



Local Hazard Mitigation Plan

San Mateo County, California

Town of Portola Valley Annex

2026

DRAFT



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|------------|
| 1. HAZARD MITIGATION LOCAL PLANNING TEAM | 1 |
| 2. JURISDICTION PROFILE | 1 |
| 2.1. Brief History | 1 |
| 2.2. Governing Body Format | 2 |
| 2.3. Population | 2 |
| 3. CHANGES IN DEVELOPMENT | 2 |
| 3.1. Changes in Priority | 4 |
| 4. CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT | 4 |
| 4.1. Planning and Regulatory Capabilities | 5 |
| 4.2. Administrative and Technical Capabilities | 7 |
| 4.3. Fiscal Capabilities | 8 |
| 4.4. Education and Outreach Capabilities | 9 |
| 4.5. Community Classifications | 10 |
| 4.6. Needs to Expand/Improve Capabilities | 10 |
| 5. NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM | 10 |
| 5.1. NFIP Floodplain Administrator | 11 |
| 5.2. Repetitive Loss and Severe Repetitive Loss Property | 11 |
| 5.3. Participation Activities | 12 |
| 5.3.1. Substantial Damage | 12 |
| 5.3.2. Substantial Improvement | 13 |
| 5.3.3. Substantial Damage/Substantial Improvement Determination Process | 13 |
| 6. HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN INTEGRATION | 13 |
| 6.1. Existing Plan Integration | 13 |
| 6.2. Potential Future Integration | 14 |
| 7. SIGNIFICANT PAST EVENTS | 15 |
| 8. HAZARD VULNERABILITY AND IMPACT ASSESSMENT | 15 |
| 8.1. Future Major Assets | 20 |
| 9. HAZARD RISK RANKING | 20 |
| 10. MITIGATION ACTIONS | 22 |
| APPENDIX A. HAZARD MAPS | 76 |
| APPENDIX B. STAKEHOLDER AND PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT | 77 |
| APPENDIX C. HAZARD RISK RANKING DETAILS | 78 |
| APPENDIX D. PLAN ADOPTION | 101 |



This Annex details the hazard mitigation elements specific to the Town of Portola Valley, a participating jurisdiction of the 2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (LHMP or the Plan) update. This Annex is not intended to be a standalone document but supplements the information contained in **Volume 1 (Countywide Planning Elements)**. Therefore, all sections of **Volume 1**, including the planning process, hazard identification and risk assessment, mitigation strategy (includes mitigation goals and objectives), and plan maintenance, apply to and were met by the Town of Portola Valley. This Annex provides additional information specific to the Town, with a focus on providing further details on the hazard risk assessment and mitigation strategy (i.e., mitigation actions) for this community.

1. HAZARD MITIGATION LOCAL PLANNING TEAM

The following individuals have been identified as the Town of Portola Valley Local Planning Team for the 2026 LHMP. These individuals participated in all aspects of the planning process and developed a risk and vulnerability assessment, capability assessment, and mitigation strategy (including mitigation actions) specific to the jurisdiction.

| Name | Title | Department |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Anthony McFarlane | Interim Assistant Town Manager | Office of the Town Manager |
| Darcy Smith | Town Manager | Office of the Town Manager |
| Sarah Cawrse | Planning and Building Director | Planning and Building Department |

2. JURISDICTION PROFILE

The Town of Portola Valley is a small, rural, residential municipality located in San Mateo County. Surrounded by wooded hills, this pristine and picturesque town encompasses approximately 10 square miles and is bounded by the Town of Woodside, Stanford Lands, unincorporated areas along the Skyline area, and the County boundary at Los Trancos Creek. The Town is bisected by the San Andreas Fault Zone, which consists of several individual fault traces. An extensive trail system, scenic roads, open space, and natural views contribute to one's feeling of being in the country. Commercial activity is encouraged to the extent that it primarily serves the needs of the community's residents. These factors have enabled the Town to retain a rural ambiance reminiscent of earlier days.

The weather in Portola Valley is usually mild during most of the year. Summers are dry and can be hot. Winter temperatures rarely reach much below freezing. Average January temperatures range from 36°F to 60°F, while average July temperatures range from 51°F to 88°F. The average annual precipitation is 21 inches.

2.1. Brief History

Named for Spanish explorer Gaspar de Portolá, who led the first party of Europeans to explore the San Francisco Peninsula in 1769, Portola Valley was originally part of the 13,000-acre Rancho el Corte de Madera granted to Maximo Martinez by the Mexican government. The origins of the modern Town of Portola Valley lie in the little logging town of Searsville, which stood along Sand Hill Road from the 1850s until 1891. It offered services to the men who came to cut the redwoods for the post-Gold Rush building boom. By the dawn of the 20th century, Searsville had been abandoned, and a reservoir, known today as



Searsville Lake, had been created. The area became home to small farms and large estates. Immigrants from Ireland, Portugal, Croatia, Italy, China, the Philippines, Chile, and Germany joined the Californios to raise strawberries, herd cattle, and cut firewood. The large landowners came from San Francisco to escape the summer fog. A few were year-round residents. In response to the fast-paced residential development after World War II, Portola Valley residents voted to incorporate to gain local control over development, with the goals of preserving the beauty of the land, fostering lower-density housing, keeping government costs low through volunteerism, and limiting services to those necessary for local residents.

2.2. Governing Body Format

The Town of Portola Valley is a general law city with five (5) elected council members. The Council selects the Mayor and appoints the Town Manager and Town Attorney. The Town employs 15 full-time employees, contracts police services with the San Mateo County Sheriff’s Office, and receives fire services from the Woodside Fire Protection District.

The Council is responsible for adopting the Plan, and the Town Manager is responsible for overseeing its implementation.

2.3. Population

In 2024, the Town of Portola Valley had a population of 4,305, a 3.4% decrease from the estimated 2020 population of 4,456. **Table 1** summarizes population distribution between 2010 and 2024, and the percentage of the 2024 population that is under five (5) years old, over 65 years old, and living below the poverty level.

Table 1. Population Trends

| Population | | | | Underserved Population | | |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 2010 ¹ | 2020 ² | 2024 ³ | Population Change (2020 – 2024) | Youth (Under 5 years old) | Elderly (Over 65 years old) | Below Poverty Level ⁴ |
| 4,353 | 4,456 | 4,305 | -3.4% | 5.9% | 43.9% | 6.2% |

3. CHANGES IN DEVELOPMENT

California Law requires counties and cities to prepare and adopt a General Plan, a comprehensive long-range plan to guide community development. The General Plan must contain seven (7) state-mandated elements – land use, circulation, housing, conservation, open space, noise, and safety – and may contain additional elements as a jurisdiction sees fit. Counties and cities that have identified disadvantaged communities must also address environmental justice in their general plans, including air quality.

¹ United States Census Bureau. (2010). P1: Race (2010: DEC Redistricting Data (PL 94-171)). Retrieved from <https://data.census.gov/table/DECENNIALPL2010.P1?q=160XX00US0658380>.

² United States Census Bureau. (2020). P1: Race (2020: DEC Redistricting Data (PL 94-171)). Retrieved from <https://data.census.gov/table/DECENNIALPL2020.P1?q=160XX00US0658380>.

³ United States Census Bureau. (2024). S0101: Age and Sex (2024: ACS 5-Year Estimates Subject Tables). Retrieved from <https://data.census.gov/table/ACSST5Y2024.S0101?q=160XX00US0658380>.

⁴ United States Census Bureau. (2024). S1701: Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months (2024: ACS 5-Year Estimates Subject Tables). Retrieved from <https://data.census.gov/table/ACSST5Y2024.S1701?q=160XX00US0658380>.



Additionally, the General Plan must comprise an integrated and internally consistent set of goals, policies, and implementation measures. The Town of Portola Valley adopted its General Plan under this law and has updated various elements several times over the years, including most recently, when the City Council adopted the Portola Valley General Plan in January 2015.

Currently, the Town has a household size of 2.39 persons per household (PPH). Over the past three (3) years, the Town has issued building permits resulting in an average of 15 new units per year. While these are predominantly small Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs), using an average of 2.39 PPH results in an average population growth of 36 persons per year. Over the next five (5) years, this could result in the addition of 180 new residents to the Town. Based on average population growth, the Town expects additional housing to be developed over the next five (5) years.

Table 2 summarizes development trends during the performance period since the previous LHMP was developed (i.e., past five (5) years), as well as expected future development trends (i.e., the next five (5) years).

Table 2. Recent and Expected Development Trends

| Criteria | Description |
|---|-------------|
| <p>Has your jurisdiction annexed any land since the development of the previous Local Hazard Mitigation Plan? <i>If yes, give the estimated area annexed and the estimated number of parcels or structures.</i></p> | No |
| <p>Is your jurisdiction expected to annex any areas during the performance period of this Plan?</p> | No |
| <p>Has your jurisdiction had any significant changes in development over the past five (5) years that have occurred in hazard-prone areas? <i>If yes, briefly describe.</i></p> | No |



| Criteria | Description |
|---|--|
| <p>Are there any areas targeted for development or major redevelopment in the next five (5) years that will occur in hazard-prone areas? <i>If yes, briefly describe.</i></p> | <p>The Town may see additional housing developed. The Town is expecting 180 new residents over the next five (5) years based on current population growth trends.</p> <p>The Town exists in a region susceptible to wildfires. While the Town has not formally adopted any special designations for its lands related to wildfire (e.g., very high fire severity zones), areas of the Town do have areas of flora that are considered higher risk. The entire Town utilized Building Code 7A, beyond the requirements for areas not in certain fire severity zones, and all properties are under the jurisdiction of the Woodside Fire Protection District, which contacts residents annually to reduce vegetation on their private properties that is prone to wildfire risk.</p> <p>The Town is also bisected by the San Andreas Fault and associated fault systems. Construction on faults is prohibited, per Town Code.</p> <p>Localized flooding and landslide areas exist within Town limits, and development in areas that may be affected by such hazards is managed by the Town’s code.</p> |
| <p>Provide the number of permits for each hazard area or provide a qualitative description of where development has occurred.</p> | <p>Over the past three (3) years, the Town has issued building permits resulting in an average of 15 new units per year. While these are predominantly small Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs), an average of 2.39 PPH yields an average annual population growth of 36 people.</p> |

3.1. Changes in Priority

The Town of Portola Valley's overall hazard mitigation priorities have not changed significantly since the last Plan update. However, mitigation actions from the previous Plan were updated, and a more concerted effort to achieve equitable outcomes for all communities, including underserved communities and socially vulnerable populations, has been implemented.

4. CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT

Federal regulations require hazard mitigation plans to identify goals for reducing long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards in the planning area (Section 201.6(c)(3)(i)). A critical step in developing specific hazard mitigation actions and projects is assessing existing authorities, policies, programs, and resources and capabilities, and using or modifying local tools to reduce losses and vulnerability from profiled hazards.

A capability assessment was conducted for the Town of Portola Valley's authorities, policies, programs, and resources. Goals and mitigation actions were developed using input from this assessment. Information regarding the Town’s implementation of and continued participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) can be found in Section 5 of this Annex.



The Local Planning Team assessed the Town of Portola Valley's capabilities that can contribute to the reduction of long-term vulnerabilities to hazards. The capabilities include the following categories:

- Planning and Regulatory Capabilities
- Administrative and Technical Capabilities
- Fiscal Capabilities
- Education and Outreach Capabilities

Additionally, ways to expand and improve these existing policies and programs to integrate hazard mitigation into the Town's day-to-day activities were considered.

4.1. Planning and Regulatory Capabilities

Table 3 includes local ordinances, policies, and laws to manage growth and development (e.g., land use plans, capital improvement plans, transportation plans, emergency preparedness and response plans, building codes, and zoning ordinances).

Table 3. Planning and Regulatory Capabilities

| Capability Category | Yes/No | Authority (local, county, state, federal) | Responsible Department/ Agency | Code Citation and Comments (e.g., Code Chapter, name of plan, explanation of authority, etc.) |
|---|--------|--|----------------------------------|---|
| Planning Capacity | | | | |
| Comprehensive Plan / General Plan | Yes | Local | Planning and Building Department | General Plan (January 2015), various elements have been updated several times over the years, Safety Element update in progress |
| Capital Improvement Plan | Yes | Local | Office of the Town Manager | Updated annually |
| Floodplain Management / Basin Plan | Yes | Local | Public Works Department | Title 18, Chapter 18.32, 18.56, and 18.59 of the Town Code |
| Stormwater Management Plan | No | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Open Space Plan | Yes | Local | Planning and Building Department | Open Space Element (March 2015) in the General Plan |
| Stream Corridor Management Plan | No | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Watershed Management or Protection Plan | No | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Economic Development Plan | No | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan | No | n/a | n/a | n/a |



| Capability Category | Yes/No | Authority (local, county, state, federal) | Responsible Department/ Agency | Code Citation and Comments (e.g., Code Chapter, name of plan, explanation of authority, etc.) |
|---|--------|--|-----------------------------------|---|
| Emergency Operations Plan | Yes | Local | San Mateo County Sheriff's Office | Updated in 2017 |
| Evacuation Plan | No | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Post-Disaster Recovery Plan | Yes | Local | San Mateo County Sheriff's Office | Included in the Emergency Operations Plan |
| Transportation Plan | No | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Strategic Recovery Planning Report | No | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Climate Adaptation Plan | Yes | Local | Planning & Building Department | Sustainability Element (January 2009) in the General Plan |
| Resilience Plan | No | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Community Wildfire Protection Plan | Yes | County | Woodside Fire Protection District | Undergoing Update |
| Regulatory Capability | | | | |
| Building Code | Yes | Local | Planning and Building Department | Title 15 of the Town Code |
| Zoning Code | Yes | Local | Planning and Building Department | Title 18 of the Town Code Title 18, Chapter 18.56 of the Town Code (Impervious Surface and Landscaping) Title 18, Chapter 18.59 of the Town Code (Creek Setbacks) |
| Subdivision Code | Yes | Local | Planning and Building Department | Title 17 of the Town Code |
| Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance | Yes | Local | Planning and Building Department | Title 18, Chapter 18.32 of the Town Code |
| Cumulative Substantial Damage Ordinance | No | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Freeboard | No | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Growth Management Ordinance | Yes | Local | Planning and Building Department | Title 18 of the Town Code |
| Site Plan Review | Yes | Local | Planning and Building Department | Title 18, Chapter 18.64 of the Town Code |
| Stormwater Management Ordinance | Yes | Local | Public Works Department | Title 8, Chapter 8.28 of the Town Code |



| Capability Category | Yes/No | Authority (local, county, state, federal) | Responsible Department/ Agency | Code Citation and Comments (e.g., Code Chapter, name of plan, explanation of authority, etc.) |
|---|--------|--|---|--|
| Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) | No | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Natural Hazard Ordinance | Yes | Local | Woodside Fire Protection District, Planning and Building Department | Title 8, Chapter 8.34 of the Town Code (Red Flag Warning/Spare the Air Days Restrictions Resolution No. 2746-2017 (Regarding Land Use Policies for Areas of Ground Movement) |
| Post-Disaster Recovery Ordinance | No | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Real Estate Disclosure Requirement | Yes | State | California Department of Real Estate | Section 1102 of the California Civil Code |

4.2. Administrative and Technical Capabilities

The administrative and technical capabilities listed in **Table 4** include community (i.e., public and private) staff, their skills, and tools that can be used for mitigation planning and implementation. This capability includes engineers, planners, emergency managers, Geographic Information System (GIS) analysts, building inspectors, grant writers, and floodplain managers. Small communities may rely on other government entities, such as counties or special districts, for resources.

Table 4. Administration and Technical Capabilities

| Capability | Yes/No | Comments (e.g., position, department, agency, explanation) |
|---|--------|---|
| Administrative Capabilities | | |
| Planning Board | Yes | Planning Commission Architectural and Site Control Commission |
| Mitigation Planning Committee | Yes | Wildfire Preparedness Committee Emergency Preparedness Committee Geologic Safety Committee Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Traffic Safety Committee |
| Environmental Board/Commission | Yes | Conservation Committee |
| Open Space Board/Committee | Yes | Open Space Committee Parks and Recreation Committee |
| Economic Development Commission/Committee | No | n/a |
| Maintenance programs to reduce risk | Yes | Public Works Committee Cable and Utilities Undergrounding Committee |
| Mutual Aid Agreements | Yes | California Master Mutual Aid Agreement (MMAA) Public Works Mutual Aid |



| Capability | Yes/No | Comments <i>(e.g., position, department, agency, explanation)</i> |
|---|--------|--|
| Technical/Staffing Capabilities | | |
| Planner(s) or engineer(s) with knowledge of land development and land management practices | Yes | Planning and Building Department (Director) Town Engineer |
| Engineer(s) or professional(s) trained in building or infrastructure construction practices | Yes | Town Engineer |
| Planners or engineers with an understanding of natural hazards | Yes | Planning and Building Department (Director) Town Engineer |
| NFIP Floodplain Administrator | Yes | Planning and Building Department (Director) |
| Surveyor(s) | Yes | Town Engineer Consultants, as needed |
| Personnel skilled or trained in GIS applications | Yes | Planning and Building Department (Director) |
| A scientist familiar with natural hazards | Yes | Town Geologist |
| Warning systems/services | Yes | SMC Alert, in partnership with the San Mateo County Department of Emergency Management |
| Emergency manager | Yes | Town Manager |
| Grantwriter(s) | Yes | Town Administration Town Engineer Sustainability Manager |
| Staff with expertise or training in benefit cost analysis | Yes | Town Administration |
| Professionals trained in conducting damage assessments | No | n/a |

4.3. Fiscal Capabilities

Table 5 lists fiscal capabilities available to the Town of Portola Valley that may be used to implement mitigation activities to reduce risk and enhance resiliency. This capability includes available funding sources from local budgets, state and federal grants, potential cost-sharing arrangements with private entities, existing insurance policies, and the ability to generate additional revenue through mitigation-related fees and bonds.

Table 5. Financial Capabilities

| Capability | Accessible or Eligible to Use |
|--|-------------------------------|
| Community Development Block Grants (CDBG, CDBG-DR) | No |
| Federal Hazard Mitigation Assistance Program <i>(i.e., Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), HMGP Post Fire, Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Program)</i> | Yes |
| Capital improvements project funding | Yes |
| Authority to levy taxes for specific purposes | Yes |
| User fees for water, sewer, gas, or electric service | Yes |



| Capability | Accessible or Eligible to Use |
|---|-------------------------------|
| Impact fees for homebuyers or developers of new development/homes | Yes |
| Stormwater utility fee | No |
| Incur debt through general obligation bonds | Yes |
| Incur debt through special tax bonds | Yes |
| Incur debt through private activity bonds | Yes |
| Withhold public expenditures in hazard-prone areas | No |
| Other federal or state funding programs | Yes |
| Open space acquisition funding programs | Yes |

4.4. Education and Outreach Capabilities

Table 6 lists the Town’s education and public outreach capabilities that can be used to inform residents about potential hazards, educate on mitigation strategies, and encourage proactive actions to reduce the community’s impacts to disasters. These capabilities include fire safety programs, hazard awareness campaigns, public information, and communications offices.

Table 6. Education and Outreach Capabilities

| Capability | Yes/No | Comments <i>(e.g., position, department, agency, explanation)</i> |
|--|--------|--|
| Public Information Officer | Yes | Town Manager |
| Personnel skilled or trained in website development | Yes | Town Administration |
| Hazard mitigation information is available on the jurisdiction's website | Yes | Information on wildland fire mitigation, floods, earthquakes, and landslides |
| Utilize social media for hazard mitigation education and outreach | Yes | Facebook: Facebook.com/TownofPortolaValley/ Instagram: Instagram.com/townofportolavalley/ Nextdoor: Nextdoor.com/city/portola-valley-ca/ X: X.com/PortolaValleyCA |
| Citizen boards or commissions that address issues related to hazard mitigation | Yes | Emergency Preparedness Committee Wildfire Preparedness Committee Public Works Committee Geologic Safety Committee |
| Other programs already in place that could be used to communicate hazard-related information | Yes | Rapid Notify Portola Valley 1680 AM Radio Portola Valley Amateur Radio Club Woodside Portola Valley Community Emergency Response Team (CERT) |
| An established warning system for hazard events | Yes | SMC Alert, in partnership with the San Mateo County Department of Emergency Management |



4.5. Community Classifications

The community classification relates to the community’s ability to provide effective services to reduce its vulnerability to the identified hazards. These classifications can be viewed as indicators of the community’s capabilities across all phases of emergency management (i.e., preparedness, response, recovery, and mitigation) and are used as underwriting parameters to determine the costs of various forms of insurance. **Table 7** summarizes the classifications of community programs available to the Town of Portola Valley.

Table 7. Community Classifications

| Program | Yes/No | Classification <i>(if applicable)</i> | Date Classified <i>(if applicable)</i> |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| Community Rating System (CRS) | No | n/a | n/a |
| Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule (BCEGS) | No | n/a | n/a |
| Public Protection (ISO Fire Protection Classes 1 to 10) | No | n/a | n/a |
| NWS StormReady® | No | n/a | n/a |
| NWS TsunamiReady® | No | n/a | n/a |
| Firewise USA® | Yes <i>(multiple communities)</i> | n/a | n/a |

4.6. Needs to Expand/Improve Capabilities

The Town of Portola Valley identified existing authorities, policies, programs, funding, and/or resources that need to be expanded and/or improved to support the implementation of the hazard mitigation initiatives identified in this Plan (e.g., mitigation actions).

- The ability to implement the various mitigation strategies is limited by available staffing, capacities, and resources. Securing mitigation grants, funding, and resource partnerships to identify, staff, and implement programs and projects would support the implementation of the various strategies.
- To support the implementation of priority mitigation actions, the Town will seek to expand its grant writing and management capabilities. This may include hiring dedicated grant professionals or specialized consultants to increase the Town's success rate in competing for state and federal hazard mitigation funding and securing local match funding.
- Expand GIS capacity in the Town by increasing specialized staffing to enhance hazard mapping, vulnerability assessments, real-time situational awareness, data-driven execution of long-term mitigation initiatives, and emergency response coordination.

5. NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM

The Town of Portola Valley is a member of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) but has chosen not to participate in the NFIP Community Rating System (CRS) Program. The Town is in good standing



with the NFIP through adoption and enforcement of floodplain management requirements (e.g., regulating all new and substantially improved construction in Special Hazard Flood Areas), floodplain identification and mapping, and flood insurance outreach to the community. The Town’s NFIP participation information is listed in **Table 8**.

Table 8. NFIP Participation Information

| Community ID | NFIP Participation Date | Current Effective FIRM Date | CRS Entry Date | CRS Current Effective Date | CRS Class |
|--------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| 065052 | 6/21/1974 | 10/16/2012 | n/a | n/a | n/a |

5.1. NFIP Floodplain Administrator

All NFIP participating jurisdictions have a designated Floodplain Administrator who is charged with enforcing floodplain regulations, routinely monitoring the floodplains, and providing community assistance, such as encouraging owners to maintain flood insurance. The Town of Portola Valley Floodplain Administrator information is listed in **Table 9**.

Table 9. Floodplain Administrator

| Name | Title | Department | Phone Number |
|--------------|----------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| Sarah Cawrse | Director | Planning and Building | (650) 851-1700 Ext. 210 |

5.2. Repetitive Loss and Severe Repetitive Loss Property

FEMA defines a Repetitive Loss property as an NFIP-insured property meeting at least one (1) of the following paid loss criteria since 1978, regardless of any changes in ownership:

- Four (4) or more separate claims payments greater than \$5,000 each (including building and contents payment).
- Two (2) or more separate flood insurance claims payments (building payments only), where the total of the payments is greater than the property’s current value.

Additionally, to receive a designation, at least two (2) of the claim payments must occur within 10 years of one another.⁵

A Severe Repetitive Loss property is defined by FEMA as any NFIP-insured single-family or multi-family residential building meeting at least one (1) of the following paid loss criteria since 1978 or from a building constructed after 1978, regardless of any changes in ownership:⁶

⁵ Federal Emergency Management Agency, National Flood Insurance Program. (2023). A Policyholder’s Guide to Severe Repetitive Loss. Retrieved from https://agents.floodsmart.gov/sites/default/files/fema_nfip-policyholders-guide-severe-repetitive-loss_brochure_07-2023.pdf.

⁶ Federal Emergency Management Agency, National Flood Insurance Program. (2021). National Flood Insurance Program: Flood Insurance Manual. Retrieved from https://www.fema.gov/sites/default/files/documents/fema_nfip-all-flood-insurance-manual-apr-2021.pdf.



- That has incurred flood-related damage for which four (4) or more separate claims payments have been made, with the amount of each claim (including building and contents payments) exceeding \$5,000, and with the cumulative amount of such claims payments exceeding \$20,000.
- For which at least two (2) separate claims payments (building payments only) have been made under such coverage, with the cumulative amount of such claims exceeding the market value of the building.

Table 10 summarizes FEMA Repetitive Loss and Severe Repetitive Loss properties within the Town of Portola Valley.

Table 10. Repetitive Loss and Severe Repetitive Loss Properties

| Repetitive Loss Properties | | Severe Repetitive Loss Properties | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| Total | Occupancy | Total | Occupancy |
| 1 | 1 Single Family | 1 | 1 Single Family |
| <i>Occupancy Type: Single Family = Single family residence • Two (2)-Four (4) Unit Residential Building = Two (2)-four (4) unit residential building • More Than Four (4) Units Residential Building = Residential building with more than four (4) units • Non-Residential Building = Non-residential building • Non-Residential Business = Non-residential business • Single Family Residential Building = Single-family residential building with the exception of a mobile home or a single residential unit within a multi-unit building • Residential (2, 3, or 4 units) Non-Condo Building = Residential non-condo building with two (2), three (3), or four (4) units seeking insurance on all units • Residential (5 or more units) Non-Condo Building = Residential non-condo building with 5 or more units seeking insurance on all units • Residential Mobile/Manufactured Home = Residential mobile/manufactured home • Residential Condo Association = Residential condo association seeking coverage on a building with one (1) or more units • Single Residential Unit = Single residential unit within a multi-unit building • Non-Residential Mobile/manufactured Home = Non-residential mobile/manufactured home • Non-Residential Building = Non-residential building • Non-Residential Unit = Non-residential unit within a multi-unit building</i> | | | |

Table 11 summarizes NFIP active policies and coverage in force data for the Town of Portola Valley.

Table 11. NFIP Policies

| NFIP Policies | Insurance in Force | Total Claims Paid | Sum of Claims Paid |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 9 | \$2,971,000 | 3 | \$32,096 |

5.3. Participation Activities

The Town of Portola Valley's NFIP participation over the last five (5) years includes the following:

- Community staff provide the following services – permit reviews, GIS, inspections, and engineering capability.
- The community enforces local floodplain regulations and monitors compliance.

5.3.1. Substantial Damage

Substantial damage means damage of any origin sustained by a structure whereby the cost of restoring the structure to its before damaged condition would equal or exceed 50% of the market value of the structure before the damage occurred. (Title 18, Chapter 18.32 of the Town Code)



5.3.2. Substantial Improvement

Substantial improvement means any repair, reconstruction or improvement of a structure, the cost of which equals or exceeds 50% of the market value of the structure either before the improvement is started, or if the structure has been damaged and is being restored, before the damage occurred. For the purposes of this definition, *substantial improvement* is considered to occur when the first alteration of any wall, ceiling, floor or other structural part of the building commences, whether or not that alteration affects the external dimension of the structure. The term does not, however, include any alteration to comply with the existing state or local health, sanitary, building or safety codes or regulations or any alteration of a "historic structure," provided that the alteration will not preclude the structure's continued designation as a "historic structure." (*Title 18, Chapter 18.32 of the Town Code*)

5.3.3. Substantial Damage/Substantial Improvement Determination Process

The Town of Portola Valley Substantial Damage/Substantial Improvement determination process ensures compliance with the NFIP and the local floodplain management ordinances. To determine whether a structure has sustained Substantial Damage/Substantial Improvement after a flood event, the Town will use the FEMA Substantial Damage Estimator tool, along with a collaborative review conducted by Town staff and consultants.

6. HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN INTEGRATION

For a community to successfully reduce long-term risk, hazard mitigation must be integrated into day-to-day planning mechanisms and initiatives. Plan integration is the process by which communities critically assess the existing planning framework and align efforts to reduce long-term risks and build a more resilient community. It involves a two (2) way exchange of information and incorporation of ideas and concepts between hazard mitigation plans and other community plans. In particular, plan integration involves incorporating hazard mitigation principles and actions into other plans and integrating planning mechanisms into hazard mitigation plans. Plan integration involves community plans, policies, codes, and programs that guide development and define roles and responsibilities for implementing these capabilities. Additionally, plan integration is achieved through the involvement of key staff and community officials in collaborative hazard mitigation planning.

6.1. Existing Plan Integration

A hazard mitigation plan must explain how the jurisdiction incorporated the previous Plan update over the last five (5) years to demonstrate progress in local mitigation efforts. During the performance period since the adoption of the previous LHMP, the Town of Portola Valley has made progress in integrating components of the hazard mitigation strategy (e.g., goals, objectives, and actions) into planning initiatives and mechanisms. **Table 12** highlights the planning mechanisms/initiatives in which the previous Plan was integrated, as well as the information integrated.



Table 12. Existing Plan Integration

| Planning Initiative | Current Integration Description |
|---|---|
| General Plan | <p>The Town has a long history of integrating hazard mitigation into its core land use and emergency preparedness policies, a process that is supported by the hazard data and mitigation priorities in the LHMP. The LHMP served as a crucial tool in shaping the General Plan, informing specific policies for floods, wildfires, earthquakes, and landslides. These priorities are most explicitly reflected in the Safety Element, which identifies hazards associated with earthquakes, wildfires, landslides, and flooding, building on long-standing initiatives such as WPV-Ready and the Emergency Preparedness Committee.</p> <p>Integration extends into the Land Use Element, which seeks to conserve water and ensure that development in hazardous areas is controlled to protect people and structures from hazards. Additionally, land use policies have long limited development near faults and in landslide-prone areas. The Conservation Element addresses water protection and flooding by using the Geologic Map and Ground Movement Potential Map, while the Sustainability Element promotes resilience through drought-resistant plants. By integrating the LHMP across these different areas of the General Plan, the Town ensures that hazard mitigation remains a fundamental component of its long-term planning.</p> |
| Public Outreach and Engagement | <p>The Town maintains a proactive public outreach strategy that includes regularly disseminating hazard mitigation information, along with preparedness resources.</p> |
| Neighborhood Groups | <p>The Town's Wildfire Preparedness Committee has been involved in supporting existing and creating new Firewise communities that focus on promoting hazard mitigation to reduce neighborhood wildfire risk.</p> |
| Town Budget and Capital Improvement Program | <p>Hazard data and mitigation priorities from the LHMP inform the Town Operating Budget and Capital Improvement Program, aligning mitigation projects with funding opportunities, including FEMA grant programs. During the development review, staff applied hazard maps and regulatory standards, consistent with LHMP objectives, to ensure that new construction and improvements reduce long-term risk. This integration occurs through coordinated review, ensuring that mitigation goals are embedded in both long-range planning and day-to-day operations.</p> |

6.2. Potential Future Integration

A hazard mitigation plan must explain how the jurisdiction intends to incorporate this Plan update into planning mechanisms over the next five (5) years. The capability assessment presented in Section 4 of this Annex identifies codes, plans, and programs that provide opportunities for integration. **Table 13** outlines planning mechanisms/initiatives that do not currently integrate the goals and recommendations of this Plan but provide opportunities to do so in the future.



Table 13. Potential Future Integration

| Planning Initiative | Current Integration Description |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Safety Element | The Town's Safety Element update is currently underway. The opportunity to incorporate additional hazard mitigation and abatement measures will be considered for inclusion. Depending on timing, it will include hazard mitigation principles, vulnerability information, and mitigation actions to leverage activities that have co-benefits, reduce risks, and increase resilience. This LHMP will be used to identify new information that was not available during the previous revision of the Safety Element, including hazards, climate adaptation, and resilience strategies. |
| Emergency Operations Plan | This LHMP will continue to be an essential tool to update the Town EOP. The latest hazard descriptions in this LHMP will be included in the Town EOP, as appropriate. Mitigation actions that are preparedness and response in nature will be analyzed for applicability and for inclusion in the description of EOP processes and procedures. |
| Capital Improvement Program | The Town will continue to ensure consistency between this LHMP and future updates of the Capital Improvement Program. The LHMP may identify new funding sources for capital improvement projects, potentially leading to modifications to proposed projects based on the risk assessment results. |
| Town Code | Mitigation actions and the hazard risk assessment in this LHMP can inform updates and revisions to the Town Code (e.g., building, zoning). Portions of this Plan will be reviewed to consider any future improvements to the Code, if appropriate. |

The Town's Local Planning Team will identify all relevant planning initiatives scheduled for update in the next year and during the annual update process of the LHMP. Additionally, the Local Planning Team will identify opportunities to integrate key elements of the LHMP, specifically relevant strategies, into the planning initiatives. Mitigation actions were identified to promote plan integration in future revisions of this Plan.

7. SIGNIFICANT PAST EVENTS

A complete risk assessment, including past incidents, for each identified hazard of concern, can be found in **Volume 1** of this Plan. A summary of past events is provided under each hazard profile and includes a chronology of events that have affected the County and its municipalities.

8. HAZARD VULNERABILITY AND IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Exposure and vulnerability to certain hazards affect the entire County, and others are geographically defined. Although the entire County may be vulnerable to these hazards, their impacts may vary depending on existing community conditions (e.g., underserved populations or those with access and functional needs may be more susceptible under certain conditions).

The Local Planning Team determined that the planning area **did not have unique vulnerabilities or impacts** from any of the County's natural hazards; rather, its vulnerabilities and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County based on the hazards profiled in **Volume 1**.



- Dam Failure
- Drought
- Earthquake
- Flood (*riverine flooding, urban/flash flooding, coastal flooding*)
- Landslide
- Sea Level Rise
- Severe Weather (*heavy rainfall, severe thunderstorms, strong winds, tornadoes, heat wave/extreme heat, fog*)
- Tsunami
- Wildfire

Note: Severe weather and flood are profiled as the two (2) hazards. However, to conduct a more thorough risk assessment, the sub-hazards (i.e., heavy rainfall, heat wave/extreme heat, fog, severe thunderstorms, tornadoes, strong winds, riverine flooding, urban/flash flooding, and coastal flooding) were ranked individually. The hazard risk assessment methodology can be found in Chapter 4 of **Volume 1** of this Plan.

Table 14 outlines the *unique vulnerabilities and impacts* for the Town of Portola Valley and addresses only the hazards relevant to the jurisdiction. A complete risk assessment for each identified hazard of concern is in **Volume 1** of this Plan. Hazard mapping can be found in Appendix A of this Annex.

Table 14. Hazard Vulnerability and Impact Assessment

| Hazard | Vulnerability and Impacts |
|--|--|
| Dam Failure | The Local Planning Team determined that the Town does not have unique vulnerabilities or impacts from dam failure; rather, the jurisdiction’s vulnerabilities and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County. |
| Drought | The Local Planning Team determined that the Town does not have unique vulnerabilities or impacts from drought; rather, the jurisdiction’s vulnerabilities and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County. |
| Earthquake | The Local Planning Team determined that the Town does not have unique vulnerabilities or impacts from earthquakes; rather, the jurisdiction’s vulnerabilities and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County. |
| Flood (<i>riverine flooding, urban/flash flooding, coastal flooding</i>) | The Local Planning Team determined that the Town does not have unique vulnerabilities or impacts from flooding; rather, the jurisdiction’s vulnerabilities and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County. |
| Landslide | The Local Planning Team determined that the Town does not have unique vulnerabilities or impacts from landslides; rather, the jurisdiction’s vulnerabilities and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County. |



| Hazard | Vulnerability and Impacts |
|--|---|
| Sea Level Rise | The Local Planning Team determined that the Town does not have unique vulnerabilities or impacts from sea level rise; rather, the jurisdiction’s vulnerabilities and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County. |
| Severe Weather (<i>heavy rainfall, severe thunderstorms, strong winds, tornadoes, heat wave/extreme heat, fog</i>) | The Local Planning Team determined that the Town does not have unique vulnerabilities or impacts from severe weather; rather, the jurisdiction’s vulnerabilities and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County. |
| Tsunami | The Local Planning Team determined that the Town does not have unique vulnerabilities or impacts from tsunamis; rather, the jurisdiction’s vulnerabilities and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County. |
| Wildfire | The Local Planning Team determined that the Town does not have unique vulnerabilities or impacts from wildfire; rather, the jurisdiction’s vulnerabilities and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County. |

The Town evaluated whether vulnerability in hazard-prone areas had increased, decreased, or remained the same for each natural hazard identified in this LHMP. Climate change, changes in population, infrastructure expansion, and economic shifts that can affect vulnerability were considered. For example, if planned development is in an identified hazard area or is not built to the updated building codes, it may increase the community’s vulnerability to future hazards and disasters. On the other hand, if development occurred with mitigation practices in place, the vulnerability may have remained the same or decreased. Additionally, shifting demographics (e.g., underserved population) were taken into consideration.

Table 15 outlines whether climate change has increased or decreased the Town’s vulnerability (i.e., exposure) and impact to each natural hazard over the past five (5) years, and the effect of climate change on the future probability of occurrence and impacts from each natural hazard

Table 15. Climate Change: Current and Future Vulnerability and Impact

| Hazard | Vulnerability and Impact |
|--|--------------------------|
| Current Vulnerability and Impact | |
| Dam Failure | Not Applicable |
| Drought | Increased |
| Earthquake | Remained the Same |
| Flood (<i>riverine flooding, urban/flash flooding, coastal flooding</i>) | Increased |
| Landslide | Increased |
| Sea Level Rise | Not Applicable |
| Severe Weather (<i>heavy rainfall, severe thunderstorms, strong winds, tornadoes, heat wave/extreme heat, fog</i>) | Increased |
| Tsunami | Not Applicable |
| Wildfire | Increased |



| Hazard | Vulnerability and Impact |
|--|--------------------------|
| Future Vulnerability and Impact | |
| Dam Failure | Not Applicable |
| Drought | Increase |
| Earthquake | No Change Anticipated |
| Flood (<i>riverine flooding, urban/flash flooding, coastal flooding</i>) | Increase |
| Landslide | Increase |
| Sea Level Rise | Not Applicable |
| Severe Weather (<i>heavy rainfall, severe thunderstorms, strong winds, tornadoes, heat wave/extreme heat, fog</i>) | Increase |
| Tsunami | Not Applicable |
| Wildfire | Increase |

Table 16 outlines whether changes in population within the Town over the past five (5) years have increased or decreased the vulnerability (i.e., exposure) and impact to these natural hazards, and the anticipated effects changes in population may have on the future probability of occurrence and impacts from these natural hazards.

Table 16. Changes in Population: Current and Future Vulnerability and Impact

| Hazard | Vulnerability and Impact |
|--|--------------------------|
| Current Vulnerability and Impact | |
| Dam Failure | Not Applicable |
| Drought | Remained the Same |
| Earthquake | Remained the Same |
| Flood (<i>riverine flooding, urban/flash flooding, coastal flooding</i>) | Remained the Same |
| Landslide | Remained the Same |
| Sea Level Rise | Not Applicable |
| Severe Weather (<i>heavy rainfall, severe thunderstorms, strong winds, tornadoes, heat wave/extreme heat, fog</i>) | Remained the Same |
| Tsunami | Not Applicable |
| Wildfire | Remained the Same |
| Future Vulnerability and Impact | |
| Dam Failure | Not Applicable |
| Drought | No Change Anticipated |
| Earthquake | No Change Anticipated |
| Flood (<i>riverine flooding, urban/flash flooding, coastal flooding</i>) | No Change Anticipated |
| Landslide | No Change Anticipated |



| Hazard | Vulnerability and Impact |
|--|--------------------------|
| Sea Level Rise | Not Applicable |
| Severe Weather (<i>heavy rainfall, severe thunderstorms, strong winds, tornadoes, heat wave/extreme heat, fog</i>) | No Change Anticipated |
| Tsunami | Not Applicable |
| Wildfire | Increase |

Table 17 outlines whether development over the past five (5) years has increased or decreased the Town’s vulnerability (i.e., exposure) and impact to these natural hazards, and the anticipated effects changes in development may have on the future probability of occurrence and impacts from these natural hazards.

Table 17. Changes in Development: Current and Future Vulnerability and Impact

| Hazard | Vulnerability and Impact |
|--|--------------------------|
| Current Vulnerability and Impact | |
| Dam Failure | Not Applicable |
| Drought | Remained the Same |
| Earthquake | Remained the Same |
| Flood (<i>riverine flooding, urban/flash flooding, coastal flooding</i>) | Remained the Same |
| Landslide | Remained the Same |
| Sea Level Rise | Not Applicable |
| Severe Weather (<i>heavy rainfall, severe thunderstorms, strong winds, tornadoes, heat wave/extreme heat, fog</i>) | Remained the Same |
| Tsunami | Not Applicable |
| Wildfire | Remained the Same |
| Future Vulnerability and Impact | |
| Dam Failure | Not Applicable |
| Drought | No Change Anticipated |
| Earthquake | No Change Anticipated |
| Flood (<i>riverine flooding, urban/flash flooding, coastal flooding</i>) | No Change Anticipated |
| Landslide | No Change Anticipated |
| Sea Level Rise | Not Applicable |
| Severe Weather (<i>heavy rainfall, severe thunderstorms, strong winds, tornadoes, heat wave/extreme heat, fog</i>) | No Change Anticipated |
| Tsunami | Not Applicable |
| Wildfire | Increase |



8.1. Future Major Assets

Community assets should include anything that is important to a community's character and function. Assets include people (i.e., underserved population); structures (i.e., new and existing buildings); community lifelines and other critical facilities; natural, historic, and cultural resources; and the economy and other activities that have value to the community. The Town of Portola Valley does not anticipate that future major assets may be exposed or vulnerable to any of the natural hazards identified in this LHMP. However, any new assets (e.g., new construction in hazard-prone areas) will be built to comply with the latest building codes and standards and will be mitigated to protect them from identified and anticipated hazards, especially those expected to increase due to climate change.

9. HAZARD RISK RANKING

Table 18 presents the local hazard ranking for the Town of Portola Valley of all hazards of concern listed in **Volume 1** of this Plan. This ranking summarizes how hazards vary for this jurisdiction. As thoroughly described in **Volume 1** of this Plan, 14 factors were evaluated to provide an informed and comprehensive analysis and ranking of the hazards included in this LHMP.

- **Probability** (likelihood of annual occurrence)
- **Extent** of the hazard, including catastrophic potential
- **Vulnerability** (i.e., exposure) of the population, property (including critical infrastructure), and changes in the development (over the past five (5) years)
- **Impacts** on population and life safety, underserved population, property (including critical infrastructure), the economy, the environment, continuity of operations/delivery of services, future development, and climate change

The scores for extent, vulnerability, and impact were weighted and combined to produce a consequence score. This consequence score was then multiplied by the probability score to calculate the total risk score for each hazard. At the fundamental level, the consequence is an assessment of the potential impact(s) if the hazards incident were to occur. In this assessment, the consequence score (i.e., the consequence of an event) will be independent of the extent, vulnerability, and impacts. The probability of the hazards is not included in assessing the consequence because, without an event, there is no consequence or impact. For further details on how the probability, extent, vulnerability, and impact factors in **Table 18** were calculated, please refer to Chapter 4 in **Volume 1** of this Plan. Details of the hazard ranking results are provided in Appendix C of this Annex.

It is important to note that the sub-hazards for severe weather (i.e., heavy rainfall, severe thunderstorms, strong winds, tornadoes, heat wave/extreme heat, and fog) and flood (i.e., riverine flooding, urban/flash flooding, coastal flooding) were individually ranked in the hazard risk ranking; however, severe weather and flood are each considered as the main hazard throughout this Annex and **Volume 1**.



Table 18. Town of Portola Valley Hazard Risk Ranking

| Hazard Event | Probability Factor | Sum of Weighted Extent Factors | Sum of Weighted Vulnerability Factors | Sum of Weighted Impact Factors | Consequence Score | Total Risk Score* |
|---|--------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Urban/Flash Flooding (Flood) | 3 | 18 | 14 | 32 | 64 | 89 |
| Heavy Rainfall (Severe Weather) | 3 | 12 | 13 | 23 | 48 | 67 |
| Earthquake | 2 | 18 | 14 | 35 | 67 | 62 |
| Wildfire | 2 | 18 | 10 | 34 | 62 | 57 |
| Landslide | 2 | 9 | 9 | 30 | 48 | 44 |
| Heat Wave/Extreme Heat (Severe Weather) | 3 | 6 | 10 | 15 | 31 | 43 |
| Riverine Flooding (Flood) | 2 | 12 | 6 | 28 | 46 | 43 |
| Severe Thunderstorm (Severe Weather) | 2 | 12 | 13 | 21 | 46 | 43 |
| Strong Winds (Severe Weather) | 2 | 9 | 13 | 22 | 44 | 41 |
| Drought | 2 | 6 | 11 | 22 | 39 | 36 |
| Tornado (Severe Weather) | 1 | 6 | 13 | 13 | 32 | 15 |
| Fog (Severe Weather) | 1 | 6 | 9 | 11 | 26 | 12 |
| Sea Level Rise | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dam Failure | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Coastal Flooding (Flood) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tsunami | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Extent: Sum of the weighted Extent factors.
Vulnerability: Sum of the weighted Vulnerability factors.
Impact: Sum of the weighted Impact factors.

Consequence Score: Extent + Vulnerability + Impact
(Sum of all weighted factors).
Total Risk Score = Probability x Consequence
* Normalized to 100

Total Risk Score Legend

| Classification | Probability | Extent | Vulnerability | Impact | Consequence Score | Total Risk Score |
|----------------|-------------|---------|---------------|---------|-------------------|------------------|
| Low (L) | 1 | 0 – 6 | 0 – 4 | 0 – 12 | 0 – 24 | 0 – 32 |
| Medium (M) | 2 | 7 – 12 | 5 – 10 | 13 – 26 | 25 – 48 | 33 – 66 |
| High (H) | 3 | 13 – 18 | 11 – 15 | 27 – 39 | 49 – 72 | 67 – 100 |

The **legend**—specifically the assignment of low, medium, and high—provides an additional means to qualitatively assess the probability factor, sum of weighted factors, and the total risk scores for each hazard. The **Consequence Score** represents the sum of the Extent, Vulnerability, and Impact Factors. The **Total Risk Score** is a measure of Probability and Consequence.



10. MITIGATION ACTIONS

This section includes the mitigation actions developed to address the risks and vulnerabilities to the hazards identified in this Plan. This Plan serves only to recommend mitigation measures based on the potential for risk reduction and available funding. Implementation of mitigation actions is dependent on risk reduction priorities, feasibility, and available funding. It is also dependent on the cooperation and support of the jurisdiction and/or department responsible for each action item. Additionally, all mitigation actions identified in the 2021 update or before were updated accordingly. Any new mitigation actions are listed as *New* (under Project Status).

The Town of Portola Valley agreed to **39** mitigation actions that apply to the jurisdiction’s properties for which it has jurisdictional responsibility and authority. A summary of the Town’s mitigation actions status is listed in **Table 19**.

Note: The mitigation actions outlined in this Plan are designed only to address those natural hazards that received a risk ranking of *medium* or *high* during the hazard risk assessment (**Table 18**). Hazards that ranked *low* (dam failure, sea level rise, and tsunami) may not have specific mitigation actions detailed in this document.

Table 19. Town of Portola Valley Mitigation Actions Summary

| Status | Mitigation Action Total | | |
|---|-------------------------|---|-----|
| Continuing | 7 | | |
| In Progress | 14 | | |
| Not Yet Started | 14 | | |
| New | 4 | | |
| TOTAL | 39 | | |
| Completed | 3 | | |
| No Longer Needed | 11 | | |
| Mitigation Actions per Hazard | | | |
| Dam Failure | n/a | Sea Level Rise | n/a |
| Drought | 13 | Severe Weather <i>(heavy rainfall, severe thunderstorms, strong winds, tornadoes, heat wave/extreme heat, fog)</i> | 19 |
| Earthquake | 17 | Tsunami | n/a |
| Flood <i>(riverine flooding, urban/flash flooding, coastal flooding)</i> | 16 | Wildfire | 21 |
| Landslide | 13 | | |

A detailed explanation of the Mitigation Strategy can be found in Chapter 5 of **Volume 1**.



| | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Actively participate in the Hazard Mitigation Plan maintenance protocols outlined in Volume 1 of the San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-1 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 | Prioritization Score | 40/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2016 | Timeline (estimated) | Ongoing | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Drought, Earthquake, Flood, Landslide, Severe Weather, Wildfire | | | | |
| Project Status | Continuing | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Low | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Office of the Town Manager | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | Low | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time) | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-----|
| Mitigation Action | Improve stormwater drainage to alleviate repeated localized flooding, especially storm drain systems connected to San Mateo County Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency District Flood Zone channels and infrastructure. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-2 | Goal(s) Addressed | n/a | Prioritization Score | n/a |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | n/a | Implementation Priority | n/a |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Flood, Severe Weather | | | | |
| Project Status | No Longer Needed | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | Replaced with mitigation action PTV-50, which is more relevant. | | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | n/a | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | n/a | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | n/a | Potential Funding Source | n/a | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-----|
| Mitigation Action | Develop and implement a program to capture perishable data after significant events (e.g., high water marks, preliminary damage estimates, damage photos) to support future mitigation efforts, including the implementation and maintenance of the hazard mitigation plan. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-3 | Goal(s) Addressed | n/a | Prioritization Score | n/a |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2016 | Timeline (estimated) | n/a | Implementation Priority | n/a |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Flood, Severe Weather | | | | |
| Project Status | No Longer Needed | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | Replaced with mitigation action PTV-52, which is more relevant. | | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | n/a | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | n/a | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | n/a | Potential Funding Source | n/a | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
 Town of Portola Valley Annex



| | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-----|
| Mitigation Action | Plan, design, and implement long-term resilience to sea level rise, extreme storms, and coastal erosion for culverts, roadways, and bridges in the vicinity of other flood protection projects, including assets identified in the Caltrans District 4 Adaptation Priorities Report. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-4 | Goal(s) Addressed | n/a | Prioritization Score | n/a |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | n/a | Implementation Priority | n/a |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Flood, Landslide, Severe Weather | | | | |
| Project Status | No Longer Needed | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | Replaced with mitigation action PTV-50, which is more relevant. | | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | n/a | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | n/a | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | n/a | Potential Funding Source | n/a | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |



| Mitigation Action | Identify and pursue strategies to enhance recycled water infrastructure planning/implementation in the vicinity of San Mateo County Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency District projects. | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|-----|
| Action Number | PTV-5 | Goal(s) Addressed | n/a | Prioritization Score | n/a |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | n/a | Implementation Priority | n/a |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Drought | | | | |
| Project Status | No Longer Needed | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | The Town is not the direct lead on recycled water infrastructure planning/implementation. This is the jurisdiction of California Water Services. | | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | n/a | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | n/a | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | n/a | Potential Funding Source | n/a | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
Town of Portola Valley Annex



| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|-----|--------------------------------|-----|
| Mitigation Action | Complete work identified in the resource grant with Community Partners for Wildfire Assistance (CPAW), granted with the San Mateo County, the Town of Woodside, and the Woodside Fire Protection District in Spring 2021, including the development of a comprehensive community wildfire resiliency strategy, improved and enhanced communication tools, and increased land use planning capacity, such as a community wildfire protection plan and improved hazard maps, broadening the network of partners to provide resources and support, and evacuation planning and modeling. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-6 | Goal(s) Addressed | n/a | Prioritization Score | n/a |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | n/a | Implementation Priority | n/a |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Wildfire | | | | |
| Project Status | Completed | <i>If No Longer Needed, provide reason.</i> | n/a | | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | n/a | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | n/a | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | n/a | Potential Funding Source | n/a | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | The Town planted drought-tolerant demonstration gardens at the Town Center. | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|-----|--------------------------------|-----|
| Mitigation Action | Implement additional home hardening code beyond the current Very High Fire Severity Zone requirements in Chapter 7A of the Building Code, effective Summer/Fall 2021. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-7 | Goal(s) Addressed | n/a | Prioritization Score | n/a |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | n/a | Implementation Priority | n/a |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Wildfire | | | | |
| Project Status | Completed | <i>If No Longer Needed, provide reason.</i> | n/a | | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | n/a | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | n/a | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | n/a | Potential Funding Source | n/a | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | The Town completed the Town Center Master Planning effort, and no further work is needed. | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|-----|--------------------------------|-----|
| Mitigation Action | Make the Ad Hoc Wildfire Preparedness Committee a standing committee, and continue to support their recommendations. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-8 | Goal(s) Addressed | n/a | Prioritization Score | n/a |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | n/a | Implementation Priority | n/a |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Wildfire | | | | |
| Project Status | Completed | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | n/a | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | n/a | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | n/a | Potential Funding Source | | n/a | |
| Additional Details (optional) | The Town installed devices for the Town fields to maximize water use. | | | | |

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
 Town of Portola Valley Annex



| | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Support the Woodside Fire Protection District’s Home Hardening and Defensible Space program to implement Ordinance No. 24-01 by promoting the use of ignition-resistant building materials and the removal of flammable vegetation to reduce wildfire risk to structures and infrastructure. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-9 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1, 4 | Prioritization Score | 37/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | 4 to 5 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Wildfire | | | | |
| Project Status | In Progress | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Medium | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Public Works Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | High | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|
| Mitigation Action | Where possible and appropriate, consider upsizing the evacuation infrastructure, including new vehicular lanes, on both the public right-of-way and through the acquisition of private property, including when new development projects are proposed. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-10 | Goal(s) Addressed | 4 | Prioritization Score | 27/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | 1 to 5 Years | Implementation Priority | Medium |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Earthquake, Flood, Landslide, Severe Weather, Wildfire | | | | |
| Project Status | In Progress | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Medium | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Public Works Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | High | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
Town of Portola Valley Annex



| | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Establish access agreements with private landowners to provide alternative evacuation routes, mitigate the risk of isolation, and ensure reliable evacuation capacity during large-scale events. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-11 | Goal(s) Addressed | 4 | Prioritization Score | 31/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | 1 to 5 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Wildfire | | | | |
| Project Status | In Progress | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Medium | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Public Works Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | Medium | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)

Town of Portola Valley Annex



| | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Formalize a community-wide wildfire risk reduction strategy by incentivizing residents' participation in the Firewise USA program, led by the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA), to reduce structural vulnerability and fuel loads on property. The Program will help establish a framework for the community to reduce the long-term impacts of wildfires. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-12 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1, 2 | Prioritization Score | 40/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | 4 to 5 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Wildfire | | | | |
| Project Status | In Progress | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Low | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Office of the Town Manager | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | Low | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
Town of Portola Valley Annex



| | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Institutionalize a collaborative framework with insurance providers to share information (e.g., risk reduction data, financial incentives) with residents to support wildfire risk reduction on personal property, which will support the long-term availability of insurance coverage. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-13 | Goal(s) Addressed | 3 | Prioritization Score | 36/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | 1 to 5 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Wildfire | | | | |
| Project Status | Continuing | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | n/a | | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Low | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Office of the Town Manager | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | Low | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time) | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
Town of Portola Valley Annex



| | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Expand the Woodside Fire Protection District’s Chipper Program by increasing the frequency of collection events and sponsoring local "slash and clean-up days" to help residents remove hazardous vegetative fuels and maintain defensible space around their properties. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-14 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1, 2 | Prioritization Score | 37/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2016 | Timeline (estimated) | 4 to 5 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Wildfire | | | | |
| Project Status | In Progress | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | n/a | | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Medium | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Public Works Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | High | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
Town of Portola Valley Annex



| | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Implement a fuels management program for town and private properties that encourages residents in high-risk areas to create defensible space and reduce hazardous vegetative fuels through techniques such as pruning, clearing dead vegetation, and planting fire-resistant species. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-15 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1, 2, 5 | Prioritization Score | 36/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2016 | Timeline (estimated) | 1 to 5 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Wildfire | | | | |
| Project Status | In Progress | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Medium | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Public Works Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | High | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Update and adopt the Town's Safety Element, part of the General Plan, to include the most current hazard data and risk assessments, and ensure alignment with modern mitigation standards. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-16 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1, 4, 5 | Prioritization Score | 40/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2016 | Timeline (estimated) | Ongoing | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Drought, Earthquake, Flood, Landslide, Severe Weather, Wildfire | | | | |
| Project Status | Continuing | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Low | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Planning and Building Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | High | Potential Funding Source | | General Fund (Staff Time) | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Integrate the San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan into other Town plans, ordinances, and programs that govern land use decisions in the community, including, but not limited to, the General Plan (and its elements, as appropriate). | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-17 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1, 4, 5 | Prioritization Score | 40/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | Ongoing | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Drought, Earthquake, Flood, Landslide, Severe Weather, Wildfire | | | | |
| Project Status | Continuing | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Low | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Planning and Building Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | High | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time) | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
Town of Portola Valley Annex



| | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-----|
| Mitigation Action | Fully implement the “Veoci” virtual Emergency Operations Center (EOC) tool, and expand the roster of residents who will serve in an EOC capacity. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-18 | Goal(s) Addressed | n/a | Prioritization Score | n/a |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2016 | Timeline (estimated) | n/a | Implementation Priority | n/a |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Drought, Earthquake, Flood, Landslide, Severe Weather, Wildfire | | | | |
| Project Status | No Longer Needed | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | The Town (and County) no longer uses Veoci. | | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | n/a | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | n/a | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | n/a | Potential Funding Source | n/a | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
Town of Portola Valley Annex



| | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Acquire emergency backup power (e.g., generators, solar, and battery-powered systems) for critical facilities and infrastructure that lack adequate backup power. Emergency backup power is necessary to ensure continuous power and operational resilience, and to reduce service interruptions following emergencies and disasters. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-19 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1, 3, 5 | Prioritization Score | 37/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | 1 to 5 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Earthquake, Flood, Landslide, Severe Weather, Wildfire | | | | |
| Project Status | Not Yet Started | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Low | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Public Works Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | High | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP, FEMA PA | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
Town of Portola Valley Annex



| | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Continue to keep good standing and compliance with the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) by implementing floodplain management programs that, at a minimum, meet NFIP requirements. These include, but are not limited to, enforcing the Town's flood damage prevention ordinance, participating in floodplain identification and mapping updates, and providing public assistance/information on floodplain requirements and impacts. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-20 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1, 3, 4, 5 | Prioritization Score | 40/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2016 | Timeline (estimated) | Ongoing | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Flood, Severe Weather | | | | |
| Project Status | Continuing | <i>If No Longer Needed, provide reason.</i> | n/a | | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Low | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Planning and Building Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | Low | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time) | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
Town of Portola Valley Annex



| | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Collaborate and align with the County-led initiative to enhance the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) Community Rating System (CRS) classification. The City will support by assisting in the upgrade and expansion of the countywide flood early warning system and participating in coordinated community flood preparedness and recovery outreach. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-21 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1, 5 | Prioritization Score | 38/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2016 | Timeline (estimated) | Ongoing | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Flood, Severe Weather | | | | |
| Project Status | Continuing | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Low | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Planning and Building Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | Low | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time) | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-----|
| Mitigation Action | Advance the long-term resilience of Hillsborough, Woodside, and Portola Valley to extreme storms, and provide environmental, recreational, and community/connectivity enhancements where possible. This may include regional stormwater capture projects that also benefit downstream, flood-prone communities. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-22 | Goal(s) Addressed | n/a | Prioritization Score | n/a |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2016 | Timeline (estimated) | n/a | Implementation Priority | n/a |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Flood, Severe Weather | | | | |
| Project Status | No Longer Needed | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | Replaced with mitigation action PTV-51, which is more relevant. | | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | n/a | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | n/a | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | n/a | Potential Funding Source | n/a | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
 Town of Portola Valley Annex



| | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Develop, adopt, and implement a Climate Action Plan that identifies and prioritizes long-term strategies to reduce the community's vulnerability to climate-related hazards. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-23 | Goal(s) Addressed | 3, 5 | Prioritization Score | 37/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2016 | Timeline (estimated) | 2 to 3 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Drought, Flood, Landslide, Severe Weather, Wildfire | | | | |
| Project Status | Not Yet Started | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Low | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Planning and Building Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | High | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Assess and prioritize the modernization of utility systems, equipment, and critical facilities, including backup power and culvert/pipeline infrastructure. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-24 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1, 3, 5 | Prioritization Score | 34/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | 4 to 5 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Earthquake, Flood, Landslide, Severe Weather, Wildfire | | | | |
| Project Status | In Progress | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Low | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Public Works Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | High | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP, FEMA PA, FMA | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Prioritize and support green infrastructure projects to enhance resilience to natural disasters. This initiative will integrate green design elements into hazard mitigation projects when feasible. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-25 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1, 3, 4 | Prioritization Score | 37/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | 1 to 3 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Drought, Flood, Landslide, Severe Weather, Wildfire | | | | |
| Project Status | In Progress | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Low | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Public Works Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | Low | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP, FMA | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
Town of Portola Valley Annex



| | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Enhance the Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance through technical amendments that establish more rigorous standards to further reduce water needs for landscaping projects (e.g., drought-resilient vegetation, high-efficiency irrigation systems). | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-26 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1, 3, 5 | Prioritization Score | 35/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | 4 to 5 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Drought | | | | |
| Project Status | Not Yet Started | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Low | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Building and Planning Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | Medium | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Establish permanent demonstration rain catchment and greywater systems at the Town Center to help reduce water demand. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-27 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1, 3 | Prioritization Score | 39/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | 1 to 5 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Drought | | | | |
| Project Status | Not Yet Started | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Low | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Public Works Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | High | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
Town of Portola Valley Annex



| | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Evaluate the feasibility of and implement a water storage system at the Town Center to ensure critical resource redundancy. A water storage system is meant to reduce the risk of water utility failure and ensure the continuous operations of the general Town Center needs. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-28 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1, 4 | Prioritization Score | 37/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | 1 to 5 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Drought | | | | |
| Project Status | Not Yet Started | <i>If No Longer Needed, provide reason.</i> | n/a | | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Low | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Public Works Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | High | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-----|
| Mitigation Action | Consider an incentive program for residents who reduce/eliminate water-dependent landscaping. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-29 | Goal(s) Addressed | n/a | Prioritization Score | n/a |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | n/a | Implementation Priority | n/a |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Drought | | | | |
| Project Status | No Longer Needed | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | Replaced with a more relevant mitigation actions. | | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | n/a | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | n/a | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | n/a | Potential Funding Source | n/a | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
 Town of Portola Valley Annex



| | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Collaborate with the California Water Service (CalWater) to develop and implement long-term structural and policy-based drought mitigation strategies and initiatives (e.g., infrastructure improvements, resource management protocols) to enhance the water supply. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-30 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1, 3, 4, 5 | Prioritization Score | 37/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | 1 to 5 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Drought | | | | |
| Project Status | Not Yet Started | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | n/a | | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Low | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Public Works Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | California Water Service | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | Low | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP, CalWater grants | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Institutionalize a voluntary water reduction program that includes a comprehensive public outreach initiative to educate the community on water conservation methods and empower the community to adopt long-term conservation practices on their properties. This initiative encourages the community to proactively reduce water demand, helping mitigate long-term impacts during droughts. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-31 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 | Prioritization Score | 40/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | 1 to 5 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Drought | | | | |
| Project Status | Not Yet Started | <i>If No Longer Needed, provide reason.</i> | n/a | | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Low | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Public Works Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | Low | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Evaluate the feasibility of utilizing groundwater as a secondary water supply to ensure redundancy and continuity of operations. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-32 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1, 3 | Prioritization Score | 39/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | 1 to 5 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Drought | | | | |
| Project Status | Not Yet Started | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Low | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Public Works Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | High | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-----|
| Mitigation Action | Support the Town’s Sustainability Committee as it explores water conservation ideas. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-33 | Goal(s) Addressed | n/a | Prioritization Score | n/a |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | n/a | Implementation Priority | n/a |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Drought | | | | |
| Project Status | No Longer Needed | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | The Town no longer has an active Sustainability Committee. | | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | n/a | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | n/a | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | n/a | Potential Funding Source | n/a | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Establish drought response severity thresholds to automate restrictions on water use on Town properties. This intends to expedite water conservation and mitigate long-term impacts. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-34 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1, 3, 5 | Prioritization Score | 40/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | 1 to 5 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Drought | | | | |
| Project Status | Not Yet Started | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Low | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Public Works Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | Low | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time) | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|
| Mitigation Action | Where appropriate, support retrofitting, purchasing, or relocating structures located in high-hazard areas, prioritizing those that have experienced repetitive losses and/or are in high- or medium-risk hazard areas. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-35 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1, 3, 5 | Prioritization Score | 27/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2016 | Timeline (estimated) | 4 to 5 Years | Implementation Priority | Medium |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Earthquake, Flood, Landslide, Severe Weather, Wildfire | | | | |
| Project Status | Not Yet Started | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Medium | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Building and Planning Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | High | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP, FMA | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Retrofit underground power utility systems. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-36 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1, 3 | Prioritization Score | 30/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | 4 to 5 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Earthquake, Severe Weather, Wildfire | | | | |
| Project Status | In Progress | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Low | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Planning and Building Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | Pacific Gas and Electric Company | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | High | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP, FEMA PA, PG&E funds | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Proactively remove hazardous trees near critical infrastructure (e.g., electric and power lines) or evacuation routes, beyond current practices by the Town and Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E). This initiative is intended to reduce the risk of utility-related fire ignitions and ensure evacuation routes remain clear in the event of a major disaster. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-37 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1, 3, 4, 5 | Prioritization Score | 32/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | 1 to 5 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Earthquake, Severe Weather, Wildfire | | | | |
| Project Status | In Progress | <i>If No Longer Needed, provide reason.</i> | n/a | | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | High | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Public Works Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | High | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time) | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-----|
| Mitigation Action | Modify land use policies, including the General Plan, to support the removal of hazardous trees near critical infrastructure. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-38 | Goal(s) Addressed | n/a | Prioritization Score | n/a |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | n/a | Implementation Priority | n/a |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Earthquake, Severe Weather, Wildfire | | | | |
| Project Status | No Longer Needed | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | Replaced with a more relevant mitigation actions. | | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | n/a | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | n/a | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | n/a | Potential Funding Source | n/a | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-----|
| Mitigation Action | Modify land use policies to encourage appropriate plantings near overhead power, phone, and cable lines. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-39 | Goal(s) Addressed | n/a | Prioritization Score | n/a |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | n/a | Implementation Priority | n/a |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Earthquake, Severe Weather, Wildfire | | | | |
| Project Status | No Longer Needed | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | Replaced with a more relevant mitigation actions. | | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | n/a | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | n/a | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | n/a | Potential Funding Source | n/a | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-----|
| Mitigation Action | Continue to hold the annual Emergency Communications and Radio Day. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-40 | Goal(s) Addressed | n/a | Prioritization Score | n/a |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | n/a | Implementation Priority | n/a |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Drought, Earthquake, Flood, Landslide, Severe Weather, Wildfire | | | | |
| Project Status | No Longer Needed | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | The Town does not hold this event anymore, but holds other effective events. | | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | n/a | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | n/a | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | n/a | Potential Funding Source | n/a | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
 Town of Portola Valley Annex



| | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Support the Town’s Emergency Preparedness Committee to identify and implement mitigation strategies and improve communications systems. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-41 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 | Prioritization Score | 39/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2016 | Timeline (estimated) | 4 to 5 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Drought, Earthquake, Flood, Landslide, Severe Weather, Wildfire | | | | |
| Project Status | In Progress | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Low | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Office of the Town Manager | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | Low | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Institutionalize quarterly meetings of the Town Geologic Safety Committee to ensure continuous evaluation and mitigation of geologic hazards. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-42 | Goal(s) Addressed | 5 | Prioritization Score | 40/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | Ongoing | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Earthquake, Landslide | | | | |
| Project Status | Continuing | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Low | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Office of the Town Manager | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | Low | Potential Funding Source | | General Fund (Staff Time) | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Ensure the Town's Geologic and Ground Movement Potential maps remain current with the latest technical data to support accurate land use decisions. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-43 | Goal(s) Addressed | 5 | Prioritization Score | 38/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | 4 to 5 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Earthquake, Landslide | | | | |
| Project Status | In Progress | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Low | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Planning and Building Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | Low | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Coordinate with utility companies to ensure automated shut-off devices are installed on lines that cross active fault traces to reduce the risk of fires after seismic events. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-44 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1 | Prioritization Score | 34/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | 1 to 3 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Earthquake, Landslide, Wildfire | | | | |
| Project Status | Not Yet Started | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Low | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Public Works Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | Low | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Identify funding sources for structural and non-structural retrofitting of seismically vulnerable structures for residents, including, but not limited to, the California Earthquake Authority Brace and Bolt Grant Program. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-45 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1 | Prioritization Score | 40/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2016 | Timeline (estimated) | 1 to 3 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Earthquake | | | | |
| Project Status | Not Yet Started | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Medium | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Planning and Building Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | Low | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time) | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
Town of Portola Valley Annex



| | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Implement a comprehensive seismic risk outreach program to provide residents with information on earthquake risks, technical guidance on earthquake impacts, and structural retrofit opportunities, encouraging them to implement mitigation measures on their property. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-46 | Goal(s) Addressed | 2 | Prioritization Score | 40/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | 1 to 5 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Earthquake | | | | |
| Project Status | In Progress | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Low | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Planning and Building Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | Low | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time) | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
 Town of Portola Valley Annex



| | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Encourage Town stakeholders that own critical infrastructure (e.g., schools, utilities, critical businesses) to retrofit their facilities, particularly aging infrastructure, to reduce the risk of seismic failure and ensure continuity of operations and services after a major disaster. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-47 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1, 5 | Prioritization Score | 34/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | 4 to 5 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Earthquake | | | | |
| Project Status | In Progress | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Medium | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Office of the Town Manager | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | Low | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time) | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
Town of Portola Valley Annex



| | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Implement a comprehensive severe weather outreach program to inform residents about the potential impacts and mitigation measures they can implement on their private property, such as backup power, insulating structures, and removing vegetation near new power lines. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-48 | Goal(s) Addressed | 2 | Prioritization Score | 40/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | 1 to 5 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Severe Weather | | | | |
| Project Status | In Progress | <i>If No Longer Needed, provide reason.</i> | n/a | | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Low | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Office of the Town Manager | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | Low | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time) | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Assess the feasibility of building a microgrid system for the Town Center campus with a potential expansion to other Town critical facilities and infrastructure. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-49 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1, 3, 5 | Prioritization Score | 35/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2021 | Timeline (estimated) | 1 to 5 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Earthquake, Flood, Severe Weather, Wildfire | | | | |
| Project Status | Not Yet Started | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Low | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Public Works Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | High | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP, FEMA PA | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
Town of Portola Valley Annex



| | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Develop, adopt, and implement a Stormwater Master Plan for the Town to identify areas vulnerable to localized flooding, prioritize capital projects to mitigate flooding in those areas, and ensure long-term system performance. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-50 | Goal(s) Addressed | 4, 5 | Prioritization Score | 34/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2026 | Timeline (estimated) | 1 to 3 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Flood, Severe Weather | | | | |
| Project Status | New | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Medium | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Public Works Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | High | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP, FMA | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
Town of Portola Valley Annex



| | | | | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Plan, design, and implement stormwater infrastructure improvements, including, but not limited to, increasing the capacity of storm drainage systems, using detention and retention basins, and restoring streams to effectively reduce flooding and enhance community resilience. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-51 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1, 4 | Prioritization Score | 33/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2026 | Timeline (estimated) | 4 to 5 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Flood, Severe Weather | | | | |
| Project Status | New | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Medium | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Public Works Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | San Mateo County Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency District (OneShoreline) | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | High | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time), FMA, FEMA PA | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |



| | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Establish a GIS-based flood risk database to map flood zones, topographic data, and areas that are at risk of flooding, and develop and sustain a database to track community exposure to flood risk. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-52 | Goal(s) Addressed | 4, 5 | Prioritization Score | 33/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2026 | Timeline (estimated) | 1 to 3 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Flood, Severe Weather | | | | |
| Project Status | New | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Low | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Public Works Department | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | San Mateo County Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency District (OneShoreline) | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | Medium | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP, FMA | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
Town of Portola Valley Annex



| | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------|
| Mitigation Action | Establish a Defensible Space and Home Hardening Matching Fund Program to encourage Portola Valley residents to create and maintain defensible space around their homes and property perimeters, and to take actions to make their homes more fire-resistant by providing a matching fund grant to help offset the cost of this undertaking. | | | | |
| Action Number | PTV-53 | Goal(s) Addressed | 1, 3, 4, 5 | Prioritization Score | 33/40 |
| Year Added to the Plan | 2026 | Timeline (estimated) | 1 to 5 Years | Implementation Priority | High |
| Hazard(s) Mitigated | Wildfire | | | | |
| Project Status | New | If No Longer Needed, provide reason. | | n/a | |
| Benefits (Loss Avoided) | Medium | | | | |
| Lead Agency / Organization | Town of Portola Valley Office of the Town Manager | | | | |
| Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable) | Woodside Fire Protection District | | | | |
| Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable) | n/a | | | | |
| Estimated Cost | High | Potential Funding Source | General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP | | |
| Additional Details (optional) | | | | | |



APPENDIX A. HAZARD MAPS

[Maps are under development...]



APPENDIX B. STAKEHOLDER AND PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT

[Information and supporting documentation will be added after the Public Comment Period concludes.]



APPENDIX C. HAZARD RISK RANKING DETAILS

This appendix provides the details of the hazard ranking results presented in Section 9 of this Annex. For a comprehensive explanation of the risk assessment methodology used for the 2026 LHMP rankings, refer to Chapter 4 in **Volume 1** of this Plan.

C.1. Probability of Occurrence

| Hazard Event | Probability of Occurrence | | Probability Factor | Weighted Factor |
|--|---------------------------|--|--------------------|-----------------|
| Dam Failure | Unlikely | There is little to no probability of a significant occurrence, or the recurrence interval is greater than every 100 years. | 0 | N/A |
| Drought | Medium | A significant hazard event is likely to occur within 25 years. | 2 | N/A |
| Earthquake | Medium | A significant hazard event is likely to occur within 25 years. | 2 | N/A |
| Riverine Flooding (<i>Flood</i>) | Medium | A significant hazard event is likely to occur within 25 years. | 2 | N/A |
| Urban/Flash Flooding (<i>Flood</i>) | High | A significant hazard event is likely to occur annually. | 3 | N/A |
| Coastal Flooding (<i>Flood</i>) | Unlikely | There is little to no probability of a significant occurrence, or the recurrence interval is greater than every 100 years. | 0 | N/A |
| Landslide | Medium | A significant hazard event is likely to occur within 25 years. | 2 | N/A |
| Sea Level Rise | Unlikely | There is little to no probability of a significant occurrence, or the recurrence interval is greater than every 100 years. | 0 | N/A |
| Heavy Rainfall (<i>Severe Weather</i>) | High | A significant hazard event is likely to occur annually. | 3 | N/A |
| Heat Wave/Extreme Heat (<i>Severe Weather</i>) | High | A significant hazard event is likely to occur annually. | 3 | N/A |
| Fog (<i>Severe Weather</i>) | Low | A significant hazard event is likely to occur within 100 years. | 1 | N/A |
| Severe Thunderstorm (<i>Severe Weather</i>) | Medium | A significant hazard event is likely to occur within 25 years. | 2 | N/A |
| Tornado (<i>Severe Weather</i>) | Low | A significant hazard event is likely to occur within 100 years. | 1 | N/A |
| Strong Winds (<i>Severe Weather</i>) | Medium | A significant hazard event is likely to occur within 25 years. | 2 | N/A |
| Tsunami | Unlikely | There is little to no probability of a significant occurrence, or the recurrence interval is greater than every 100 years. | 0 | N/A |



| Hazard Event | Probability of Occurrence | | Probability Factor | Weighted Factor |
|--------------|---------------------------|--|--------------------|-----------------|
| Wildfire | Medium | A significant hazard event is likely to occur within 25 years. | 2 | N/A |

C.2. Extent Factors

| Hazard Event | Extent Factor | Extent | | Extent Factor | Weighted Factor | Score |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|-------|
| Dam Failure | <i>Extent/Severity</i> | Unlikely | Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of little to no intensity. | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | <i>Catastrophic</i> | Unlikely | Virtually no probability that this hazard could be catastrophic. | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Drought | <i>Extent/Severity</i> | Low | Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of a low-intensity incident. | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| | <i>Catastrophic</i> | Low | Low potential that this hazard could be catastrophic. | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Earthquake | <i>Extent/Severity</i> | High | Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of a high-intensity incident. | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| | <i>Catastrophic</i> | High | High potential that this hazard could be catastrophic. | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| Riverine Flooding (Flood) | <i>Extent/Severity</i> | Medium | Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of a medium-intensity incident. | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| | <i>Catastrophic</i> | Medium | Medium potential that this hazard could be catastrophic. | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| Urban/Flash Flooding (Flood) | <i>Extent/Severity</i> | High | Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of a high-intensity incident. | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| | <i>Catastrophic</i> | High | High potential that this hazard could be catastrophic. | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| Coastal Flooding (Flood) | <i>Extent/Severity</i> | Unlikely | Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of little to no intensity. | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | <i>Catastrophic</i> | Unlikely | Virtually no probability that this hazard could be catastrophic. | 0 | 3 | 0 |



| Hazard Event | Extent Factor | Extent | | Extent Factor | Weighted Factor | Score |
|--|------------------------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|-------|
| Landslide | Extent/Severity | Medium | Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of a medium-intensity incident. | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| | Catastrophic | Low | Low potential that this hazard could be catastrophic. | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Sea Level Rise | Extent/Severity | Unlikely | Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of little to no intensity. | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | Catastrophic | Unlikely | Virtually no probability that this hazard could be catastrophic. | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Heavy Rainfall (Severe Weather) | Extent/Severity | Medium | Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of a medium-intensity incident. | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| | Catastrophic | Medium | Medium potential that this hazard could be catastrophic. | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| Heat Wave/Extreme Heat (Severe Weather) | Extent/Severity | Low | Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of a low-intensity incident. | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| | Catastrophic | Low | Low potential that this hazard could be catastrophic. | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Fog (Severe Weather) | Extent/Severity | Low | Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of a low-intensity incident. | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| | Catastrophic | Low | Low potential that this hazard could be catastrophic. | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Severe Thunderstorm (Severe Weather) | Extent/Severity | Medium | Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of a medium-intensity incident. | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| | Catastrophic | Medium | Medium potential that this hazard could be catastrophic. | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| Tornado (Severe Weather) | Extent/Severity | Low | Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of a low-intensity incident. | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| | Catastrophic | Low | Low potential that this hazard could be catastrophic. | 1 | 3 | 3 |



| Hazard Event | Extent Factor | Extent | | Extent Factor | Weighted Factor | Score |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|----------|---|---------------|-----------------|-------|
| Strong Winds (Severe Weather) | Extent/Severity | Medium | Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of a medium-intensity incident. | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| | Catastrophic | Low | Low potential that this hazard could be catastrophic. | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Tsunami | Extent/Severity | Unlikely | Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of little to no intensity. | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | Catastrophic | Unlikely | Virtually no probability that this hazard could be catastrophic. | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Wildfire | Extent/Severity | High | Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of a high-intensity incident. | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| | Catastrophic | High | High potential that this hazard could be catastrophic. | 3 | 3 | 9 |

C.3. Vulnerability Factors

| Hazard Event | Vulnerability Factor | Vulnerability | | Vulnerability Factor | Weighted Factor | Score |
|--------------|-------------------------------|------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------|-------|
| Dam Failure | Population Exposure | No Vulnerability | None of the population is exposed to the hazard. | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | Property Exposure | No Vulnerability | None of the total assessed property value is exposed to a hazard. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Changes in Development | No Vulnerability | Changes in development have had no effect and/or have decreased the community's exposure to the hazard. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Drought | Population Exposure | High | 30% or more of the population is exposed to the hazard. | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| | Property Exposure | Low | 9% or less of the total assessed property value is exposed to a hazard. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Changes in Development | Low | Changes in development have increased the community's exposure to the hazard by 4% or less. | 1 | 1 | 1 |

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
Town of Portola Valley Annex



| Hazard Event | Vulnerability Factor | Vulnerability | | Vulnerability Factor | Weighted Factor | Score |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------|-------|
| Earthquake | Population Exposure | High | 30% or more of the population is exposed to the hazard. | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| | Property Exposure | High | 25% or more of the total assessed property value is exposed to the hazard. | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| | Changes in Development | Medium | Changes in development have increased the community's exposure to the hazard between 5% and 9%. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Riverine Flooding (Flood) | Population Exposure | Low | 14% or less of the population is exposed to the hazard. | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| | Property Exposure | Medium | 10% to 24% of the total assessed property value is exposed to a hazard. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Changes in Development | Low | Changes in development have increased the community's exposure to the hazard by 4% or less. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Urban/Flash Flooding (Flood) | Population Exposure | High | 30% or more of the population is exposed to the hazard. | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| | Property Exposure | High | 25% or more of the total assessed property value is exposed to the hazard. | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| | Changes in Development | Medium | Changes in development have increased the community's exposure to the hazard between 5% and 9%. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Coastal Flooding (Flood) | Population Exposure | No Vulnerability | None of the population is exposed to the hazard. | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | Property Exposure | No Vulnerability | None of the total assessed property value is exposed to a hazard. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Changes in Development | No Vulnerability | Changes in development have had no effect and/or have decreased the community's exposure to the hazard. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Landslide | Population Exposure | Medium | 15% to 29% of the population is exposed to the hazard. | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| | Property Exposure | Medium | 10% to 24% of the total assessed property value is exposed to a hazard. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Changes in Development | Low | Changes in development have increased the community's exposure to the hazard by 4% or less. | 1 | 1 | 1 |

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
Town of Portola Valley Annex



| Hazard Event | Vulnerability Factor | Vulnerability | | Vulnerability Factor | Weighted Factor | Score |
|--|-------------------------------|------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------|-------|
| Sea Level Rise | Population Exposure | No Vulnerability | None of the population is exposed to the hazard. | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | Property Exposure | No Vulnerability | None of the total assessed property value is exposed to a hazard. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Changes in Development | No Vulnerability | Changes in development have had no effect and/or have decreased the community's exposure to the hazard. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Heavy Rainfall (Severe Weather) | Population Exposure | High | 30% or more of the population is exposed to the hazard. | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| | Property Exposure | High | 25% or more of the total assessed property value is exposed to the hazard. | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| | Changes in Development | Low | Changes in development have increased the community's exposure to the hazard by 4% or less. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Heat Wave/Extreme Heat (Severe Weather) | Population Exposure | High | 30% or more of the population is exposed to the hazard. | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| | Property Exposure | No Vulnerability | None of the total assessed property value is exposed to a hazard. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Changes in Development | Low | Changes in development have increased the community's exposure to the hazard by 4% or less. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Fog (Severe Weather) | Population Exposure | High | 30% or more of the population is exposed to the hazard. | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| | Property Exposure | No Vulnerability | None of the total assessed property value is exposed to a hazard. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Changes in Development | No Vulnerability | Changes in development have had no effect and/or have decreased the community's exposure to the hazard. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Severe Thunderstorm (Severe Weather) | Population Exposure | High | 30% or more of the population is exposed to the hazard. | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| | Property Exposure | High | 25% or more of the total assessed property value is exposed to the hazard. | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| | Changes in Development | Low | Changes in development have increased the community's exposure to the hazard by 4% or less. | 1 | 1 | 1 |

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
Town of Portola Valley Annex



| Hazard Event | Vulnerability Factor | Vulnerability | | Vulnerability Factor | Weighted Factor | Score |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|---|----------------------|-----------------|-------|
| Tornado (Severe Weather) | Population Exposure | High | 30% or more of the population is exposed to the hazard. | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| | Property Exposure | High | 25% or more of the total assessed property value is exposed to the hazard. | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| | Changes in Development | Low | Changes in development have increased the community's exposure to the hazard by 4% or less. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Strong Winds (Severe Weather) | Population Exposure | High | 30% or more of the population is exposed to the hazard. | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| | Property Exposure | High | 25% or more of the total assessed property value is exposed to the hazard. | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| | Changes in Development | Low | Changes in development have increased the community's exposure to the hazard by 4% or less. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Tsunami | Population Exposure | No Vulnerability | None of the population is exposed to the hazard. | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | Property Exposure | No Vulnerability | None of the total assessed property value is exposed to a hazard. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Changes in Development | No Vulnerability | Changes in development have had no effect and/or have decreased the community's exposure to the hazard. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Wildfire | Population Exposure | Medium | 15% to 29% of the population is exposed to the hazard. | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| | Property Exposure | Medium | 10% to 24% of the total assessed property value is exposed to a hazard. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Changes in Development | Medium | Changes in development have increased the community's exposure to the hazard between 5% and 9%. | 2 | 1 | 2 |



C.4. Impact Factors

| Hazard Event | Impact Factor | Impact | | Impact Factor | Weighted Factor | Score |
|--------------|--|-----------|---|---------------|-----------------|-------|
| Dam Failure | Population and Life Safety | No Impact | Populations exposed to this hazard are not likely to experience significant adverse impacts. | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | Underserved Population | No Impact | Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are not likely to experience significant adverse/disproportionate impacts. | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure | No Impact | Little to no property, facilities, and infrastructure damage is expected from a single significant event. | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Economic | No Impact | Virtually no significant economic impact. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Environmental | No Impact | No environmental impacts from a significant event are likely. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services | No Impact | No impact on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Future Development | No Impact | Future development trends will not increase the impacts of this hazard, and/or may even decrease it. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Climate Change | No Impact | Climate change trends will not increase the impacts of this hazard. | 0 | 1 | 0 |



| Hazard Event | Impact Factor | Impact | | Impact Factor | Weighted Factor | Score |
|--------------|--|--------|--|---------------|-----------------|-------|
| Drought | Population and Life Safety | Low | Populations exposed to this hazard are likely to experience minimal adverse impacts, such as ambulatory injuries. | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| | Underserved Population | Medium | Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are likely to experience some adverse/disproportionate impacts, such as injuries requiring acute medical care. | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| | Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure | Low | Less than \$500,000 in property, facilities, and infrastructure damages is expected from a single significant event, or damages are expected to occur to less than 5% of the property value within the jurisdiction. | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| | Economic | Medium | Total economic impact is likely to be greater than \$100,000, but less than or equal to \$10 million. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Environmental | Medium | Environmental impact from a single significant event is likely to be localized, requiring some outside resources and support; and/or repair, cleanup, restoration, or preservation work. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services | Medium | Impact lasting between 24 and 72 hours on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Future Development | Medium | Future development trends will increase the impacts of this hazard, but not significantly. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Climate Change | High | Climate Change trends will significantly increase the impacts of this hazard. | 3 | 1 | 3 |



| Hazard Event | Impact Factor | Impact | Impact Factor | Weighted Factor | Score | |
|--------------|--|-----------|--|-----------------|-------|---|
| Earthquake | Population and Life Safety | High | Populations exposed to this hazard are likely to experience significant adverse impacts, such as fatalities and severe injuries. | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| | Underserved Population | High | Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are likely to experience significant adverse/disproportionate impacts, such as fatalities and severe injuries. | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| | Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure | High | More than \$5 million in property, facilities, and infrastructure damage is expected from a single significant event, or damages are expected to occur to 15% or more of the property value within the jurisdiction. | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| | Economic | High | Total economic impact is likely to be greater than \$10 million. | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| | Environmental | High | Environmental impact from a single significant event is likely to be substantial, requiring extensive outside resources and support; and/or repair, cleanup, restoration, and/or preservation work. | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| | Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services | High | Impact lasting more than 72 hours on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event. | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| | Future Development | Medium | Future development trends will increase the impacts of this hazard, but not significantly. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Climate Change | No Impact | Climate change trends will not increase the impacts of this hazard. | 0 | 1 | 0 |



| Hazard Event | Impact Factor | Impact | | Impact Factor | Weighted Factor | Score |
|------------------------------|--|--------|---|---------------|-----------------|-------|
| Riverine Flooding (Flood) | Population and Life Safety | Medium | Populations exposed to this hazard are likely to experience some adverse impacts, such as injuries requiring acute medical care. | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| | Underserved Population | High | Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are likely to experience significant adverse/disproportionate impacts, such as fatalities and severe injuries. | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| | Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure | Medium | More than \$500,000 but less than \$5 million in property, facilities, and infrastructure damage is expected from a single significant event, or damages are expected to occur to more than 5% but less than 15% of the property value within the jurisdiction. | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| | Economic | Medium | Total economic impact is likely to be greater than \$100,000, but less than or equal to \$10 million. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Environmental | Medium | Environmental impact from a single significant event is likely to be localized, requiring some outside resources and support; and/or repair, cleanup, restoration, or preservation work. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services | Low | Impact lasting less than 24 hours on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Future Development | Low | Future development trends will minimally increase the impacts of this hazard. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Climate Change | High | Climate Change trends will significantly increase the impacts of this hazard. | 3 | 1 | 3 |



| Hazard Event | Impact Factor | Impact | | Impact Factor | Weighted Factor | Score |
|------------------------------|--|--------|--|---------------|-----------------|-------|
| Urban/Flash Flooding (Flood) | Population and Life Safety | Medium | Populations exposed to this hazard are likely to experience some adverse impacts, such as injuries requiring acute medical care. | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| | Underserved Population | High | Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are likely to experience significant adverse/disproportionate impacts, such as fatalities and severe injuries. | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| | Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure | High | More than \$5 million in property, facilities, and infrastructure damage is expected from a single significant event, or damages are expected to occur to 15% or more of the property value within the jurisdiction. | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| | Economic | Medium | Total economic impact is likely to be greater than \$100,000, but less than or equal to \$10 million. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Environmental | Medium | Environmental impact from a single significant event is likely to be localized, requiring some outside resources and support; and/or repair, cleanup, restoration, or preservation work. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services | Medium | Impact lasting between 24 and 72 hours on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Future Development | Medium | Future development trends will increase the impacts of this hazard, but not significantly. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Climate Change | High | Climate Change trends will significantly increase the impacts of this hazard. | 3 | 1 | 3 |



| Hazard Event | Impact Factor | Impact | | Impact Factor | Weighted Factor | Score |
|-----------------------------|--|-----------|---|---------------|-----------------|-------|
| Coastal Flooding (Flood) | Population and Life Safety | No Impact | Populations exposed to this hazard are not likely to experience significant adverse impacts. | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | Underserved Population | No Impact | Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are not likely to experience significant adverse/disproportionate impacts. | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure | No Impact | Little to no property, facilities, and infrastructure damage is expected from a single significant event. | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Economic | No Impact | Virtually no significant economic impact. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Environmental | No Impact | No environmental impacts from a significant event are likely. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services | No Impact | No impact on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Future Development | No Impact | Future development trends will not increase the impacts of this hazard, and/or may even decrease it. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Climate Change | No Impact | Climate change trends will not increase the impacts of this hazard. | 0 | 1 | 0 |



| Hazard Event | Impact Factor | Impact | Impact Factor | Weighted Factor | Score | |
|--------------|--|--------|---|-----------------|-------|---|
| Landslide | Population and Life Safety | High | Populations exposed to this hazard are likely to experience significant adverse impacts, such as fatalities and severe injuries. | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| | Underserved Population | High | Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are likely to experience significant adverse/disproportionate impacts, such as fatalities and severe injuries. | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| | Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure | Medium | More than \$500,000 but less than \$5 million in property, facilities, and infrastructure damage is expected from a single significant event, or damages are expected to occur to more than 5% but less than 15% of the property value within the jurisdiction. | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| | Economic | Medium | Total economic impact is likely to be greater than \$100,000, but less than or equal to \$10 million. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Environmental | Medium | Environmental impact from a single significant event is likely to be localized, requiring some outside resources and support; and/or repair, cleanup, restoration, or preservation work. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services | Low | Impact lasting less than 24 hours on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Future Development | Low | Future development trends will minimally increase the impacts of this hazard. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Climate Change | Medium | Climate Change trends will increase the impacts of this hazard, but not significantly. | 2 | 1 | 2 |



| Hazard Event | Impact Factor | Impact | | Impact Factor | Weighted Factor | Score |
|----------------|--|-----------|---|---------------|-----------------|-------|
| Sea Level Rise | Population and Life Safety | No Impact | Populations exposed to this hazard are not likely to experience significant adverse impacts. | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | Underserved Population | No Impact | Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are not likely to experience significant adverse/disproportionate impacts. | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure | No Impact | Little to no property, facilities, and infrastructure damage is expected from a single significant event. | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Economic | No Impact | Virtually no significant economic impact. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Environmental | No Impact | No environmental impacts from a significant event are likely. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services | No Impact | No impact on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Future Development | No Impact | Future development trends will not increase the impacts of this hazard, and/or may even decrease it. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Climate Change | No Impact | Climate change trends will not increase the impacts of this hazard. | 0 | 1 | 0 |



| Hazard Event | Impact Factor | Impact | | Impact Factor | Weighted Factor | Score |
|------------------------------------|--|--------|---|---------------|-----------------|-------|
| Heavy Rainfall (Severe Weather) | Population and Life Safety | Low | Populations exposed to this hazard are likely to experience minimal adverse impacts, such as ambulatory injuries. | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| | Underserved Population | Medium | Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are likely to experience some adverse/disproportionate impacts, such as injuries requiring acute medical care. | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| | Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure | Medium | More than \$500,000 but less than \$5 million in property, facilities, and infrastructure damage is expected from a single significant event, or damages are expected to occur to more than 5% but less than 15% of the property value within the jurisdiction. | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| | Economic | Medium | Total economic impact is likely to be greater than \$100,000, but less than or equal to \$10 million. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Environmental | Medium | Environmental impact from a single significant event is likely to be localized, requiring some outside resources and support; and/or repair, cleanup, restoration, or preservation work. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services | Medium | Impact lasting between 24 and 72 hours on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Future Development | Medium | Future development trends will increase the impacts of this hazard, but not significantly. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Climate Change | Medium | Climate Change trends will increase the impacts of this hazard, but not significantly. | 2 | 1 | 2 |



| Hazard Event | Impact Factor | Impact | | Impact Factor | Weighted Factor | Score |
|---|--|-----------|--|---------------|-----------------|-------|
| Heat Wave/Extreme Heat (Severe Weather) | Population and Life Safety | Low | Populations exposed to this hazard are likely to experience minimal adverse impacts, such as ambulatory injuries. | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| | Underserved Population | Medium | Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are likely to experience some adverse/disproportionate impacts, such as injuries requiring acute medical care. | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| | Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure | No Impact | Little to no property, facilities, and infrastructure damage is expected from a single significant event. | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Economic | Low | Total economic impact is not likely to be greater than \$100,000. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Environmental | Low | Environmental impact from a single significant event is likely to be minimal, requiring little to no outside resources and support; and/or minimal repair, cleanup, restoration, or preservation work. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services | No Impact | No impact on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Future Development | Low | Future development trends will minimally increase the impacts of this hazard. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Climate Change | High | Climate Change trends will significantly increase the impacts of this hazard. | 3 | 1 | 3 |



| Hazard Event | Impact Factor | Impact | | Impact Factor | Weighted Factor | Score |
|----------------------|--|-----------|--|---------------|-----------------|-------|
| Fog (Severe Weather) | Population and Life Safety | Low | Populations exposed to this hazard are likely to experience minimal adverse impacts, such as ambulatory injuries. | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| | Underserved Population | Low | Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are likely to experience minimal adverse/disproportionate impacts, such as ambulatory injuries. | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| | Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure | Low | Less than \$500,000 in property, facilities, and infrastructure damages is expected from a single significant event, or damages are expected to occur to less than 5% of the property value within the jurisdiction. | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| | Economic | Low | Total economic impact is not likely to be greater than \$100,000. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Environmental | Low | Environmental impact from a single significant event is likely to be minimal, requiring little to no outside resources and support; and/or minimal repair, cleanup, restoration, or preservation work. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services | Low | Impact lasting less than 24 hours on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Future Development | No Impact | Future development trends will not increase the impacts of this hazard, and/or may even decrease it. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Climate Change | No Impact | Climate change trends will not increase the impacts of this hazard. | 0 | 1 | 0 |



| Hazard Event | Impact Factor | Impact | | Impact Factor | Weighted Factor | Score |
|---|--|--------|---|---------------|-----------------|-------|
| Severe Thunderstorm (Severe Weather) | Population and Life Safety | Low | Populations exposed to this hazard are likely to experience minimal adverse impacts, such as ambulatory injuries. | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| | Underserved Population | Medium | Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are likely to experience some adverse/disproportionate impacts, such as injuries requiring acute medical care. | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| | Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure | Medium | More than \$500,000 but less than \$5 million in property, facilities, and infrastructure damage is expected from a single significant event, or damages are expected to occur to more than 5% but less than 15% of the property value within the jurisdiction. | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| | Economic | Medium | Total economic impact is likely to be greater than \$100,000, but less than or equal to \$10 million. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Environmental | Medium | Environmental impact from a single significant event is likely to be localized, requiring some outside resources and support; and/or repair, cleanup, restoration, or preservation work. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services | Low | Impact lasting less than 24 hours on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Future Development | Medium | Future development trends will increase the impacts of this hazard, but not significantly. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Climate Change | Low | Climate Change trends will minimally increase the impacts of this hazard. | 1 | 1 | 1 |



| Hazard Event | Impact Factor | Impact | | Impact Factor | Weighted Factor | Score |
|--------------------------|--|--------|--|---------------|-----------------|-------|
| Tornado (Severe Weather) | Population and Life Safety | Low | Populations exposed to this hazard are likely to experience minimal adverse impacts, such as ambulatory injuries. | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| | Underserved Population | Low | Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are likely to experience minimal adverse/disproportionate impacts, such as ambulatory injuries. | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| | Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure | Low | Less than \$500,000 in property, facilities, and infrastructure damages is expected from a single significant event, or damages are expected to occur to less than 5% of the property value within the jurisdiction. | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| | Economic | Medium | Total economic impact is likely to be greater than \$100,000, but less than or equal to \$10 million. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Environmental | Low | Environmental impact from a single significant event is likely to be minimal, requiring little to no outside resources and support; and/or minimal repair, cleanup, restoration, or preservation work. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services | Low | Impact lasting less than 24 hours on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Future Development | Low | Future development trends will minimally increase the impacts of this hazard. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Climate Change | Low | Climate Change trends will minimally increase the impacts of this hazard. | 1 | 1 | 1 |



| Hazard Event | Impact Factor | Impact | | Impact Factor | Weighted Factor | Score |
|----------------------------------|--|--------|---|---------------|-----------------|-------|
| Strong Winds (Severe Weather) | Population and Life Safety | Medium | Populations exposed to this hazard are likely to experience some adverse impacts, such as injuries requiring acute medical care. | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| | Underserved Population | Medium | Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are likely to experience some adverse/disproportionate impacts, such as injuries requiring acute medical care. | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| | Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure | Medium | More than \$500,000 but less than \$5 million in property, facilities, and infrastructure damage is expected from a single significant event, or damages are expected to occur to more than 5% but less than 15% of the property value within the jurisdiction. | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| | Economic | Medium | Total economic impact is likely to be greater than \$100,000, but less than or equal to \$10 million. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Environmental | Low | Environmental impact from a single significant event is likely to be minimal, requiring little to no outside resources and support; and/or minimal repair, cleanup, restoration, or preservation work. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services | Low | Impact lasting less than 24 hours on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Future Development | Low | Future development trends will minimally increase the impacts of this hazard. | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | Climate Change | Low | Climate Change trends will minimally increase the impacts of this hazard. | 1 | 1 | 1 |



| Hazard Event | Impact Factor | Impact | | Impact Factor | Weighted Factor | Score |
|--------------|--|-----------|---|---------------|-----------------|-------|
| Tsunami | Population and Life Safety | No Impact | Populations exposed to this hazard are not likely to experience significant adverse impacts. | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | Underserved Population | No Impact | Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are not likely to experience significant adverse/disproportionate impacts. | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| | Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure | No Impact | Little to no property, facilities, and infrastructure damage is expected from a single significant event. | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Economic | No Impact | Virtually no significant economic impact. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Environmental | No Impact | No environmental impacts from a significant event are likely. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services | No Impact | No impact on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Future Development | No Impact | Future development trends will not increase the impacts of this hazard, and/or may even decrease it. | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| | Climate Change | No Impact | Climate change trends will not increase the impacts of this hazard. | 0 | 1 | 0 |



| Hazard Event | Impact Factor | Impact | Impact Factor | Weighted Factor | Score | |
|--------------|--|--------|--|-----------------|-------|---|
| Wildfire | Population and Life Safety | Medium | Populations exposed to this hazard are likely to experience some adverse impacts, such as injuries requiring acute medical care. | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| | Underserved Population | High | Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are likely to experience significant adverse/disproportionate impacts, such as fatalities and severe injuries. | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| | Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure | High | More than \$5 million in property, facilities, and infrastructure damage is expected from a single significant event, or damages are expected to occur to 15% or more of the property value within the jurisdiction. | 3 | 2 | 6 |
| | Economic | Medium | Total economic impact is likely to be greater than \$100,000, but less than or equal to \$10 million. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Environmental | High | Environmental impact from a single significant event is likely to be substantial, requiring extensive outside resources and support; and/or repair, cleanup, restoration, and/or preservation work. | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| | Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services | High | Impact lasting more than 72 hours on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event. | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| | Future Development | Medium | Future development trends will increase the impacts of this hazard, but not significantly. | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| | Climate Change | High | Climate Change trends will significantly increase the impacts of this hazard. | 3 | 1 | 3 |



APPENDIX D. PLAN ADOPTION

[Placeholder for adoption documentation after State and FEMA approval]