



November 3, 2016

SERENITY HOUSE 3701 Hacienda Street San Mateo, CA Project No. PC023

Issued on: November 3, 2016

## TO ALL PLAN HOLDERS:

The following Addendum No. 4 to the above referenced project shall be included in the project Plans and Specifications.

Responses to Bidders Questions are enclosed.

Questions regarding this project should be directed to Department of Public Works, 555 County Center, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Redwood City, California, 94063-1065 (Project Manager Michael Ramirez, <u>mramirez@smcgov.org</u> 650.599.7398 and Paul Hundal <u>ahundal@smcgov.org</u> 650.599.1449)

## **Confirmation of Receipt**

This form must be returned with your proposal or received by proposal due date

Addendum No. 4

SERENITY HOUSE 3701 Hacienda Street San Mateo, CA Project No. PC023 Department of Public Works 555 County Center, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor Redwood City, CA 94063



# Addendum No. 4 Serenity House

This is to confirm that <b>Addendum No. 4 issued on</b> has been received and that all information contained in the addendum has been incorporated into the Contractor's proposal.	l
By Contractors:	
Company Name	
Authorized Signature  Print Name	
Date	



November 2, 2016

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL 415-814-6913

Michael Ramirez
Project Manager
County of San Mateo
555 County Center
Redwood City, CA 94063

Re:

County of San Mateo Serenity House Respite Center

Bidding Addendum: 04 - Contractor Bidding Questions

HGA Commission Number 3505-003-01

## Dear Michael Ramirez:

Per the County of San Mateo's request we are presenting this Addendum 04 in response to Contractor questions during bidding. The questions are based on the reviewed and permitted construction documents posted to the County of San Mateo Public Works web site. Drawings and specifications dated Feb 05, 2016 with Change Order 01 dated Aug. 26, 2016.

## **Question 1**

Do you have an estimated start date for this project? I could not find this in the specifications.

## Response 1

We anticipate the start of construction to be in the 1<sup>st</sup> quarter of 2017

## Question 2

Volume 2 General requirements, page 6, 1.9, C, 1 calls for testing by Contractor while Volume 1, General Conditions, page 21, 6.11 A calls for testing by Owner. Please confirm

## Response 2

Please disregard Volume 1, General Conditions, page 21, 6.11 A for testing by Owner and follow Volume 2 General requirements, page 6, 1.9, C, 1 for testing by Contractor

## **Question 3**

024119 Demo, under Base Bid calls for removal of gravel only at BU roof while 075113 calls for removal of all existing BU roof before new BU roof?

## Response 3

Section 024119 is incorrect as it relates to the roofing system, demo & replacement. Please follow requirements in sections 075113 for new built-up asphalt roofing system and 075713 for polyurethane foam roofing system add alternate.

#### Question 4

311813, synthetic turf, is found in the spec book but is not listed in the TOC?

Michael Ramirez November 2, 2016 Page 2

Response 4

The synthetic turf, or lawn system, is included in the specification table of contents and in the specification book in Division 32. There is a type-o, the section called out as 311813 in Division 32 should have read 321813 as the section is numbered in the book.

## Question 5

...saw a keynote 17 on A2.01 stating that some windows are an add alternate but the add alternate sheet doesn't have this listed?

Response 5

The keynote is incorrect. All new windows in the project should be part of the base bid.

## Question 6

General Conditions: Page 14, Item H calls for all utilities to be by Contractor but elsewhere it notes the county will keep utilities active. Please confirm who pays for power and water, etc.

Response 6

General Conditions, page 14, Item H is incorrect. Power and water will be left on during construction and the bills will be paid for by the County of San Mateo.

## Question 7

General Conditions: Page 18, Item 6.5 notes contractor [is] to pay for all permits. If this is accurate can a fee estimate be provided?

Response 7

All permits will be paid for and provided by the County of San Mateo

## Question 8

It occurred to me that we quoted a strait NFPA 13 system with steel pipe and a Light Hazard design. The specs called for a design build system, but did not indicate that it could be installed under NFPA 13R which is the Residential Code. The addendum 1 noted on the front page that "NO PLASTIC PLUMBING ALLOWED WITHIN BUILDING OR WATER SERVICE". I assumed this was implied for the fire sprinkler system as well (steel required per specs).

I have reviewed the new documents and specs, but it is not clear if the fire sprinklers can be installed per NFPA 13R like a residential house. My quote would dramatically change if this can be installed under the Residential Design. The requirements for the UNDERGROUND supply are different as well. The NFPA 13R system can be feed from a Domestic Water Meter instead of a separate 4" Fire Water supply. I will try and look into the requirements for the fire sprinkler on this type of occupancy to see if the system can be considered Residential, but It may require a RFI to get a straight answer."

Response 8

No plastic piping will be accepted for the fire sprinkler system per County requirements. NFPA 13 is referenced in specification Section 210000, 1.7, C., 32. a. Please design the system per all pertanent codes and the requirements listed in the construction documents.

## Question 9

Is there a Hazardous Material Report for this project?

#### Response 9

Yes there are Hazardous Material Reports. Please see the posted Addendum 03 on the County of San Mateo web site.

Michael Ramirez November 2, 2016 Page 3

## **Question 10**

I can't seem to locate the engineer's estimate on this project? May I ask if there is an \$ estimate?

Response 10

Yes there has been an estimate for construction prepared by the Architects. Estimated cost between \$1.8 million - \$2.2 million.

## Question 11

Section 233100, [2.2 Ductwork, Joints and Fittings], paragraph G asks for welded or die-formed elbows (20 ga.). Typically, a job like this would allow adjustable, sealed elbows.

Response 11

The Mechanical Engineers consider the welded or die-formed elbows to be superior products. Please bid this element of the project per the current specifications.

## **Question 12**

Section 233500 indicates a Refrigeration Detection and Alarm System is required. Typically, this isn't required on a job this size. Please verify that the two above items are intended to be required.

Response 12

Refrigeration Detection and Alarm System is not required. Bidding contractors can omit this system from their bids.

Sincerely,

Randy Markham

Associate, Sr. Proj. Coordinator

Enclosure: None

cc: Project file, Paul Hundal, Patricia Hansen, Kevin Day

q:\3500\3505\003-01\5. bidding\public bid questions\bid question letter\_11012016.docx