




# PROBATION DEPARTMENT COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

## Quarterly Post-Release Community and Mandatory Supervision Update January - March 2026: 33 New Supervisees


\*since realignment began in October 2011, there have been 3,395 supervisees.

FY 2025-2026 Third Quarter Highlights	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>24 new PRCS supervisees; 9 new MS supervisees</li> <li>42% of new supervisees live out of county (FYTD: 39%)</li> <li>21% of new supervisees were transient (FYTD: 21%)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>57 revocations were filed</li> <li>49% of violations were technical violations (FYTD: 39%)</li> <li>54% of terminations were successful (FYTD: 62%)</li> </ul>


### PRCS and MS Released to SMC Supervision




### Age



### Gender



### Race



PRCS			
East Palo Alto	3	San Mateo	1
Redwood City	2	Transient	6
Daly City	2	Out of County	9
Menlo Park	1		
<b>Total Supervisees</b>	<b>24</b>		

MS			
Burlingame	1	Transient	1
Redwood City	1	Out of County	5
San Mateo	1		
<b>Total Supervisees</b>	<b>9</b>		

## Terminations, Revocations and Flashes

There were twenty-eight (28) terminations during the reporting period. Fifty-four percent (54%) were successful.

Total # of Supervisees Successfully Terminated		Total # of Supervisees Unsuccessfully Terminated	
<b>PRCS – 15</b>	<b>MS – 0</b>	<b>PRCS – 11</b>	<b>MS – 2</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Early Terminations: 5</li> <li>• Normal Terminations: 10</li> </ul>			

In the reporting period, we filed a total of fifty-seven (57) revocations. PRCS had fifty-two (52) revocations and MS has five (5) revocations. Of the fifty-seven (57) revocations, there were twenty-nine (29) New Law Violations. The breakdown by violation category is below:

Violation Type	PRCS	MS	% of Q3 Revocations
Violent Felonies per PC § 667.5(c)	2	0	4%
Serious Felonies per PC § 1192.7(c)	0	0	0%
Other Crimes	26	1	47%
Technical Violations	24	4	49%
Total	52	5	100%

Generally, the population is reoffending by committing crimes similar to those for which they are on Realignment, namely non-serious, non-violent, non-serious sex related crimes.

Forty-nine percent (49%) of revocations were for technical violations. Technical violations of supervision are filed when supervisees abscond or fail to abstain from substance use. It is important to note that the Probation Department usually files formal revocations after lower sanctions have been attempted, including flash incarcerations for PRCS cases. However, at times, officers may decide that a formal revocation is more appropriate than utilizing lower sanctions, depending on the circumstances of the violation. Fifty-one percent (51%) of revocations filed were for new law violations involving property, drug/alcohol related crimes as well as other crimes.

There were nine (9) **flash incarcerations** during this reporting period.

Five (5) cases were **transferred** to another county for supervision.

---

### **Recidivism Definition**

**San Mateo County:** Arrest and/or Charges Filed within 3 years of Last Incarceration in San Mateo County, including warrant arrests, PTA/Court Sentence but ***excludes*** PRCS flash incarcerations/Revocation, 647/849B1 (no charges filed) or dropped charges.

**Attorney General:** An arrest resulting in a charge within three years of an individual's release from incarceration or placement on supervision for a previous criminal conviction.

**BSCC:** A conviction of a new felony or misdemeanor committed within three years of release from custody or committed within three years of placement on supervision for a previous criminal conviction.



# SAN MATEO COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE

330 Bradford St., Redwood City, CA 94063  
Telephone: 650-363-4911


## REALIGNMENT BULLETIN CY2026 Q1: JANUARY TO MARCH

### Executive Summary:

This quarter, offense patterns among the supervised and in-custody realignment populations in San Mateo County shifted compared to 2025 trends. Previously, drug and alcohol-related offenses were the most prevalent category. In Q1, however, Vehicle Theft emerged as the leading category (22%). A range of miscellaneous offenses emerged as the second leading offense category (19%), and Drug and alcohol-related offenses followed at 14%.



### Overview:

During Q1, Vehicle Theft (22%), Miscellaneous (19%), Drugs & Alcohol (14%), Theft (11%), and Burglary (8%) were the top committing offenses for the realignment population (this includes the new supervised cases and in-custody realignment offenders). Please note, "miscellaneous" refers to a number of assorted offenses that do not amount to any one category. These offenses include but are not limited to: domestic violence, identity theft, forging/altering vehicle registration, recklessly causing fire to property, criminal threats, damaging railroad tracks, and resisting an executive officer. Overall, the top committing offenses in the realignment population during Q1 decreased by 7.5% compared to Q1 2025, from 40 offenses in 2025 to 37 in 2026.



The data used for this analysis was derived from information provided by the San Mateo County Probation Department and the SMCSO Corrections Division.

**AB109: San Mateo County**



**Note:** This data was obtained from different sources (Probation and Corrections) and may overlap slightly. However, the preceding analysis provides a general picture of the San Mateo County realignment population.

**San Mateo County: In-Custody**

**AB109 In-Custody Statistics**

PC1170(h) New Sentenced Cases	Q1 2026	Q4 2025	Q3 2025
Number of new PC1170(h) cases	62	49	52
Total PC1170(h) Days to Serve	31,667	25,135	25,171
Number of Split Sentences	16	2	2
Number of Straight Sentences	46	47	50
Average Length of Stay (ALOS) all cases (after credits applied)	102	149	110
Average Length of Stay (ALOS) Split Sentences (after credits applied)	85	6	66
Average Length of Stay (ALOS) Straight Sentences (after credits applied)	106	154	111

**Demographics of the Newly Sentenced PC1170(h) during Q1 CY2026:**

**Gender:**  
 Male = 87% (54)  
 Female = 13% (8)

**Average Age:**  
 38 years old


**Residency:**  
 28 - Out of County  
 17 - In County  
 17 - Transient/Unknown

**Mandatory Supervision Revocation (MSV):** Offenders in this population were re-arrested after being released from a PC1170(h) split sentence. Some of these offenders were ordered to serve the remainder of their original sentence and supervision was revoked; others were ordered to serve a portion of their original sentence and were reinstated on mandatory supervision.

MSV Revocation Cases	Q1 2026	Q4 2025	Q3 2025
Number of MSV Cases	1	0	0
Total MSV Days to Serve	1,093	0	0
Average Length of Stay	180	0	0

Parole Revocation Sentenced Cases	Q1 2026	Q4 2025	Q3 2025
Number of Parole Revocation Cases	10	13	14
Total Parole Revocation Days to Serve	1,355	2,085	2,250
Average Length of Stay	48	50	48



Post Release Community Supervision (In Custody) Cases	Q1 2026	Q4 2025	Q3 2025
Number of PRCS Revocation Sentences	51	36	41
Total PRCS Revocation Days to Serve	1,662	4,863	5,910
Average Length of Stay	33	39	42






**San Mateo County: In Custody (cont'd)**

**AB109 In-Custody on the Last Day of the Quarter:**

On the last day of the quarter (March 31, 2026), the total AB109 in-custody population was 7.97% (83) of the overall average daily population (1,041), reflecting no significant change from the prior quarter 7.8% (72) with an ADP of 913.







During Q1 CY2026 burglary, assault, and vehicle theft comprised the top new charges sentenced to by the in-custody population. Please note that the category “Other Property Crimes” refers to offenses such as elder theft, receiving stolen property, embezzlement, forgery, and larceny. “Miscellaneous” refers to several assorted offenses that do not amount to any one category. These offenses include but are not limited to evading, false imprisonment, stalking, arson, and court order violations.



### Unsubsidized Employment by Industry Type

Industry	Total Placements	Avg. Wage/Hour
Other	11	\$21.05
Food	8	\$20.38
Trades/General Labor	6	\$21.33
Transportation	3	\$29.25
Warehouse	3	\$23.00
Automotive	3	\$21.13
Office	2	\$24.00
Retail	1	\$18.00
<b>Total</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>\$22.27</b>



RAPID RE-HOUSING PROGRAM	
Number of households referred to program	10
Number of households enrolled in program	2
Number of households entered in housing lease agreements	4
Number of households successfully exited from program	4

# Service Connect HSA Dashboard

---

FY 25-26, Q3 (January 2026 – March 2026)

## New Intakes

- There were 107 intakes in Q3. Intakes in Q3 by program type: 33% AB109, 35% UR, 32% PUR.
- In coordination with Sheriff's Office, 51 virtual intakes were completed in Q3 accounting for 48% of intakes.

## Eligibility/Benefits

- There were 30 applications received and processed in Q3: 17 CalFresh, 5 General Assistance, 8 Medi-Cal.
- There were 12 applications approved in Q3: 11 CalFresh, 1 GA, 0 Medi-Cal.
- Denied applications totaled 15: 6 CalFresh, 4 General Assistance, 5 Medi-Cal. Six applications were denied for failure to provide verifications, one for failure to complete determinations, and eight were not eligible for benefits.

## Employment (Subsidized and Unsubsidized)

- During Q3, Workforce activity included 27 enrollments in subsidized employment (550 Jobs!) and 37 unsubsidized employment placements. Some individuals participated in both activities during the quarter. Subsidized employment comprised 42%, while unsubsidized employment comprised 58%.
- Total of 48 individuals received job development services in Q3.
- Automotive, Trades/General Labor, and Other were the top 3 industries that employed individuals in Q3.
- Average wage per hour for unsubsidized employment was \$22.27.

## Services Provided

- Food assistance was the most requested service in Q3: 301 VRS meals, 21 Safeway gift cards, and 8 food totes were issued serving an average of 22 individuals per month.
- Transportation and Communication were the second most requested services. Combined, Transportation and Communication services served an average of 30 individuals per month. A total of 106 monthly bus passes and 19 bus tickets were issued. Three phones and four phone cards were provided, supporting a total of four clients.
- Other services provided were personal supportive items. Individuals were provided with 20 hygiene kits, 5 Target cards, and 4 clothing vouchers serving an average of 18 individuals per month.
- In Q3, there were 23 individuals who utilized the motel voucher program and 7 individuals provided with shelter bed placement.
- In Q3, under the Rapid Re-Housing program, 10 households were referred, 4 households maintained active leases, and 4 households successfully exited the program after completing 12 months of subsidy assistance.

## Peer Support Services

- 42 individuals received peer support services in Q3.
- There were 99 peer support check-ins: 53 face-to-face meetings and 43 telephone calls.
- Additional support services provided: 9 warm hand-offs, 8 transportation assists, 0 medical appointment assistance, 19 rapid rehousing referrals.

Total Referred = 3,642


Total Served = 2,078

Total Services = 30,987


**Top SUD Diagnoses:** Alcohol Dependence, Cannabis Depend., Other Stimulant Depend, Opioid Depend.

**Top MH Diagnoses:** Other Specified Diseases Ruled Out, Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder, Mjr Depression


**Open Cases w/ a Service**




**Engaged Participants (≥4 Services)**




**Clients by Treatment Plan Type**





**Living Situation at Entry/Exit**



**Total Referred and Served**



**SOGI Data**



**Health Services Provided by Partners:**

**PES Services**



# Service Connect BHRS Dashboard

FISCAL YEAR 2025-26 SECOND QUARTER  
SUMMARY REPORT NARRATIVE



SAN MATEO COUNTY HEALTH

## BEHAVIORAL HEALTH & RECOVERY SERVICES

*Please Note: The BHRS Service Connect Dashboard is presented one quarter in arrears to present accurate data because submission deadlines are too soon after the quarter close.*

### AT-A-GLANCE: All Time Total BHRS Referred, Served, Number of Services

The total number of participants referred to BHRS for treatment since July 1, 2017, is 3,642; of these, 2,078 entered treatment and/or recovery plans. (Participants served by BHRS is a lower number than those referred because not all assessments result in treatment). The total number of Service Connect services—including both mental health and substance use treatment services—provided to participants since the inception of the program is 30,987.

### Open Service Connect Cases with a Service

This graph represents total Service Connect participants that received at least one BHRS service. The numbers do include participants that continued from quarter to quarter, so a participant could be counted more than once in each quarter if their case was open across multiple fiscal quarters. The Q2 count is 101, which is consistent with the previous two quarters.

### Engaged Participants with Four or More Services in a Year

“Engaged” is defined as a participant receiving four or more services, meaning the participant has returned to BHRS for multiple appointments for different services, demonstrating the participant is engaged because they actively participate. Engagement rates tend to increase for multiple quarters after the reporting period, as service data becomes more complete.

### Percent of Participants with Substance Abuse Recovery Plan or a Mental Health Treatment Plan

This graph presents the percentage of participants who had a mental health treatment plan or a substance abuse recovery plan. Substance abuse recovery plans are historically at a rate of two to one as compared to a mental health treatment plan. However, recent quarters show a shift, with a much higher proportion of AOD treatment plans.

### Living Situation Upon Program Admission and Discharge

This graph shows the living situations of participants at the time the participant was admitted to the program and then the living situation when discharged from the program, for participants who were discharged in the reporting period. The purpose of this measure is to monitor the service impact on improvement of the living situation of the participant. Q2 shows there was an increase in Homeless living situations for the group of participants who were discharged during this reporting period, compared to their living situation at admission.

### Total Individuals Referred to Service Connect and Total Admitted for Services

Not all individuals referred to the program meet the assessment criteria for admission to the program, so this graph measures the difference between the number of persons referred and the number that are admitted and served for each quarter. The results for Q2 demonstrate that the peak volumes from Q4 FY2425 are decreasing.

### Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity (SOGI) Data

BHRS collects SOGI data in five categories at admissions and across the life of a case to inform other levels of government of the needs of all populations. Q2 Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity show no changes from the previous quarter.

### Correctional Health Services (Unavailable)

Previous counts represented the participants who were screened, medically treated, and referred for mental illness and substance abuse treatment by Correctional Health. Due to a staffing change and transition to a new electronic health record system, this data is no longer available and will need to be re-defined.

### Service Connect Cases with PES Counts

A Psychiatric Emergency Services (PES) count is when a Service Connect participant has presented themselves at PES. Those participants that were not admitted are shown as a “visit” and those admitted are shown as “inpatient.” Involvement with PES is only counted if enrolled in Service Connect—counts do not include episodes when subject was not a participant in Service Connect. Q2 continues to show low counts of PES visits and hospitalizations.

*BHRS is continuing to review and improve data methodology for this report.*

Contact: Laura Shih, Manager, Office of Improvement and Innovation  
(650) 781-4401, lshih@smcgov.org