergey.menshikov@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 11, 2016 12:11 PM
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org
Cc: alisa.somera@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org; PARKS_Commission; Carole Groom; Marlene Finley
Subject: Open Public Access to Peninsula Watershed

Dear Supervisors Cohen, Peskin and Wiener:

I would like to express my support for improved public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed. This issue is very important to me and my family. The public has been closed off from the Watershed's scenic, historical and recreational experiences for too long. Please do what you can to help achieve access reform.

I support the resolution (SFBOS file # 160183) to allow responsible access to the SFPUC watershed lands over existing service road such as Fifield-Cahill Ridge, Pilarcitos Road, Whiting Ridge, Old Cañada, and to historical sites for the following reasons:

-Access to the watershed's existing dirt roads would allow hikers, cyclists and equestrians to visit the area's largest and most scenic unused, publicly held open space, integrating National, State and County parklands across the San Francisco Peninsula.

-At this time there are no significant, scientific concerns over water security, fire safety or environmental stewardship. Prior to opening a complete environmental investigation will surface any environmental issues that need to be addressed.

-The "trails", dirt roads actually, are currently used by SFPUC trucks on a daily basis. They could easily be designated as a trail system, much as is done in the Marin County and Santa Clara County Watersheds.

To San Mateo county officials: could you please support expanded access and see to it that San Mateo county works cooperatively with the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, The San Francisco Planning department and the GGNRA to achieve access reform?

Thank you for your public service!

Sergey Menshikov and Larisa Osipovich,
1145 Blythe st.,
Foster City

Brenda Bennett

From: Ross Heiman <rossheiman@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 11, 2016 7:35 PM
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org
Cc: PARKS_Commission; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley
Subject: Please Open the SF Watershed

Dear Supervisors Cohen, Peskin and Wiener:

I would like to express my support for improved public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed. This issue is very important to me and my family. The public has been closed off from the Watershed's scenic, historical and recreational experiences for too long. Please do what you can to help achieve access reform.

I support the resolution (SFBOS file # 160183) to allow responsible access to the SFPUC watershed lands over existing service road such as Fifield-Cahill Ridge, Pilarcitos Road, Whiting Ridge, Old Cañada, and to historical sites for the following reasons:

-Access to the watershed's existing dirt roads would allow hikers, cyclists and equestrians to visit the area's largest and most scenic unused, publicly held open space, integrating National, State and County parklands across the San Francisco Peninsula.

-At this time there are no significant, scientific concerns over water security, fire safety or environmental stewardship. Prior to opening a complete environmental investigation will surface any environmental issues that need to be addressed.

-The "trails", dirt roads actually, are currently used by SFPUC trucks on a daily basis. They could easily be designated as a trail system, much as is done in the Marin County and Santa Clara County Watersheds.

Please also retire the "mandatory docent system". There are times when we will need to enjoy these lands with out being forced into a large group. This approach works well in other watershed lands across the state, there's no reason it shouldn't work on the Peninsula.

I ask for your support of expanded access and see to it that our County works cooperatively with the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, The San Francisco Planning department and the GGNRA to achieve access reform.

Thank you for your public service.

-Ross Heiman

Millbrae, CA resident

Brenda Bennett

From: Heidi Bernaards <bernaards@comcast.net>
Sent: Sunday, September 11, 2016 2:46 PM
To: commissioners@sfwater.org; PARKS_Commission; Adrienne Tissier; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Warren Slocum
Subject: Please support opening the Watershed

Hello -

I'm writing in support of opening the SF Watershed, specifically Crystal Springs.

The public has been mostly locked out of this open space since 1930! It's time to change that.

Here's why I support greater public access:

Opening our Watershed is Safe - A 376-page report by the SF Public Utilities Commission looked at the impact of increased public use to water runoff, erosion, air quality, noise, fire, and more. The report found little to no risk on all factors.

Opening our Watershed is Standard - Thousands of Watersheds, including Marin, are open to the public. An open watershed is the standard, and San Francisco's is the anomaly.

Opening our Watershed is Feasible - Most of the trails proposed already exist. But today, heavy trucks utilize them rather than families of hikers and bikers. Modifying them to be open to the public would take minimal work.

Opening our Watershed is Supported - Three San Francisco Supervisors (Scott Wiener, David Campos, John Avalos) and one San Mateo Supervisor, Dave Pine.

Thank you for your consideration in this important matter!

Brenda Bennett

From: stephen.chudleigh@gmail.com on behalf of Stephen C <betterriver@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 12, 2016 1:32 PM
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; alisa.somera@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org
Cc: Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; PARKS_Commission; ATissier@smcgov.org; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum
Subject: Resolution on access reform in the Crystal Springs "SF Watershed"

Dear Supervisors Cohen, Peskin and Wiener:

Please include this email as part of the public record.

I am a registered voter living in the Outer Richmond neighborhood of San Francisco. I am writing to express my support for improved public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed.

Like so many of us in the Bay Area, I am an avid outdoor enthusiast. I recently relocated to California from the state of Texas and I have been very impressed with the quality and diversity of the many parks and recreation areas in the beautiful state of California. My goal is to improve access closer to home so that we can get more people appreciating the beauty of this place.

I support the resolution (SFBOS file # 160183) to allow responsible access to the SFPUC watershed lands over existing service road such as Fifield-Cahill Ridge, Pilarcitos Road, Whiting Ridge, Old Cañada, and to historical sites for the following reasons:

-Access to the watershed's existing dirt roads would allow hikers, cyclists and equestrians to visit the area's largest and most scenic unused, publicly held open space, integrating National, State and County parklands across the San Francisco Peninsula.

-At this time there are no significant, scientific concerns over water security, fire safety or environmental stewardship. Prior to opening a complete environmental investigation will surface any environmental issues that need to be addressed.

-The "trails", dirt roads actually, are currently used by SFPUC trucks on a daily basis. They could easily be designated as a trail system, much as is done in the Marin County and Santa Clara County Watersheds.

Please support of Resolution 160183 and for SFPUC to work cooperatively with San Mateo County to improve access to the watershed.

Thank you for your public service.

Sincerely,
Stephen Chudleigh
San Francisco, CA

Brenda Bennett

From: gloria fortier <gloriafortier@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 12, 2016 1:07 PM
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; alisa.somera@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org
Cc: Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; PARKS_Commission; atissier@smcgov.or; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum
Subject: Resolution 160183 on expanded public access to the San Francisco's Peninsula Watershed discussed and hopefully passed by the San Francisco Board of Supervisor's Land Use and Transportation Committee at their September 12, 1:30 pm meeting.

Resolution 160183 on expanded public access to the San Francisco's Peninsula Watershed discussed and hopefully passed by the San Francisco Board of Supervisor's Land Use and Transportation Committee at their September 12, 1:30 pm meeting.

Dear Supervisors Cohen, Peskin and Wiener:

Please include this email as part of the public record.

I would like to express my support for improved public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed. This issue is very important to me and my family. The public has been closed off from the Watershed's scenic, historical and recreational experiences for too long. Please do what you can to help achieve access reform.

I support the resolution (SFBOS file # 160183) to allow responsible access to the SFPUC watershed lands over existing service road such as Fifield-Cahill Ridge, Pilarcitos Road, Whiting Ridge, Old Cañada, and to historical sites for the following reasons:

-Access to the watershed's existing dirt roads would allow hikers, cyclists and equestrians to visit the area's largest and most scenic unused, publicly held open space, integrating National, State and County parklands across the San Francisco Peninsula.

-At this time there are no significant, scientific concerns over water security, fire safety or environmental stewardship. Prior to opening a complete environmental investigation will surface any environmental issues that need to be addressed.

-The "trails", dirt roads actually, are currently used by SFPUC trucks on a daily basis. They could easily be designated as a trail system, much as is done in the Marin County and Santa Clara County Watersheds.

Please support of Resolution 160183 and for SFPUC to work cooperatively with San Mateo County to improve access to the watershed.

Thank you for your public service.

Gloria Fortier
4155040552

Brenda Bennett

From: Richard Whitmarsh <rswitmarsh@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 12, 2016 11:26 AM
To: PARKS_Commission
Subject: SF Watershed Access Reform

Dear Supervisors Cohen, Peskin and Wiener:

I am one of the many responsible trail users who was looking forward to the permitted access that was being considered. I have been on the docent-led hikes within the watershed, and as much as I have appreciated the opportunity, those type of prescribed outings do not bring the same level of enjoyment as an unscripted walk within other protected lands of the Peninsula. So, I would appreciate your continued efforts toward a reformed level of managed (permitted) access within the watershed lands.

Please include this email as part of the public record.

I would like to express my support for improved public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed. This issue is very important to me and my family. The public has been closed off from the Watershed's scenic, historical and recreational experiences for too long. Please do what you can to help achieve access reform.

I support the resolution (SFBOS file # 160183) to allow responsible access to the SFPUC watershed lands over existing service road such as Fifield-Cahill Ridge, Pilarcitos Road, Whiting Ridge, Old Cañada, and to historical sites for the following reasons:

-Access to the watershed's existing dirt roads would allow hikers, cyclists and equestrians to visit the area's largest and most scenic unused, publicly held open space, integrating National, State and County parklands across the San Francisco Peninsula.

-At this time there are no significant, scientific concerns over water security, fire safety or environmental stewardship. Prior to opening a complete environmental investigation will surface any environmental issues that need to be addressed.

-The "trails", dirt roads actually, are currently used by SFPUC trucks on a daily basis. They could easily be designated as a trail system, much as is done in the Marin County and Santa Clara County Watersheds.

Please support of Resolution 160183 and for SFPUC to work cooperatively with San Mateo County to improve access to the watershed.

Thank you for your public service.

Sincerely, Richard Whitmarsh of El Granada, CA

Brenda Bennett

From: Gabriel Roberts <gabrielbroberts@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 12, 2016 6:48 PM
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; alisa.somera@sfgov.org; Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; Jane.Kim@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org
Cc: PARKS_Commission; Adrienne Tissier; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum
Subject: Support of resolution 160183

Dear honorable Supervisors,

Please include this email as part of the public record.

I would like to express my support for improved public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed. This issue is very important to me and my family. The public has been closed off from the Watershed's scenic, historical and recreational experiences for too long. Please do what you can to help achieve access reform.

I support the resolution (SF BOS file # 160183) to allow responsible access to the SFPUC watershed lands over existing service road such as Fifield-Cahill Ridge, Pilarcitos Road, Whiting Ridge, Old Cañada, and to historical sites for the following reasons:

- Access to the watershed's existing dirt roads would allow hikers, cyclists and equestrians to visit the area's largest and most scenic unused, publicly held open space, integrating National, State and County parklands across the San Francisco Peninsula.
- At this time there are no significant, scientific concerns over water security, fire safety or environmental stewardship. Prior to opening a complete environmental investigation will surface any environmental issues that need to be addressed.
- The "trails", dirt roads actually, are currently used by SFPUC trucks on a daily basis. They could easily be designated as a trail system, much as is done in the Marin County and Santa Clara County Watersheds.
- The Watershed property contains some of the most important historical and cultural heritage sites in the state of California. The public has a right to be able to access these sites.

Please support of Resolution 160183 and for SFPUC to work cooperatively with San Mateo County to improve access to the watershed.

Thank you for your public service.

--

Gabriel Roberts of San Bruno, California
(408) 561-3600
GabrielBRoberts@Gmail.com

Brenda Bennett

From: Robert Peters <info@rcpeters.com>
Sent: Monday, September 12, 2016 9:29 AM
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; alisa.somera@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org
Cc: Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; Jane.Kim@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; PARKS_Commission; Adrienne Tissier; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum
Subject: Public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed

Dear Supervisors Cohen, Peskin and Wiener:

Please include this email as part of the public record.

I would like to express my support for improved public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed. This issue is very important to me and my family. The public has been closed off from the Watershed's scenic, historical and recreational experiences for too long. Please do what you can to help achieve access reform.

I support the resolution (SFBOS file # 160183) to allow responsible access to the SFPUC watershed lands over existing service road such as Fifield-Cahill Ridge, Pilarcitos Road, Whiting Ridge, Old Cañada, and to historical sites for the following reasons:

- Access to the watershed's existing dirt roads would allow hikers, cyclists and equestrians to visit the area's largest and most scenic unused, publicly held open space, integrating National, State and County parklands across the San Francisco Peninsula.
- At this time there are no significant, scientific concerns over water security, fire safety or environmental stewardship. Prior to opening a complete environmental investigation will surface any environmental issues that need to be addressed.
- The "trails", dirt roads actually, are currently used by SFPUC trucks on a daily basis. They could easily be designated as a trail system, much as is done in the Marin County and Santa Clara County Watersheds.
- The Watershed property contains some of the most important historical and cultural heritage sites in the state of California. The public has a right to be able to access these sites.

Please support of Resolution 160183 and for SFPUC to work cooperatively with San Mateo County to improve access to the watershed.

Thank you for your public service.

Robert Peters
246 Waller St San Francisco Ca

--
Robert Peters
info@rcpeters.com
805.440.9056

Brenda Bennett

From: Ryosuke Kimura <redleon@mac.com>
Sent: Monday, September 12, 2016 6:29 PM
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; alisa.somera@sfgov.org; Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; Jane.Kim@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org
Cc: PARKS_Commission; Adrienne Tissier; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum
Subject: Yes to SF Watershed access

Dear honorable Supervisors,

Please include this email as part of the public record.

I would like to express my support for improved public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed. It is important to let many people access to the beauty that the nature offer so that they value the importance. Also, I believe that it is important to act based on scientific facts. It is about finding a good balance and searching for how human can I coexist with many species.

I support the resolution (SFBOS file # 160183) to allow responsible access to the SFPUC watershed lands over existing service road such as Fifiield-Cahill Ridge, Pilarcitos Road, Whiting Ridge, Old Cañada, and to historical sites for the following reasons:

- Access to the watershed's existing dirt roads would allow hikers, cyclists and equestrians to visit the area's largest and most scenic unused, publicly held open space, integrating National, State and County parklands across the San Francisco Peninsula.
- At this time there are no significant, scientific concerns over water security, fire safety or environmental stewardship. Prior to opening a complete environmental investigation will surface any environmental issues that need to be addressed.
- The "trails", dirt roads actually, are currently used by SFPUC trucks on a daily basis. They could easily be designated as a trail system, much as is done in the Marin County and Santa Clara County Watersheds.
- The Watershed property contains some of the most important historical and cultural heritage sites in the state of California. The public has a right to be able to access these sites.

Please support of Resolution 160183 and for SFPUC to work cooperatively with San Mateo County to improve access to the watershed.

Thank you for your public service.\

Ryosuke Kimura,
Resident of San Francisco District 6

Brenda Bennett

From: artemischa . <artemischa@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, September 12, 2016 12:46 PM
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; alisa.somera@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org
Cc: Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; PARKS_Commission; ATissier@smcgov.or; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum

Dear Supervisors Cohen, Peskin and Wiener, and to whom it may concern:

Please include this email as part of the public record.

I would like to express my support for improved public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed. This issue is very important to me and my family. The public has been closed off from the Watershed's scenic, historical and recreational experiences for too long. Please do what you can to help achieve access reform.

I support the resolution (SFBO file # 160183) to allow responsible access to the SFPUC watershed lands over existing service road such as Fifield-Cahill Ridge, Pilarcitos Road, Whiting Ridge, Old Cañada, and to historical sites for the following reasons:

-Access to the watershed's existing dirt roads would allow hikers, cyclists and equestrians to visit the area's largest and most scenic unused, publicly held open space, integrating National, State and County parklands across the San Francisco Peninsula.

-At this time there are no significant, scientific concerns over water security, fire safety or environmental stewardship. Prior to opening a complete environmental investigation will surface any environmental issues that need to be addressed.

-The "trails", dirt roads actually, are currently used by SFPUC trucks on a daily basis. They could easily be designated as a trail system, much as is done in the Marin County and Santa Clara County Watersheds.

On a personal level, this land has great significance to me as it (or the little bit of it I could get to between the fence and Cañada Rd) played an important role in a major healing process for me. It is the energetic center of the county, landwise, and it would be of benefit to the physical, spiritual, and psychological health of county residents to have access to this land (and vice versa, I believe).

Please support of Resolution 160183 and for SFPUC to work cooperatively with San Mateo County to improve access to the watershed.

Thank you for your public service.

Michelle Boyle
261 Dearborn Park Road
Pescadero, CA 94060
(650)759-8514
earthmusehealingarts.com

Brenda Bennett

From: Lindsay Chirdon <lchirdon@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2016 3:39 PM
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; alisa.somera@sfgov.org; Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; Jane.Kim@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org
Cc: PARKS_Commission; Adrienne Tissier; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum
Subject: Support for improved public access to the SF Peninsula Watershed

Dear honorable Supervisors,

Please include this email as part of the public record.

I would like to express my support for improved public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed. This issue is very important to me and my family. The public has been closed off from the Watershed's scenic, historical and recreational experiences for too long. Please do what you can to help achieve access reform.

I support the resolution (SFBOS file # 160183) to allow responsible access to the SFPUC watershed lands over existing service road such as Fifield-Cahill Ridge, Pilarcitos Road, Whiting Ridge, Old Cañada, and to historical sites for the following reasons:

-Access to the watershed's existing dirt roads would allow hikers and cyclists to visit the area's largest and most scenic unused, publicly held open space, integrating National, State and County parklands across the San Francisco Peninsula.

-At this time there are no significant, scientific concerns over water security, fire safety or environmental stewardship. Prior to opening a complete environmental investigation will surface any environmental issues that need to be addressed.

-The "trails", dirt roads actually, are currently used by SFPUC trucks on a daily basis. They could easily be designated as a trail system, much as is done in the Marin County and Santa Clara County Watersheds.

-The Watershed property contains some of the most important historical and cultural heritage sites in the state of California. The public has a right to be able to access these sites.

Please support of Resolution 160183 and for SFPUC to work cooperatively with San Mateo County to improve access to the watershed.

Thank you for your public service.

Lindsay Chirdon, Redwood City

Brenda Bennett

From: Joel Wilson <joel.c.wilson@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2016 11:50 PM
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; alisa.somera@sfgov.org; Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; Jane.Kim@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org
Cc: PARKS_Commission; Adrienne Tissier; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum
Subject: Please Open SF Watershed Trails

Dear honorable Supervisors,

Please include this email as part of the public record.

I would like to express my support for improved public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed. This issue is very important to me and my family. The public has been closed off from the Watershed's scenic, historical and recreational experiences for too long. Please do what you can to help achieve access reform.

I support the resolution (SFBOS file # 160183) to allow responsible access to the SFPUC watershed lands over existing service road such as Fifield-Cahill Ridge, Pilarcitos Road, Whiting Ridge, Old Cañada, and to historical sites for the following reasons:

-Access to the watershed's existing dirt roads would allow hikers and cyclists to visit the area's largest and most scenic unused, publicly held open space, integrating National, State and County parklands across the San Francisco Peninsula.

-At this time there are no significant, scientific concerns over water security, fire safety or environmental stewardship. Prior to opening a complete environmental investigation will surface any environmental issues that need to be addressed.

-The "trails", dirt roads actually, are currently used by SFPUC trucks on a daily basis. They could easily be designated as a trail system, much as is done in the Marin County and Santa Clara County Watersheds.

-The Watershed property contains some of the most important historical and cultural heritage sites in the state of California. The public has a right to be able to access these sites.

Please support of Resolution 160183 and for SFPUC to work cooperatively with San Mateo County to improve access to the watershed.

Thank you for your public service.

Joel Wilson
Redwood City

Brenda Bennett

From: John Parker <parkerjohn@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2016 4:09 PM
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; alisa.somera@sfgov.org; Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; Jane.Kim@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org
Cc: PARKS_Commission; Adrienne Tissier; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum

Dear honorable Supervisors,

Please include this email as part of the public record.

I would like to express my support for improved public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed. This issue is very important to me and my family. The public has been closed off from the Watershed's scenic, historical and recreational experiences for too long. Please do what you can to help achieve access reform.

I support the resolution (SF BOS file # 160183) to allow responsible access to the SFPUC watershed lands over existing service road such as Fifield-Cahill Ridge, Pilarcitos Road, Whiting Ridge, Old Cañada, and to historical sites for the following reasons:

-Access to the watershed's existing dirt roads would allow hikers and cyclists to visit the area's largest and most scenic unused, publicly held open space, integrating National, State and County parklands across the San Francisco Peninsula.

-At this time there are no significant, scientific concerns over water security, fire safety or environmental stewardship. Prior to opening a complete environmental investigation will surface any environmental issues that need to be addressed.

-The "trails", dirt roads actually, are currently used by SFPUC trucks on a daily basis. They could easily be designated as a trail system, much as is done in the Marin County and Santa Clara County Watersheds.

-The Watershed property contains some of the most important historical and cultural heritage sites in the state of California. The public has a right to be able to access these sites.

Please support of Resolution 160183 and for SFPUC to work cooperatively with San Mateo County to improve access to the watershed.

Thank you for your public service.

John Parker - Sunnyvale CA

Brenda Bennett

From: Jonathan Lamb <jlamb4483@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2016 4:28 PM
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; alisa.somera@sfgov.org; Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; Jane.Kim@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org
Cc: PARKS_Commission; Adrienne Tissier; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum
Subject: Public Access SFPUC Peninsula Watershed - Mountain cyclists et al.

Dear honorable Supervisors,

Please include this email as part of the public record.

I would like to express my support for improved public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed. This issue is very important to me and my family. The public has been closed off from the Watershed's scenic, historical and recreational experiences for too long. Please do what you can to help achieve access reform.

I support the resolution (SFBOS file # 160183) to allow responsible access to the SFPUC watershed lands over existing service road such as Fifield-Cahill Ridge, Pilarcitos Road, Whiting Ridge, Old Cañada, and to historical sites for the following reasons:

-Access to the watershed's existing dirt roads would allow hikers and cyclists to visit the area's largest and most scenic unused, publicly held open space, integrating National, State and County parklands across the San Francisco Peninsula.

-At this time there are no significant, scientific concerns over water security, fire safety or environmental stewardship. Prior to opening a complete environmental investigation will surface any environmental issues that need to be addressed.

-The "trails", dirt roads actually, are currently used by SFPUC trucks on a daily basis. They could easily be designated as a trail system, much as is done in the Marin County and Santa Clara County Watersheds.

-The Watershed property contains some of the most important historical and cultural heritage sites in the state of California. The public has a right to be able to access these sites.

Please support of Resolution 160183 and for SFPUC to work cooperatively with San Mateo County to improve access to the watershed.

Thank you for your public service.

Jonathan Lamb

4529 Wessex Dr

San Jose, CA 95136

Brenda Bennett

From: bobby jen <bobby.jen@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2016 12:38 PM
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; alisa.somera@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org
Cc: Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; Jane.Kim@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; PARKS_Commission; ATissier@smcgov.org; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum
Subject: SF Watershed

Dear Supervisors Cohen, Peskin and Wiener:

Please include this email as part of the public record.

I would like to express my support for improved public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed. This issue is very important to me and my family. The public has been closed off from the Watershed's scenic, historical and recreational experiences for too long. Please do what you can to help achieve access reform.

I support the resolution (SFBOS file # 160183) to allow responsible access to the SFPUC watershed lands over existing service road such as Fifield-Cahill Ridge, Pilarcitos Road, Whiting Ridge, Old Cañada, and to historical sites for the following reasons:

-Access to the watershed's existing dirt roads would allow hikers, cyclists and equestrians to visit the area's largest and most scenic unused, publicly held open space, integrating National, State and County parklands across the San Francisco Peninsula.

-At this time there are no significant, scientific concerns over water security, fire safety or environmental stewardship. Prior to opening a complete environmental investigation will surface any environmental issues that need to be addressed.

-The "trails", dirt roads actually, are currently used by SFPUC trucks on a daily basis. They could easily be designated as a trail system, much as is done in the Marin County and Santa Clara County Watersheds.

Please support of Resolution 160183 and for SFPUC to work cooperatively with San Mateo County to improve access to the watershed.

Thank you for your public service.

Sincerely

- Bobby Jen - (San Mateo)

Brenda Bennett

From: Brook Burley <brookburley@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 13, 2016 7:17 PM
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; alisa.somera@sfgov.org; Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; Jane.Kim@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org
Cc: PARKS_Commission; Adrienne Tissier; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum
Subject: SF Watershed support

Dear honorable Supervisors,

Please include this email as part of the public record.

I would like to express my support for improved public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed. This issue is very important to me and my family. The public has been closed off from the Watershed's scenic, historical and recreational experiences for too long. Please do what you can to help achieve access reform.

I support the resolution (SFBOS file # 160183) to allow responsible access to the SFPUC watershed lands over existing service road such as Fifield-Cahill Ridge, Pilarcitos Road, Whiting Ridge, Old Cañada, and to historical sites for the following reasons:

- Access to the watershed's existing dirt roads would allow hikers and cyclists to visit the area's largest and most scenic unused, publicly held open space, integrating National, State and County parklands across the San Francisco Peninsula.
- At this time there are no significant, scientific concerns over water security, fire safety or environmental stewardship. Prior to opening a complete environmental investigation will surface any environmental issues that need to be addressed.
- The "trails", dirt roads actually, are currently used by SFPUC trucks on a daily basis. They could easily be designated as a trail system, much as is done in the Marin County and Santa Clara County Watersheds.
- The Watershed property contains some of the most important historical and cultural heritage sites in the state of California. The public has a right to be able to access these sites.

Please support of Resolution 160183 and for SFPUC to work cooperatively with San Mateo County to improve access to the watershed.

Thank you for your public service.

J. Brook Burley
Mountain View

Brenda Bennett

From: Cindi CC <cindicc@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2016 12:29 PM
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; alisa.somera@sfgov.org; Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; Jane.Kim@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org
Cc: PARKS_Commission; Adrienne Tissier; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum
Subject: San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed

Dear honorable Supervisors,

Please include this email as part of the public record.

I would like to express my support for improved public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed. This issue is very important to me and my family. The public has been closed off from the Watershed's scenic, historical and recreational experiences for too long. Please do what you can to help achieve access reform.

I support the resolution (SFBOS file # 160183) to allow responsible access to the SFPUC watershed lands over existing service road such as Fifield-Cahill Ridge, Pilarcitos Road, Whiting Ridge, Old Cañada, and to historical sites for the following reasons:

-Access to the watershed's existing dirt roads would allow hikers and cyclists to visit the area's largest and most scenic unused, publicly held open space, integrating National, State and County parklands across the San Francisco Peninsula.

-At this time there are no significant, scientific concerns over water security, fire safety or environmental stewardship. Prior to opening a complete environmental investigation will surface any environmental issues that need to be addressed.

-The "trails", dirt roads actually, are currently used by SFPUC trucks on a daily basis. They could easily be designated as a trail system, much as is done in the Marin County and Santa Clara County Watersheds.

-The Watershed property contains some of the most important historical and cultural heritage sites in the state of California. The public has a right to be able to access these sites.

Please support of Resolution 160183 and for SFPUC to work cooperatively with San Mateo County to improve access to the watershed.

Thank you for your public service.

Cindi Choi, San Francisco

Brenda Bennett

From: Steve Guerrero <expositum@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2016 4:42 PM
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; alisa.somera@sfgov.org; Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; Jane.Kim@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org
Cc: PARKS_Commission; Adrienne Tissier; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum
Subject: Please vote to open the watershed. Yes on Resolution 160183

Dear honorable Supervisors,

Please include this email as part of the public record.

I would like to express my support for improved public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed. This issue is very important to me and my family. The public has been closed off from the Watershed's scenic, historical and recreational experiences for too long. Please do what you can to help achieve access reform.

I support the resolution (SFBOS file # 160183) to allow responsible access to the SFPUC watershed lands over existing service road such as Fifield-Cahill Ridge, Pilarcitos Road, Whiting Ridge, Old Cañada, and to historical sites for the following reasons:

-Access to the watershed's existing dirt roads would allow hikers, cyclists and equestrians to visit the area's largest and most scenic unused, publicly held open space, integrating National, State and County parklands across the San Francisco Peninsula.

-At this time there are no significant, scientific concerns over water security, fire safety or environmental stewardship. Prior to opening a complete environmental investigation will surface any environmental issues that need to be addressed.

-The "trails", dirt roads actually, are currently used by SFPUC trucks on a daily basis. They could easily be designated as a trail system, much as is done in the Marin County and Santa Clara County Watersheds.

-The Watershed property contains some of the most important historical and cultural heritage sites in the state of California. The public has a right to be able to access these sites.

Please support of Resolution 160183 and for SFPUC to work cooperatively with San Mateo County to improve access to the watershed.

Thank you for your public service.

Anthony S Guerrero
46 Latona St
San Francisco, CA 94124

Brenda Bennett

From: Deb Z <girl_from_pitt@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2016 7:34 PM
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; alisa.somera@sfgov.org; Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; Jane.Kim@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org
Cc: PARKS_Commission; Adrienne Tissier; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum
Subject: Peninsula Watershed Improvements - trail access

Dear Supervisors,

Please include this email as part of the public record.

I would like to express my support for improved public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed. This issue is very important to me and my family. The public has been closed off from the Watershed's scenic, historical and recreational experiences for too long. Please do what you can to help achieve access reform.

I support the resolution (SFBOS file # 160183) to allow responsible access to the SFPUC watershed lands over existing service road such as Fifield-Cahill Ridge, Pilarcitos Road, Whiting Ridge, Old Cañada, and to historical sites for the following reasons:

- Access to the watershed's existing dirt roads would allow hikers and cyclists to visit the area's largest and most scenic unused, publicly held open space, integrating National, State and County parklands across the San Francisco Peninsula.
- At this time there are no significant, scientific concerns over water security, fire safety or environmental stewardship. Prior to opening a complete environmental investigation will surface any environmental issues that need to be addressed.
- The "trails", dirt roads actually, are currently used by SFPUC trucks on a daily basis. They could easily be designated as a trail system, much as is done in the Marin County and Santa Clara County Watersheds.
- The Watershed property contains some of the most important historical and cultural heritage sites in the state of California. The public has a right to be able to access these sites.

Please support of Resolution 160183 and for SFPUC to work cooperatively with San Mateo County to improve access to the watershed.

Thank you.

Debra A. Zupancic
Redwood City
650-704-4742

"20 yrs from now, you'll be more disappointed from the things you didn't do than by the ones you did do..." - Mark Twain

Brenda Bennett

From: Dave Stringer-Calvert <dave@stringer-calvert.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2016 9:08 PM
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; alisa.somera@sfgov.org; Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; Jane.Kim@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org
Cc: PARKS_Commission; Adrienne Tissier; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum
Subject: SFBOS file # 160183 - access to the SFPUC watershed

Dear honorable Supervisors,

Please include this email as part of the public record.

I would like to express my support for improved public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed. This issue is very important to me and my family. The public has been closed off from the Watershed's scenic, historical and recreational experiences for too long. Please do what you can to help achieve access reform.

I support the resolution (SFBOS file # 160183) to allow responsible access to the SFPUC watershed lands over existing service road such as Fifield-Cahill Ridge, Pilarcitos Road, Whiting Ridge, Old Cañada, and to historical sites for the following reasons:

- Access to the watershed's existing dirt roads would allow hikers, cyclists and equestrians to visit the area's largest and most scenic unused, publicly held open space, integrating National, State and County parklands across the San Francisco Peninsula.
- There are no significant, scientific concerns over water security, fire safety or environmental stewardship. By law prior to opening a complete environmental investigation will surface any environmental issues that need to be addressed.
- The "trails", dirt roads actually, are currently used by SFPUC trucks on a daily basis. They could easily be designated as a trail system, much as is done in the Marin County and Santa Clara County Watersheds.
- The Watershed property contains some of the most important historical and cultural heritage sites in the state of California. The public has a right to be able to access these sites.

Please support of Resolution 160183 and for SFPUC to work cooperatively with San Mateo County to improve access to the watershed.

Thank you for your public service.

David Stringer-Calvert
San Francisco, Calif.

Brenda Bennett

From: Winston Lazar <whlazar@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2016 9:57 AM
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; alisa.somera@sfgov.org; Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; Jane.Kim@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org
Cc: PARKS_Commission; Adrienne Tissier; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum
Subject: Resolution to allow responsible access to the SFPUC watershed

Dear honorable Supervisors,

Please include this email as part of the public record.

I would like to express my support for improved public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed. This issue is very important to me and my family. The public has been closed off from the Watershed's scenic, historical and recreational experiences for too long. Please do what you can to help achieve access reform.

I support the resolution (SFBOS file # 160183) to allow responsible access to the SFPUC watershed lands over existing service road such as Fifield-Cahill Ridge, Pilarcitos Road, Whiting Ridge, Old Cañada, and to historical sites for the following reasons:

- Access to the watershed's existing dirt roads would allow hikers and cyclists to visit the area's largest and most scenic unused, publicly held open space, integrating National, State and County parklands across the San Francisco Peninsula.
- At this time there are no significant, scientific concerns over water security, fire safety or environmental stewardship. Prior to opening a complete environmental investigation will surface any environmental issues that need to be addressed.
- The "trails", dirt roads actually, are currently used by SFPUC trucks on a daily basis. They could easily be designated as a trail system, much as is done in the Marin County and Santa Clara County Watersheds.
- The Watershed property contains some of the most important historical and cultural heritage sites in the state of California. The public has a right to be able to access these sites.

Please support of Resolution 160183 and for SFPUC to work cooperatively with San Mateo County to improve access to the watershed.

Thank you for your public service.

--

Winston Lazar
e: whlazar@gmail.com
t: @wlazar
(312) 485-4987

Brenda Bennett

From: Sharon <shagberg007@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2016 10:38 AM
To: alisa.somera@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org
Cc: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; Jane.Kim@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org; PARKS_Commission; Adrienne Tissier; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum
Subject: My Support for Open the Watershed

Dear Supervisors Cohen, Peskin and Wiener:

Please include this email as part of the public record.

I would like to express my support for improved public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed. This issue is very important to me and my family. The public has been closed off from the Watershed's scenic, historical and recreational experiences for too long. Please do what you can to help achieve access reform.

I support the resolution (SFBOS file # 160183) to allow responsible access to the SFPUC watershed lands over existing service road such as Fifield-Cahill Ridge, Pilarcitos Road, Whiting Ridge, Old Cañada, and to historical sites for the following reasons:

- Access to the watershed's existing dirt roads would allow hikers, cyclists and equestrians to visit the area's largest and most scenic unused, publicly held open space, integrating National, State and County parklands across the San Francisco Peninsula.
- At this time there are no significant, scientific concerns over water security, fire safety or environmental stewardship. Prior to opening a complete environmental investigation will surface any environmental issues that need to be addressed.
- The "trails", dirt roads actually, are currently used by SFPUC trucks on a daily basis. They could easily be designated as a trail system, much as is done in the Marin County and Santa Clara County Watersheds.
- The Watershed property contains some of the most important historical and cultural heritage sites in the state of California. The public has a right to be able to access these sites.

Please support of Resolution 160183 and for SFPUC to work cooperatively with San Mateo County to improve access to the watershed.

Thank you for your public service.

Sharon Hagberg, Burlingame, CA

Brenda Bennett

From: Evan Bissell <erbissell1@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 14, 2016 1:46 PM
To: Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org; alisa.somera@sfgov.org; Breedstaff@sfgov.org; David.Campos@sfgov.org; Eric.L.Mar@sfgov.org; Jane.Kim@sfgov.org; John.Avalos@sfgov.org; Katy.Tang@sfgov.org; Malia.Cohen@sfgov.org; Mark.Farrell@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org; Norman.Yee@sfgov.org; Scott.Wiener@sfgov.org; commissioners@sfgov.org
Cc: PARKS_Commission; Adrienne Tissier; Carole Groom; Don Horsley; Dave Pine; Marlene Finley; Warren Slocum
Subject: SF Watershed

Dear honorable Supervisors,

Please include this email as part of the public record.

I would like to express my support for improved public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Peninsula Watershed. This issue is very important to me and my family. The public has been closed off from the Watershed's scenic, historical and recreational experiences for too long. Please do what you can to help achieve access reform.

I support the resolution (SFBOS file # 160183) to allow responsible access to the SFPUC watershed lands over existing service road such as Fifield-Cahill Ridge, Pilarcitos Road, Whiting Ridge, Old Cañada, and to historical sites for the following reasons:

-Access to the watershed's existing dirt roads would allow hikers and cyclists to visit the area's largest and most scenic unused, publicly held open space, integrating National, State and County parklands across the San Francisco Peninsula.

-At this time there are no significant, scientific concerns over water security, fire safety or environmental stewardship. Prior to opening a complete environmental investigation will surface any environmental issues that need to be addressed.

-The "trails", dirt roads actually, are currently used by SFPUC trucks on a daily basis. They could easily be designated as a trail system, much as is done in the Marin County and Santa Clara County Watersheds.

-The Watershed property contains some of the most important historical and cultural heritage sites in the state of California. The public has a right to be able to access these sites.

Please support of Resolution 160183 and for SFPUC to work cooperatively with San Mateo County to improve access to the watershed.

Thank you for your public service.

Evan Bissell

San Mateo, CA

erbissell1@gmail.com
805-235-0599

Brenda Bennett

From: Keri Stokoe <kpalko@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, September 16, 2016 10:00 AM
To: David.Campos@sfgov.org; mayoredwinlee@sfgov.org
Cc: charliekrenz@openthewatershed.org; PARKS_Commission; Marlene Finley
Subject: Open The Watershed

Dear Mayor Lee and Supervisor Campos,

I would like to express my support for improved public access to the San Francisco Public Utility Commission's Crystal Springs Watershed. The public has been closed off from the Watersheds scenic, historical and recreational experiences for too long. Please do what you can to help achieve access reform and support OpenTheWatershed.org.

How great it would be if we could have additional trails for hiking, riding, and running!

Thank you,

Keri Stokoe
San Francisco