Single Audit Reports

For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

Table of Contents

	Page
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on	
Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements	
Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards	1
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance with Requirements that Could Have a	
Direct and Material Effect on Each Major Program, on Internal Control Over	
Compliance and on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards in	
Accordance With OMB Circular A-133	3
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	11
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	16
Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs	25



Sacramento • Walnut Creek • Oakland • Los Angeles/Century City • Newport Beach • San Diego

To the Grand Jury and Board of Supervisors of the County of San Mateo Redwood City, California

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County of San Mateo, California (County) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2010, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated November 5, 2010. Our report includes a reference to other auditors, and also includes an explanatory paragraph indicating that the County adopted the provisions of Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 53, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Derivative Instruments*. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Other auditors audited the financial statements of the Housing Authority of the County of San Mateo and the San Mateo County Employees' Retirement Association, as described in our report on the County's financial statements. This report does not include the results of the other auditors' testing of internal control over financial reporting or compliance and other matters that are reported on separately by those auditors.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over financial reporting.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control over financial reporting, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2010-A, that we consider to be a significant deficiency in internal control over financial reporting. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We noted certain other matters that we reported to management of the County in a separate letter dated November 5, 2010.

Management's response to the finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. We did not audit the County's response and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the County Grand Jury, the County Board of Supervisors, County management, federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Certified Public Accountants Walnut Creek, California

Macias Gini & C Connel LLP

November 5, 2010



Sacramento • Walnut Creek • Oakland • Los Angeles/Century City • Newport Beach • San Diego

To Grand Jury and the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Mateo Redwood City, California

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance with Requirements that Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on Each Major Program, on Internal Control Over Compliance and on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards in Accordance With OMB Circular A-133

Compliance

We have audited the County of San Mateo, California's (County) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the County's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2010. The County's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the County's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the County's compliance based on our audit.

The County's basic financial statements include the operations of the Housing Authority of the County of San Mateo (Housing Authority), which expended \$60,494,725 in federal awards that is not included in the schedule of expenditures of federal awards for the year ended June 30, 2010. Our audit as described below did not include the operations of Housing Authority because the Housing Authority engaged other auditors to perform an audit in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the County's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the County complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2010. However, the results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance with those requirements, which are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2010-01, 2010-02, and 2010-03.

Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program to determine the auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and therefore, there can be no assurance that all deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses have been identified. However, as discussed below, we identified a deficiency in internal control over compliance that we consider to be a material weakness and other deficiencies that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2010-02 to be a material weakness.

A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2010-01, 2010-03 and 2010-04 to be significant deficiencies.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County as of and for the year ended June 30, 2010, and have issued our report thereon dated November 5, 2010. Our report includes a reference to other auditors and also includes an explanatory paragraph indicating that the County adopted the provisions of Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 53, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Derivative Instruments. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming our opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

The County's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. We did not audit the County's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the County Grant Jury, the County Board of Supervisors, County Management, federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Certified Public Accountants

Walnut Creek, California

March 31, 2011, except for the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, which is dated November 5, 2010

Macias Gini & C Connel LLP

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number (CFDA)	Federal Expenditures	Amount Provided to Subrecipients	Pass-Through Entity Number
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	Number (CFDA)	Expenditures	Subtecipients	Entity Number
Pass-Through Programs, State of California, Department of Food and Agriculture: Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care Total Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care	10.025 10.025 10.025 10.025 10.025 10.025 10.025	\$ 322,485 28,945 141,701 13,316 4,983 2,446 61,978 575,854	\$ - - - - - - -	07-0102 09-0442 08-0698 09-0620 09-0745 09-0809 09-0663
Senior Farmers Market Nutrition Program ARRA - Wildland Fire Management	10.576 10.688	10,000 7,920	10,000 7,200	None 09-0653
Pass-Through Programs, State of California, Department of Social Services: SNAP Cluster: State Administrative Matching Grants for Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) ARRA-State Administrative Matching Grants for SNAP State Administrative Matching Grants for SNAP State Administrative Matching Grants for SNAP Subtotal of SNAP Cluster	10.561 10.561 10.561 10.561	5,831,091 110,252 56,882 61,571 6,059,796	- - - - -	None None 09-11233 06-55115
Pass-Through Programs, State of California, Department of Education: Child Nutrition Cluster: School Breakfast Program National School Lunch Program Subtotal of Child Nutrition Cluster	10.553 10.555	128,497 200,564 329,061	- - -	41-10413-6045223-01 41-10413-6045223-01
Pass-Through Program, State of California, Department of Public Health: Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	10.557	2,946,947		08-85467
Subtotal of Pass-Through Programs		9,929,578	17,200	
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE		9,929,578	17,200	
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE				
Pass-Through Program, National Marine Sanctuary Foundation: Marine Sanctuary Program Pass-Through Program, City and County of San Francisco:	11.429	373,416	-	None
Public Safety Interoperable Communications Grant Program	11.555	454,491		2007-2008
Subtotal of Pass-Through Programs		827,907		
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE		827,907		
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT Direct Programs: CDBG - Entitlement Grants Cluster:				
COMMUNITY Development Block Grants / Entitlement Grants ARRA - Community Development Block Grants / Entitlement Grants Subtotal of CDBG - Entitlement Grants Cluster	14.218 14.253	2,369,847 210,172 2,580,019	1,829,819 178,541 2,008,360	
Emergency Shelter Grants Program Home Investment Partnerships Program Economic Development Initiative - Special Project, Neighborhood Initiative	14.231 14.239	122,250 21,148,018	122,250 1,014,075	
and Miscellaneous Grants ARRA - Homeless Prevention Rapid Re-housing Program	14.251 14.257	146,400 394,193	117,000 325,407	
Subtotal of Direct Programs		24,390,880	3,587,092	
Pass-Through Program, San Francisco Redevelopment Agency: Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS	14.241	779,300	568,448	None
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT		25,170,180	4,155,540	

	Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance	Federal	Amount Provided to	Pass-Through
Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Number (CFDA)	Expenditures	Subrecipients	Entity Number
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE				
Direct Programs:				
Edward Byrne Memorial Formula Grant Program	16.579	23,620	-	
Edward Byrne Memorial State and Local Law Enforcement Assistance				
Discretionary Grants Program	16.580	59,170	-	
State Criminal Alien Assistance Program Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants	16.606 16.710	1,801,676 519,342	-	
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	16.738	30,999	28,954	
Forensic DNA Backlog Reduction Program	16.741	95,328	20,754	
Paul Coverdell Forensic Sciences Improvement Grant Program	16.742	43,274	-	
ARRA - Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program				
Grants to Units of Local Government	16.804	550,343	-	
Second Chance Act Prisoner Reentry Initiative	16.812	248,582	180,007	
Subtotal of Direct Programs		3,372,334	208,961	
Pass-Through Program, State of California, Corrections Standards Authority:				
Juvenile Accountability Block Grants	16.523	42,272	42,272	CSA 181-09
Pass-Through Program, City and County of San Francisco:				
Public Safety Partnership and Community Policing Grants	16.710	603,281	-	2007CK-WX-0028
Pass-Through Programs, State of California, Emergency Management Agency:				
Crime Victim Assistance	16.575	110,000	-	SE09190410
Crime Victim Assistance Subtotal of Crime Victim Assistance	16.575	174,534 284,534		VW09280410
	16.570			DC00200410
Edward Byrne Memorial Formula Grant Program Paul Coverdell Forensic Sciences Improvement Grant Program	16.579 16.742	384,315 44,605	-	DC09200410 CQ08060410
ARRA - State Victim Assistance Formula Grant Program	16.801	19,042	-	VS09010410
ARRA - Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program	10.001	17,012		1507010110
Grants to Units of Local Government	16.804	276,439	165,313	ZO09010410
ARRA - Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program				
Grants to Units of Local Government	16.804	56,688	-	ZA09010410
Pass-Through Programs, National Police Athletic / Activity League:				
ARRA - Edward Byrne Memorial Competitive Grant Program	16.808	4,861	-	ARRACA128
ARRA - Edward Byrne Memorial Competitive Grant Program	16.808	4,471	-	ARRACA122
ARRA - Edward Byrne Memorial Competitive Grant Program	16.808	4,869	-	ARRACA098
ARRA - Edward Byrne Memorial Competitive Grant Program Subtotal of ARRA - Edward Byrne Memorial Competitive Grant Program	16.808	5,829 20,030		ARRACA097
			207 595	
Subtotal of Pass-Through Programs		1,731,206	207,585	
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE		5,103,540	416,546	
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR				
Pass-Through Program, State of California, Department of Aging:				
Senior Community Service Employment Program	17.235	169,792	169,792	TV-0910-08
ARRA - Senior Community Service Employment Program	17.235	39,326	39,326	ES-0809-08
Subtotal of Senior Community Service Employment Program		209,118	209,118	
Pass-Through Programs, State of California, Employment Development Department: WIA Cluster:				
WIA Adult Program	17.258	551,471	107,848	K074175
ARRA-WIA Adult Program	17.258	362,086	32,412	R970571
Subtotal of WIA Adult Program		913,557	140,260	
WIA Youth Activities	17.259	844,615	668,522	K074175
ARRA-WIA Youth Activities	17.259	773,516	525,778	R970571
Subtotal of WIA Youth Activities		1,618,131	1,194,300	
WIA Dislocated Workers	17.260	2,212,291	139,160	K074175
WIA Dislocated Workers	17.260	231,767	48,000	R970571
ARRA-WIA Dislocated Workers	17.260	1,367,923	49,029	R970571
Subtotal of WIA Cluster		3,811,981	236,189	
Subtotal of WIA Cluster		6,343,669	1,570,749	
Subtotal of Pass-Through Programs		6,552,787	1,779,867	
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR		6,552,787	1,779,867	
				

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number (CFDA)	Federal Expenditures	Amount Provided to Subrecipients	Pass-Through Entity Number
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION		<u> </u>		
Direct Program:				
Airport Improvement Program	20.106	3,085,345		
Highway Planning and Construction Cluster: Pass-Through Program, State of California, Department of Transportation:				
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	529,551	-	BROS 0081(011)
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	4,727	-	BRLO 5935(052)
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	73,000	-	STP 6084(146)
Highway Planning and Construction Highway Planning and Construction	20.205 20.205	163,429 11,309	_	CML 5935(044) CML 5935(045)
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	40,000	-	CML 5935(048)
ARRA - Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	1,725,667		ESPL 5935(054)
Subtotal of Highway Planning and Construction		2,547,683	-	
Pass-Through Program, State of California, Department of Transportation: Recreational Trails Program	20.219	157,420	_	None
Subtotal of Highway Planning and Construction Cluster	20,219	2,705,103		Tione
Subtotal of Pass-Through Programs		2,705,103		
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION		5,790,448		
		3,770,440		
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY				
Pass-Through Program, State of California, Department of Public Health: Beach Monitoring and Notification Program Implementation Grants	66.472	15,000	_	08-85539
TOTAL U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	00.172	15,000		00 03337
		10,000		
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY				
Direct Programs:				
ARRA - Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability, Research, Development and Analysis	81.122	796,042	_	
ARRA - Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program (EECBG)	81.128	129,425	81,899	
Subtotal of Direct Programs		925,467	81,899	
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY		925,467	81,899	
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION				
Pass-Through Program, San Mateo County Office of Education:				
Special Education Cluster (IDEA): Special Education - Grants to States	84.027	1,979,619		04-14468-1041-01
•	04.027	1,979,019	-	04-14408-1041-01
Pass-Through Program, State of California, Alcohol and Drug Programs: Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities - State Grants	84.186	220,000	161,395	SFD-07-04
Subtotal of Pass-Through Programs		2,199,619	161,395	
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION		2,199,619	161,395	
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES				
Direct Programs:				
Consolidated Health Centers (Community Health Centers, Migrant Health				
Centers, Health Care for the Homeless, Public Housing Care, and School	02.224	1 022 210		
Based Health Centers) Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services - Projects of Regional and	93.224	1,033,219	-	
National Significance	93.243	106,068	62,241	
ARRA - Grants to Health Center Programs	93.703	144,708	-	
Health Care and Other Facilities Adolescent Family Life - Demonstration Projects	93.887 93.995	33,825 392,024	-	
Subtotal of Direct Programs	75.775	1,709,844	62,241	
Pass-Through Programs, State of California, Department of Aging:		, ,,		
Special Programs for the Aging - Title VII, Chapter 3 - Programs for				
Prevention of Elder Abuse, Neglect, and Exploitation	93.041	9,709	-	AP-0910-08
Special Programs for the Aging - Title VII, Chapter 2 - Long Term Care Ombudsman Services for Older Individuals	93.042	43,796	43,796	AP-0910-08
Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part D - Disease Prevention and	23.0.2	.5,,,,	.5,,,,	, 10 00
Health Promotion Services	93.043	42,293	42,293	AP-0910-08

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number (CFDA)	Federal Expenditures	Amount Provided to Subrecipients	Pass-Through Entity Number
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (Continued)				
Pass-Through Programs, State of California, Department of Aging: (Continued) Medicare Enrollment Assistance Program	93.071	3,421	3,294	MI-0910-08
Aging Cluster: Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part B - Grants for Supportive Services and Senior Centers Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part C - Nutrition Services	93.044 93.045	761,070 1,100,310	637,780 958,492	AP-0910-08 AP-0910-08
Nutrition Services Incentive Program ARRA - Aging Home - Delivered Nutrition Services for States ARRA - Aging Congregate Nutrition Services for States Subtotal of Aging Cluster	93.053 93.705 93.707	213,665 61,737 124,510 2,261,292	213,665 59,633 121,130 1,990,700	AP-0910-08 NS-0809-08 NS-0809-08
National Family Caregiver Support, Title III, Part E Medical Assistance Program	93.052 93.778	352,091 385,650	317,945	AP-0910-08 MS-0910-13
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) Research, Demonstrations and Evaluations	93.779	120,841	112,139	HI-0910-08
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) Research, Demonstrations and Evaluations Subtotal of CMS Research, Demonstrations and Evaluations	93.779	7,118 127,959	7,043 119,182	MI-0910-08
Pass-Through Programs, State of California, Department of Health Care Services: Disabilities Prevention	93.184	912,840	-	None
Immunization Cluster: Immunization Grants	93.268	283,618	-	09-11297
Children's Health Insurance Program Medical Assistance Program Medical Assistance Program ARRA - Medical Assistance Program	93.767 93.778 93.778 93.778	375,339 1,727,784 61,619 539,632	- - -	None None 08-85088 None
Pass-Through Programs, State of California, Department of Public Health: Environmental Public Health and Emergency Response	93.070	1,174,988	-	EPO 09-41/EPO P3-41
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Investigations and Technical Assistance Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Investigations and	93.283	107,615	-	EPO 08-41
Technical Assistance Subtotal of Centers for Disease Control and Prevention - Investigations and Technical Assistance	93.283	752,656		EPO 09-41
National Bioterrorism Hospital Preparedness Program National Bioterrorism Hospital Preparedness Program National Bioterrorism Hospital Preparedness Program Subtotal of National Bioterrorism Hospital Preparedness Program	93.889 93.889 93.889	19,512 63,102 249,700 332,314	- - - -	EPO HPP 07-41 EPO 08-41 EPO 09-41
Preventive Health Services - Sexually Transmitted Diseases Control Grants Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States	93.977 93.994	35,846 625,182	-	09-11148 200941
Pass-Through Programs, State of California, Department of Mental Health: Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH) Block Grants for Community Mental Health Services	93.150 93.958	146,530 875,817	146,530 202,774	None None
Pass-Through Programs, State of California, Department of Social Services: Promoting Safe and Stable Families TANF Cluster:	93.556	234,250	-	None
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families ARRA - Emergency Contingency Fund for Temporary Assistance	93.558	34,359,907	-	None None
for Needy Families (TANF) State Program Subtotal of TANF Cluster	93.714	3,399,457 37,759,364	<u>-</u> _	None
Refugee and Entrant Assistance - State Administered Programs U.S. Repatriation	93.566 93.579	57,490 1,319	-	None None
Child Welfare Services - State Grants Foster Care - Title IV-E	93.645 93.658	374,806 11,003,025	-	None None
ARRA - Foster Care - Title IV-E Foster Care - Title IV-E ARRA-Foster Care - Title IV-E Subtotal of Foster Care - Title IV-E	93.658 93.658 93.658	157,483 1,286,536 298,219 12,745,263	- - -	None 2024.00.01 2024.00.01
THE TAX OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR		12,713,203		

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number (CFDA)	Federal Expenditures	Amount Provided to Subrecipients	Pass-Through Entity Number
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (Continued)				
Pass-Through Programs, State of California, Department of Social Services: (Continued) Adoption Assistance ARRA-Adoption Assistance Subtotal of Adoption Assistance	93.659 93.659	2,647,088 228,403 2,875,491		None None
Social Services Block Grant Chafee Foster Care Independence Program Medical Assistance Program ARRA-Medical Assistance Program	93.667 93.674 93.778 93.778	1,115,360 181,246 3,995,113 627,846	- - - -	None None None
Pass-Through Programs, State of California, Department of Child Support Services: Child Support Enforcement ARRA-Child Support Enforcement Subtotal of Child Support Enforcement	93.563 93.563	6,247,867 1,263,731 7,511,598	- - -	None None
Pass-Through Programs, State of California, Department of Education: CCDF Cluster: Child Care and Development Block Grant Child Care Mandatory and Matching Funds of the	93.575	29,649	29,649	C2AP-9058
Child Care and Development Fund Subtotal of CCDF Cluster	93.596	395,577 425,226	29,649	CAPP-9063
Pass-Through Programs, State of California, Alcohol and Drug Programs: Medical Assistance Program ARRA-Medical Assistance Program Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse	93.778 93.778 93.959	354,860 82,257 4,603,963	101,961 19,187 4,349,588	07B1CASAPT-02 07B1CASAPT-02 07B1CASAPT-02
Pass-Through Program, State of California, Secretary of State: Voting Access for Individuals with Disabilities - Grants to States Voting Access for Individuals with Disabilities - Grants to States Voting Access for Individuals with Disabilities - Grants to States Subtotal of Voting Access for Individuals with Disabilities - Grants to States	93.617 93.617 93.617	4,800 66,186 51,773 122,759	- - - -	09G26141 08G26129 07G26115
Pass-Through Program, City and County of San Francisco: HIV Emergency Relief Project Grants HIV Emergency Relief Project Grants Subtotal of HIV Emergency Relief Project Grants	93.914 93.914	1,578,264 482,443 2,060,707	288,039 52,232 340,271	DPHC1000072801 None
HIV Care Formula Grants HIV Prevention Activities - Health Department Based	93.917 93.940	452,374 164,312	-	07-65080 07-65080
Subtotal of Pass-Through Programs		86,815,980	7,707,170	
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES		88,525,824	7,769,411	
OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE PRESIDENT				
Direct Program: High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas Program	95.001	3,338,409	-	
Pass-Through Program, State of California, San Diego County Sheriff's Office: High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area Program	95.001	25,333		None
Subtotal of High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area Program		3,363,742		
TOTAL OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE PRESIDENT		3,363,742		
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY				
Pass-Through Programs, City and County of San Francisco: Homeland Security Grant Program Homeland Security Grant Program	97.067 97.067	1,497,047 130,782	- -	2008 UASI 2007-0008 UASI
Pass-Through Programs, State of California, Emergency Management Agency: Emergency Management Performance Grants Homeland Security Grant Program Homeland Security Grant Program Homeland Security Grant Program Buffer Zone Protection Program (BZPP)	97.042 97.067 97.067 97.067 97.078	166,861 1,332,096 1,206,581 602,313 13,832	- - - -	2009-0015 2007-0008 2008-0006 2009-0019 2008-0008
Subtotal of Pass-Through Programs		4,949,512		
TOTAL U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY		4,949,512		
TOTAL EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS		\$ 153,353,604	\$ 14,381,858	

Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

1. GENERAL

The schedule of expenditures of federal awards (Schedule) includes the federal grant activity of the County of San Mateo, California (County). All federal financial assistance received directly from federal agencies as well as federal financial assistance passed through other governmental agencies are included on this Schedule except for assistance related to Medical Assistance (Medi-Cal) and Medicare Hospital Insurance (Medicare) (Note 5) and the Housing Authority of the County of San Mateo (Housing Authority) (Note 6).

In accordance with requirements under U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133, expenditures for federal awards under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) are separately identified in the Schedule by inclusion of the prefix "ARRA-" in identifying the name of the federal program on the SEFA.

2. BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

The accompanying Schedule is presented using the modified accrual basis of accounting for program expenditures accounted for in the governmental funds and the accrual basis of accounting for program expenditures accounted for in the proprietary funds as described in Note 2(b) of the County's basic financial statements. In addition, the outstanding balance of prior years' loans that have significant continuing compliance requirements has been included in total federal expenditures for the Home Investment Partnerships Program (Note 7).

3. RELATIONSHIP TO FEDERAL FINANCIAL REPORTS

Amounts reported in the accompanying Schedule agree or can be reconciled with amounts reported in the related federal financial assistance reports.

4. RELATIONSHIP TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Federal award expenditures agree or can be reconciled with the amounts reported in the County's basic financial statements.

5. MEDI-CAL AND MEDICARE

Direct Medi-Cal and Medicare expenditures are excluded from the Schedule. These expenditures represent fees for services and are not included in the Schedule or in determining major programs. The County assists the State of California in determining eligibility and provides Medi-Cal and Medicare services through County-owned facilities. Administrative costs related to Medi-Cal and Medicare are, however, included in the Schedule under the Medical Assistance Program (CFDA number 93.778).

Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

6. HOUSING AUTHORITY OF THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

Housing Authority federal expenditures are excluded from the Schedule and are separately audited by other auditors. Federal expenditures for the Housing Authority programs are taken from the separately issued single audit report for the year ended June 30, 2010. The federal programs of the Housing Authority are as follows:

Program Title	CFDA Number	Federal Expenditures
Public and Indian Housing	14.850	\$ 289,366
Capital Fund Program	14.872	347,582
ARRA Capital Fund	14.885	109,191
Section 8 Programs:		
Moderate Rehabilitation	14.856	1,315,981
Housing Choice Vouchers	14.871	50,430,587
Shelter Plus Care	14.238	2,405,583
Moving to Work	14.881	4,894,502
Supporting Housing	14.235	701,933
Total		\$ 60,494,725

7. LOANS OUTSTANDING

The County participates in certain federal award programs that sponsor revolving loan programs, which are administered by the County. These programs maintain servicing and trust arrangements with the County to collect loan repayments. The funds are returned to the programs upon repayment of the principal and interest. The federal government has imposed certain significant continuing compliance requirements with respect to the loans rendered under the Home Investment Partnerships (HOME) Program (CFDA number 14.239). In accordance with Subpart B, Section 205 of the U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, the County has reported the outstanding balance of loans from previous years that have significant continuing compliance requirements as of June 30, 2010 along with the value of total new and outstanding loans made during the current year.

During the year ended June 30, 2010, the County incurred \$36,934 in expenditures related to new loans under the HOME program. As of June 30, 2010, the total amount of HOME loans outstanding subject to continuing compliance requirements was \$20,949,366, which is included in the Schedule.

Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

8. CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF AGING (CDA) SINGLE AUDIT REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The terms and conditions of agency contracts with CDA require agencies to display state-funded expenditures discretely along with the related federal expenditures. For state grants not involving federal funding, the amounts are to be displayed separately. The following schedule is presented to comply with these requirements.

Federal Grantor		Grant/		
Pass-through Grantor	CFDA	Contract	Expen	ditures
Program Title	No.	Number	State	Federal
U.S. Department of Labor				
Passed through CA Department of Aging				
Senior Community Service Employment Program	17.235	TV-0910-08	\$ -	\$ 169,792
ARRA-Senior Community Service Employment Program	17.235	ES-0809-08	-	39,326
U.S. Department of Health & Human Services				
Passed through CA Department of Aging				
Special Programs for Aging-Title VII, Chapter 3				
Programs for Prevention of Elder Abuse,				
Neglect, and Exploitation	93.041	AP-0910-08	_	9,709
Special Programs for Aging-Title VII, Chapter 2	75.011	111 0710 00		3,703
Long Term Care Ombudsman Services for				
Older Individuals	93.042	AP-0910-08	_	43,796
Special Programs for Aging-Title III, Part D	70.0.2	111 0710 00		15,775
Disease Prevention and Health Promotion Services	93.043	AP-0910-08	-	42,293
Special Programs for Aging-Title III, Part B				
Grants for Supportive Services and Senior Centers	93.044	AP-0910-08	-	761,070
Special Programs for Aging-Title III, Part C				
Nutrition Services	93.045	AP-0910-08	125,841	1,100,310
National Family Caregiver Support, Title III, Part E	93.052	AP-0910-08	-	352,091
Nutrition Services Incentive Program	93.053	AP-0910-08	-	213,665
Medical Enrollment Assistance Program	93.071	MI-0910-08	-	3,421
ARRA-Aging Home-Delivered Nutrition Services				
for States	93.705	NS-0809-08	-	61,737
ARRA-Aging Congregate Nutrition Services for States	93.707	NS-0809-08	-	124,510
Medical Assistance Program (Medicaid; Title XIX)	93.778	MS-0910-13	385,650	385,650
Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)	93.779	HI-0910-08	180,357	120,841
Research, Demonstrations and Evaluations	93.779	MI-0910-08	-	7,118
Total Expenditures of CDA and Federal Awards			691,848	\$ 3,435,329
State Awards - California Department of Aging:				
CBSP - Administration		AP-0910-08	2,670	
CBSP - Linkages		AP-0910-08	58,440	
CBSP - Respite Purchase of Service		AP-0910-08	3,760	
CBSP - Brown Bag Program		AP-0910-08	5,302	
CBSP - ADCRC		AP-0910-08	17,104	
Ombudsman Volunteer Recruitment Initiative		AP-0910-08	70,585	
Total Expenditures of CDA Awards			\$ 849,709	

Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

9. PROGRAM TOTALS

The following table summarizes programs funded by various sources or grants whose totals are not shown on the Schedule. Expenditures funded by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 are denoted by the prefix "ARRA" in the federal or pass-through grantor name.

	CFDA no. / Program Title / Federal Grantor or Pass-Through Grantor	Federal Expenditures		
(1)	CFDA no. 16.579 - Edward Byrne Memorial Formula Grant I	O _{rogram}		
(1)	U.S. Department of Justice		\$	23,620
	State of California, Emergency Management Agency	DC09200410	Ψ	384,315
	State of Camorina, Emergency Management rigency	Program Total	\$	407,935
		Ü		
(2)	CFDA no. 16.710 - Public Safety Partnership and Community	Policing Grants		
` /	U.S. Department of Justice		\$	519,342
	City and County of San Francisco	2007CK-WX-0028		603,281
		Program Total	\$	1,122,623
(3)	CFDA no. 16.742 - Paul Coverdell Forensic Sciences Improve	ment Grant Program		
(0)	U.S. Department of Justice		\$	43,274
	State of California, Emergency Management Agency	CQ08060410	_	44,605
		Program Total	\$	87,879
(4)	CFDA no. 16.804 - Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance	e Grant (JAG)		
	Program Grants to Units of Local Government			
	ARRA-U.S. Department of Justice		\$	550,343
	ARRA-State of California, Emergency Management Agency	ZO09010410		276,439
	ARRA-State of California, Emergency Management Agency	ZA09010410	Ф.	56,688
		Program Total	\$	883,470
(5)	Medicaid Cluster			
. ,	CFDA no. 93.778 - Medical Assistance Program			
	State of California, Department of Aging	MS-0910-13	\$	385,650
	State of California, Department of Health Care Services	None		1,727,784
	State of California, Department of Health Care Services	08-85088		61,619
	ARRA-State of California, Department of Health Care Services	None		539,632
	State of California, Department of Social Services	None		3,995,113
	ARRA-State of California, Department of Social Services	None		627,846
	State of California, Alcohol and Drug Programs	07B1CASAPT-02		354,860
	ARRA-State of California, Alcohol and Drug Programs	07B1CASAPT-02		82,257
		Cluster Total	\$	7,774,761
(6)	Homeland Security Cluster			
(0)	CFDA no. 97.067 - Homeland Security Grant Program			
	City and County of San Francisco	2008 UASI	\$	1,497,047
	City and County of San Francisco	2007-0008 UASI	Ψ	130,782
	State of California, Emergency Management Agency	2007-0008		1,332,096
	State of California, Emergency Management Agency	2008-0006		1,206,581
	State of California, Emergency Management Agency	2009-0019		602,313
		Program Total	\$	4,768,819

Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

10. SCHEDULES OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY GRANT EXPENDITURES

The following schedule represents expenditures for U.S. Department of Justice grants passed through the State of California, Emergency Management Agency (CalEMA), as well as CalEMA-funded grant expenditures for the year ended June 30, 2010. This information is included in the County's single audit report at the request of CalEMA.

Program Title and Expenditure Category	Grant Number Grant Period CFDA no. *		Budget	Cumulative through June 30, 2009		ough A		Actual 7/1/09-6/30/10 Non-match Match				Cumulative through June 30, 2010		Variance	
2008 Coverdell Training	g Program														
Personnel Services	CQ08060410	\$	12,169	\$	1,214	\$	10,955	\$	-	\$	12,169	\$	-		
Operating Expenses	10/1/08-8/31/10		53,462		17,800		33,650		-		51,450		2,012		
Equipment	CFDA no. 16.742		_				-		-				-		
Total		\$	65,631	\$	19,014	\$	44,605	\$	-	\$	63,619	\$	2,012		
Special Emphasis Victin	n Witness Program														
Personnel Services	SE09190410	\$	171,876	\$	-	\$	110,000	\$	27,500	\$	137,500	\$	34,376		
Operating Expenses	7/1/09-9/30/10		_		_		_		_		_		_		
Equipment	CFDA no. 16.575		_		_		_		_		-		_		
Total		\$	171,876	\$	_	\$	110,000	\$	27,500	\$	137,500	\$	34,376		
Victim Witness Assistan	nce Program														
Personnel Services	VW09280410	\$	174,534	\$	-	\$	174,534	\$	-	\$	174,534	\$	_		
Operating Expenses	7/1/09-6/30/10		_		-		_		-		-		_		
Equipment	CFDA no. 16.575		_		-		_		_		_		_		
Total		\$	174,534	\$	-	\$	174,534	\$	-	\$	174,534	\$	-		
VOCA Stimulus Victim	Witness Assistance														
Personnel Services	VS09010410	\$	27,380	\$	-	\$	13,857	\$	3,463	\$	17,320	\$	10,060		
Operating Expenses	7/1/09-9/30/10		6,669		-		5,185		1,215		6,400		269		
Equipment	CFDA no. 16.801		_		-		-		-		-		-		
Total		\$	34,049	\$	-	\$	19,042	\$	4,678	\$	23,720	\$	10,329		
Offender Treatment Rec	overv Act Program														
Personnel Services	ZO09010410	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-	\$	_		
Operating Expenses	10/01/09-03/31/11		862,872		-		276,439		_		276,439		586,433		
Equipment	CFDA no. 16.804		_		-		-		_		-		-		
Total		\$	862,872	\$	-	\$	276,439	\$	-	\$	276,439	\$	586,433		
San Mateo County Narc	otics Task Force														
Personnel Services	ZA09010410	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_		
Operating Expenses	3/1/10-2/28/12		435,238		_		56,688		_		56,688		378,550		
Equipment	CFDA no. 16.804		35,000		_		-		_		-		35,000		
Total		\$	470,238	\$	-	\$	56,688	\$	_	\$	56,688	\$	413,550		
San Mateo County Narc	otics Task Force														
Personnel Services	DC09200410	\$	147,916	\$	_	\$	147,916	\$	_	\$	147,916	\$	_		
Operating Expenses	7/1/09-6/30/10	-	175,793	7	_	-	175,793	7	_	-	175,793	-	_		
Equipment	CFDA no. 16.579		60,606		_		60,606		_		60,606		_		
Total		\$	384,315	\$		\$	384,315	\$	-	\$	384,315	\$	-		

^{*} Actual non-match expenditures are reported as federal expenditures in the Schedule under the designated CFDA numbers.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

Section I – Summary of Auditor's Results

Type of auditor's report issued:

Unqualified

Internal control over financial reporting:

... Material weaknesses identified?

.. Significant deficiencies identified that are

not considered to be material weaknesses? Yes

Noncompliance material to financial

statements noted?

Federal Awards:

Internal control over major programs:

.. Material weaknesses identified?

... Significant deficiencies identified that are

not considered to be material weaknesses? Yes

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance

Unqualified

for major programs:

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section

510(a) of Circular A-133?

Identification of major programs:

<u>Program Title</u>	CFDA Number
Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Cluster	10.561
Home Investment Partnerships Program	14.239
Workforce Investment Act Cluster	17.258, 17.259, 17.260
Airport Improvement Program	20.106
Highway Planning and Construction Cluster	20.205, 20.219
Electricity Delivery and Energy Reliability, Research,	
Development and Analysis	81.122
Aging Cluster	93.044, 93.045, 93.053,
	93.705, 93.707
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Cluster	93.558, 93.714

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between \$3,000,000

Type A and Type B programs:

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? Yes

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)
For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

Section II - Financial Statement Findings

2010-A Authorization for Changes to the Charge Master Significant Deficiency

The Charge Description Master ("CDM") pricing tables are periodically revised to account for changes in the Medical Center's operating environment and market prices for services and supplies provided to patients. During our tests of internal controls over the patient billing we noted that the documents authorizing changes to the CDM were not available for review. In addition, we noted that the process to request a change to the CDM is informal (mainly emails) and the procedures for requesting a change and listing of authorized individuals have not been documented.

We recommend that the Medical Center develop and communicate formal procedures for requesting changes to the CDM. In addition, a list of individuals authorized to approve changes should be maintained by the patient accounting department. Finally, the Medical Center should formalize a process to maintain authorization documents.

Management's Response:

A policy and procedure was implemented in July 2010, whereas specific guidelines are detailed with regards to CDM updates. This policy and procedure has been communicated with all Deputy Directors as well as their management staff. As of July 2010, only specified managers who have accessibility to Craneware have the ability to request changes in the CDM. The access to the CDM is limited to the CDM Coordinator along with assigned personnel in the CDM department. In addition, key ISD personnel have access to the CDM. Reports now generate automatically which indicate any changes or updates that have been performed within the CDM. During the period of October, November and December, the Craneware system has been taken off line intermittently to perform necessary charge review processes. While the system is off-line, as a backup, requests are submitted to the CDM Coordinator via email. Prior to implementation of new CDM requests, the CDM Coordinator will obtain proper approval from either the CFO or the Director of Revenue Cycle Operations. The policy enacted in July 2010 did not specify this scenario; therefore, the policy will be revised and sent for the approval process in November 2010. As a general rule, the Medical Center will no longer utilize authorization documents as the system is maintained via electronic means. The exceptions would be external regulatory updates received. Those are filed within the CDM department.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

Finding 2010-01 Davis Bacon Act

U.S. Department of Transportation

Direct Program

Airport Improvement Program, CFDA number 20.106

Federal award numbers and years: 3-06-0097-11; 2006 3-06-0210-12; 2008

3-06-0097-12; 2009 3-06-0210-13; 2009 3-06-0210-11; 2007 3-06-0210-14; 2009

Passed through the State of California, Department of Transportation

Highway Planning and Construction Cluster,

CFDA number 20.205 (ARRA and non-ARRA) and 20.219

Pass-through award numbers and years: all awards; 2009 and 2010

Administered by the County of San Mateo Department of Public Works

Criteria

The Davis-Bacon Act requires all laborers and mechanics employed by contractors or subcontractors to work on construction contracts in excess of \$2,000 financed by federal assistance funds be paid prevailing wage rates. Contractors or subcontractors must submit weekly, for each week in which any contract work is performed, a copy of the payroll and a statement of compliance (certified payrolls). The County is responsible for enforcing the compliance of contractors and subcontractors with Davis-Bacon Act requirements. In the event that the contractor does not provide the required certified payrolls by the due date, the County should initiate timely corrective actions to ensure compliance, such as sending timely follow-up requests to the contractor and withholding payment until the certified payrolls are received.

Condition

During our review of the County's compliance with the Davis-Bacon Act for the Airport Improvement Program and Highway Planning and Construction (HPC) Cluster, we tested 40 samples from a population of 780 certified payrolls for the Airport Improvement Program and 12 samples from a population of 121 certified payrolls for the HPC Cluster. Our testing showed that the Department of Public Works (Department) did not collect the required certified payrolls before disbursing federal awards to the contractors for 19 of the 40 selected samples for the Airport Improvement Program and 9 of the 12 selected samples for the HPC Cluster.

Cause of Condition

The Department makes monthly progress payments to contractors. Although contractors are required to submit certified payrolls weekly, they did not consistently comply with the required timeframe.

Effect

The Department of Public Works did not consistently verify the laborers and mechanics employed by the contractors and subcontractors were paid prevailing wage rates before releasing payment to the contractor. While the Department typically withholds 10% of the work performed as retention to be released upon project completion and final acceptance, there is a risk that federal funds may be paid to contractors who do not comply with the Davis-Bacon Act requirements. However, the Department of Public Works' subsequent collection and review of certified payrolls determined prevailing wage rates were paid.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)
For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2010-01 (Continued)

Questioned Cost

Not applicable.

Recommendation

We recommend the Department of Public Works evaluate its procedures and controls over the monitoring of certified payroll submission to ensure certified payrolls have been submitted by the contractor and to determine whether prevailing wage rates are paid before releasing payment to the contractor. Procedures should indicate follow up actions to be taken when the contractor does not comply with contract provisions.

Management Response and Corrective Action Plan

The Department of Public Works was aware of the requirements to comply with the Davis-Bacon Act during the construction of its federally funded projects. The Airports Divisions had also hired and relied on an aviation engineering firm to ensure compliance with these requirements.

The Department will institute the following requirements to further ensure compliance with the Davis-Bacon Act:

- 1. In addition to the retention of fund requirements listed above, for projects utilizing California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) standard specification, the Department will implement the requirements of paragraph four of Section 7-1.01A(3) "Payroll Records" of the Caltrans Standard Specifications related to retention of payment, which states:
 - "If by the 15th of the month, the Contractor has not submitted satisfactory payrolls for all work performed during the monthly period ending on or before the first of that month, the Department will retain an amount equal to 10 percent of the estimated value of the work performed (exclusive of Mobilization) during the month from the next monthly estimate, except that this retention shall not exceed \$10,000 nor be less than \$1,000. Retentions for failure to submit satisfactory payrolls shall be additional to all other retentions provided for in the contract. The retention for failure to submit payrolls for any monthly period will be released for payment on the monthly estimate for partial payments next following the date that all the satisfactory payrolls for which the retention was made are submitted."
- 2. The approval form for the monthly progress payments to the contractor will be modified to include a requirement for the Project Inspector and Construction Manager to confirm that the Davis-Bacon Act requirements have been met.

Furthermore, the Airports Division has hired a new aviation consultant engineering firm to perform construction project management services during future AIP projects. A recently hired in-house Airport Project Manager will be tasked with specifically reviewing the work of the consultant project manager to ensure that the consultant is fulfilling his responsibilities as they relate to the compliance with federal requirements for AIP projects including the proper review of the contractor's weekly submission of certified payrolls. Contractors will be notified immediately if they are not in compliance with the contract provisions and will not be paid until the condition is corrected.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)
For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2010-02 Allowable Costs/Cost Principles

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Direct Programs

CDBG – Entitlement Grants Cluster, CFDA numbers 14.218 and 14.253

Federal award number and year: B-09-UY-06-0006; 2009

Home Investment Partnerships Program, CFDA number 14.239

Federal award number and year: M-09-DC-06-0216; 2009

ARRA – Homeless Prevention Rapid Re-housing Program, CFDA 14.257 Federal award number and year: S-09-UY-06-0006; 2009

Administered by the County of San Mateo Department of Housing

Criteria

To be allowable under Federal awards, costs must be allocable to federal awards under the provisions of OMB Circular A-87, *Cost Principles for State, Local and Indian Tribal Governments*. A cost is allocable to a particular cost objective if the goods or services involved are chargeable or assignable to such cost objective in accordance with relative benefits received. Indirect costs should be distributed to benefitted cost objectives on bases that will produce an equitable result in consideration of relative benefits derived. Furthermore, non-federal entities desiring to claim indirect costs under Federal awards must prepare an indirect cost allocation plan (plan) to support those costs. The plan and related supporting documentation should be retained for audit in accordance with the records retention requirements contained in the OMB Circular A-102, *Grants and Cooperative Agreements with State and Local Governments*.

Condition

Department of Housing (Department) management meets at the beginning of each year to discuss and determine the indirect cost allocation rates between the various Department programs. The Department was unable to provide documentary evidence to support the methodology used to determine the allocation rates.

Cause of Condition

The indirect cost rates are determined internally within the Department each year through verbal discussions. There are no formal written procedures outlining the methodology for computing these rates.

Effect

Allocation rates used to derive the indirect costs charged to various housing programs are not supported.

Questioned Cost

Indirect costs allocated to federal programs during fiscal year 2009-10 were as follows:

Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants, CFDA number 14.218\$	159,539
Home Investment Partnerships Program, CFDA number 14.239	46,982
ARRA – Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants, CFDA 14.253	15,466
ARRA – Homeless Prevention Rapid Re-housing Program, CFDA 14.257	15,282
Total\$	237,269

Recommendation

We recommend that the Department develop and implement a formal cost allocation methodology to support indirect costs allocated to federal programs.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)
For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2010-02 (Continued)

Management Response and Corrective Action Plan

Management agrees that we need to formalize as written procedures the reasonable procedures we have been using to allocate indirect costs. However, we do not agree that the finding is a material weakness because: 1) we in fact followed the allocation procedures informally, and 2) the finding has no dollar impact on the federal programs and the financial statements as a whole, even though questioned costs are listed above as required by OMB Circular A-133.

On a regular basis we review relevant factors, discuss allocations and direct clerical staff as to appropriate division of eligible indirect costs among the multiple federal programs we administer. We review and take consideration of new factors when allocating indirect costs. For example, during Fiscal Year 09-10, we added CDBG-R and HPRP programs into the allocation plan in mid-year once we knew the ARRA grants were approved. Furthermore, all the costs were allowable and chargeable to federal programs. We monitored costs allocated to the programs during the year; the allocations to each program were in very reasonable amounts and did not exceed the claimable amounts allowed by the federal programs.

Finding 2010-03 Special Tests and Provisions – Housing Quality Standard U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Direct Program

Home Investment Partnerships Program, CFDA number 14.239 Federal award number and year: M-09-DC-06-0216; 2009

Administered by the County of San Mateo Department of Housing

Criteria

Pursuant to Code of Federal Regulation, Title 24 sections 92.251, 92.252 and 92.504(b), the County, as a participating jurisdiction, is required to perform on-site inspections of rental housing that is funded by the Home Investment Partnerships Program in order to determine compliance with housing quality standards and verify any deficiencies are repaired on a timely basis.

Condition

During our review of 9 inspection reports selected from 35 projects that are subject to inspection in FY2010, we noted the following exceptions:

- ... 3 cases in which inspector comments were not addressed or followed up to ensure proper repair.
- ... 9 cases in which inspector reports did not have supervisor review and approval.
- ... 2 cases in which inspector reports were completed without a signature of the preparer.

Cause of Condition

The Department of Housing has experienced significant staff turnover during the fiscal year. This created difficulties in the transition of responsibilities to new personnel. Consequently, follow-up activities on inspection deficiencies were overlooked in the cases listed above.

Effect

Deficiencies noted from housing inspections were not reviewed to ensure repairs were performed in a timely manner.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)
For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2010-03 (Continued)

Questioned Cost

Not Applicable.

Recommendation

We recommend that the Department ensure that all required forms are completed and reviewed by the necessary personnel and that any necessary repairs are monitored and revisited in a timely manner to ensure that federal compliance requirements are met.

Management Response and Corrective Action Plan

Management acknowledges that weakness of quality assurance processes resulted in compliance deficiencies. In particular written protocols were somewhat ambiguous and incomplete, inspection of records by supervisor was not thorough, and no internal quality assurance sampling regimen was in place. These weaknesses resulted in the specific conditions cited in this finding (i.e., missing signature of inspector, lack of evidence of post-inspection follow-up). Remedies for these weaknesses are in place or in progress and expected to be in place by June 30, 2011.

An inspection procedure had been established for percentage of units in a development for site monitoring, frequency of visits, and documentation and follow-up practices. However, the procedures were not adhered to in a consistent manner. The reason for this was that during FY 2009-10, the Housing and Community Development (HCD) experienced staff changes due to maternity leave of one staff person and the appointment of an interim supervisor from the ranks following retirement of a long-time supervisor. Management restructured staffing in FY2010-11, partially necessitated by a reduction by 2 FTE of program staff handling HOME site inspections. In lieu of a supervisor, a rotating Lead position has been created. The current incumbent in that position has established new protocols for HOME site inspections with the aim of correcting and counteracting systemic deficiencies.

The revised protocols include the following: (1) joint site inspections with or leveraging the work of cooperating HOME jurisdictions in which both jurisdictions have invested HOME funding in a single project; (2) "piggy-backing" on recent HQS inspections of HOME-assisted units by Housing Authority staff, who perform yearly inspections on units in which the occupants receive Section 8; (3) benchmarking an inspection sample at 25% of HOME-assisted units, not to exceed 5 units in a development, unless it is felt, based on the overall condition of the units or tenant complaints, more units need to be inspected. (Most of our HOME developments limit HOME-assisted units to eleven); and (4) developing a template for a paperwork trail for summarizing, documenting and following up on inspection findings.

Two additional measures are under development. A file-compliance checklist will be added to each file, and will be reviewed and initialed by management or delegate. Also, the Department's quality assurance unit, which currently focuses primarily on the Department's Housing Authority division, will adapt and implement for HOME inspection files a successful file inspection regimen currently in use for the approximately 2,000 inspections conducted annually by Housing Authority.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued) For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2010-04 Reporting

U.S. Department of Transportation

Passed through the State of California, Department of Transportation Highway Planning and Construction Cluster, CFDA number 20.205 (ARRA) Pass-through award number and year: ESPL 5935(054); 2009 Administered by the County of San Mateo Department of Public Works

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Passed through the State of California, Department of Aging Aging Cluster, CFDA numbers 93.044, 93.045, 93.053, 93.705 and 93.707 Pass-through award numbers and year: AP-0910-08 and NS-0809-08; 2009 Administered by the County of San Mateo Health System

Criteria

The County receives pass-through federal awards under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) from the State of California. To satisfy certain ARRA reporting requirements, the County is required to submit monthly ARRA performance reports for the Highway Planning and Construction (HPC) Cluster, and the Aging Cluster for ARRA-funded activities during the year. For the Aging Cluster, the County is also required to submit monthly Financial Expenditures Reports and Request for Funds reports as well as an annual Client Summary Profile Data performance report and monthly Service Summary performance reports showing statistical data related to program participants. Reports should be mathematically accurate, supported by underlying data, and summarized in accordance with the required or stated criteria and methodology.

Condition

During our review of internal control over compliance with the reporting requirements for the programs listed above, we observed a lack of secondary review on the following reports:

- ... HPC Cluster monthly ARRA performance reports; and
- ... Aging Cluster monthly ARRA performance reports, monthly Financial Expenditures Reports, monthly Request for Funds reports, annual Client Summary Profile Data performance report, and monthly Service Summary performance reports.

However, our procedures did not identify any errors in the samples of reports selected for review.

Cause of Condition

The County did not establish a procedure for secondary review of the reports after the data was entered into the reporting system and prior to final submission to the grantors.

Effect

The lack of secondary review of the reports creates a risk that reporting errors may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)
For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued)

Finding 2010-04 (Continued)

Questioned Cost

Not Applicable.

Recommendation

We recommend the County revisit current policies and procedures over the reporting process, and designate knowledgeable individuals to review prepared reports before they are submitted to the granting agencies to reduce the risk of incorrect reports.

Management Response and Corrective Action Plan

Department of Public Works

After the Department received the needed data from the contractor, the Department's Construction Manager verifies the accuracy of the information with the Project Inspector. The Construction Manager then inputs the information in the California Department of Transportation's (Caltrans) reporting system for electronic submission. The Caltrans' reporting system does not include a save function, but does allow submissions up to the reporting due date. The Department will implement a procedure to review the inputted information for accuracy and completeness before the reporting due date.

Health System

Effective immediately, the Accountant will print out the hard copy of the Monthly Expenditure and Request for Fund Report with the back up documentation for the Financial Services Manager to review before submitting the report electronically to the State. All Performance Reports done by the Community Program Analyst will be submitted to the Program Supervisor and/or Health Services Manager to review prior to electronic submission to the State.

Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2010

Reference Number:	2009-01
Federal Catalog Number/ Program Name:	17.258, 17.259, 17.260 / Workforce Investment Act Cluster
Audit Finding:	The County uses the WIA Application Form to record an applicant's basic characteristics and to determine eligibility. An applicant undergoes an interview process whereby a case worker verifies the applicant's eligibility through examination of official documents. The applicant may also make an "applicant statement" to declare eligibility when documents are unverifiable or unreasonably difficult to obtain. A supervisor reviews the application form to ensure program verifications are properly performed. The application form is to be signed by the applicant, the case worker, and the supervisor.
	During our testing of internal control over compliance with eligibility requirements from a population of 350 WIA participants, we noted that out of 40 participants selected for testing:
	 1 participant's application form was not signed by the applicant to certify the validity of information provided to determine eligibility;
	• 3 participants' application forms were not signed by the case worker; and
	• 3 participants' application forms were not signed by the supervisor to indicate proper review.
	We recommend the County emphasize the importance of fully completing all required forms for eligibility determinations.
Status of Corrective Action:	Corrected.