



Local Hazard Mitigation Plan

San Mateo County, California

Town of Hillsborough Annex

2026

DRAFT



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. HAZARD MITIGATION LOCAL PLANNING TEAM	1
2. JURISDICTION PROFILE	1
2.1. Brief History	1
2.2. Governing Body Format	2
2.3. Population	2
3. CHANGES IN DEVELOPMENT	3
3.1. Changes in Priority	4
4. CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT	4
4.1. Planning and Regulatory Capabilities	5
4.2. Administrative and Technical Capabilities	7
4.3. Fiscal Capabilities	8
4.4. Education and Outreach Capabilities	8
4.5. Community Classifications	9
4.6. Needs to Expand/Improve Capabilities	9
5. NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM	10
5.1. NFIP Floodplain Administrator	10
5.2. Repetitive Loss and Severe Repetitive Loss Property	10
5.3. Participation Activities	12
5.3.1. Substantial Damage	12
5.3.2. Substantial Improvement	12
5.3.3. Substantial Damage/Substantial Improvement Determination Process	12
6. HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN INTEGRATION	13
6.1. Existing Plan Integration	13
6.2. Potential Future Integration	14
7. SIGNIFICANT PAST EVENTS	15
8. HAZARD VULNERABILITY AND IMPACT ASSESSMENT	16
8.1. Future Major Assets	20
9. HAZARD RISK RANKING	20
10. MITIGATION ACTIONS	23
APPENDIX A. HAZARD MAPS	60
APPENDIX B. STAKEHOLDER AND PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT	61
APPENDIX C. HAZARD RISK RANKING DETAILS	62
APPENDIX D. PLAN ADOPTION	85



This Annex details the hazard mitigation elements specific to the Town of Hillsborough, a participating jurisdiction of the 2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (LHMP or the Plan) update. This Annex is not intended to be a standalone document but supplements the information contained in **Volume 1 (Countywide Planning Elements)**. Therefore, all sections of **Volume 1**, including the planning process, hazard identification and risk assessment, mitigation strategy (includes mitigation goals and objectives), and plan maintenance, apply to and were met by the Town of Hillsborough. This Annex provides additional information specific to the Town, with a focus on providing further details on the hazard risk assessment and mitigation strategy (i.e., mitigation actions) for this community.

1. HAZARD MITIGATION LOCAL PLANNING TEAM

The following individuals have been identified as the Town of Hillsborough Local Planning Team for the 2026 LHMP. These individuals participated in all aspects of the planning process and developed a risk and vulnerability assessment, capability assessment, and mitigation strategy (including mitigation actions) specific to the jurisdiction.

Name	Title	Department
Mandy Brown	Assistant City Manager	City Manager's Office
Doug Davis	City Manager	City Manager's Office
Paul Willis	Director/City Engineer	Public Works
Tim Anderson	Director	Building and Planning
Liz Ruess	Assistant Director	Building and Planning

2. JURISDICTION PROFILE

The Town of Hillsborough is a residential community in San Mateo County. It lies west of US Highway 101 and El Camino Real and east of Interstate 280, making it a short commute to San Francisco and just minutes from San Francisco International Airport. The Town is bordered on the north and east by the City of Burlingame, to the east and south by the City of San Mateo, and to the west by the Lower Crystal Springs Reservoir and the Santa Cruz Mountains. The Town covers 6.23 square miles and maintains about 250 acres of open space; the local police station has historic significance dating to the early days of the Southern Pacific Railroad.

Hillsborough has a Mediterranean Climate, with the vast majority of precipitation occurring between November and April. On average, Hillsborough receives 17 inches of rain. With coastal mountains to the west of the Town, it is blocked in the winter from much of the rainfall over Half Moon Bay and, in the summer, from virtually all the coastal fog. Hillsborough receives an average of 307 days of sunshine annually, with 52 days of measurable precipitation.

2.1. Brief History

William Davis Merry Howard, son of a wealthy Hillsboro, New Hampshire, shipping magnate, traveled to California seeking the West's fortunes and became a partner in a general merchandising firm in 1845. The following year, he purchased "Rancho San Mateo" from the Mexican governor, Pio Pico. The Rancho



was a tract of land that became the city of San Mateo. They built a home and made San Mateo a successful working ranch. When the gold rush began a few years later, the thousands of prospectors flooding California needed provisions, and only a few outlets were available. In a brief period, Howard and his partner grew wealthier than even the most successful gold seekers.

Mexican Rule ended legally in 1848, and California became a state in 1850. The Howards, the Poetts, and several other families became the leading members of the community. By the late 1860's, parcels of the Howard estate had been sold in pieces large enough to provide ample estate property for the new generation of the founding families. The area also became attractive to many San Francisco businessmen who wanted to live in a relaxed country setting while working in the city.

As San Mateo and Burlingame continued to grow, the need for money to make improvements became acute, and the residents began to show interest in annexing the estate owners' lands. The owners of the estates were not well-disposed toward contributing tax dollars toward the improvement of neighboring city life; nor were they interested in any of the benefits that incorporation would bring (e.g., sidewalks and other amenities), which would detract from the rural atmosphere of their area. Accordingly, in 1910, residents filed incorporation papers with the County Board of Supervisors, and on April 25th of the same year, by popular vote of 60-1, a "perfumed city" (as one San Francisco newspaper put it) was born. Hillsborough was incorporated on May 5, 1910.

Between 1910 and 1938, Hillsborough's population grew from an estimated 750 to over 2,500, but the era of large estates came to a close. Uplands, Home Place, La Dolphine, and other classic estates were gradually subdivided into smaller lots, usually leaving the original house and several acres intact. Hillsborough's zoning laws have varied over the years, but the underlying policies have remained largely the same. The Town has continually worked to preserve the "nature of Hillsborough". In 1953, the Town changed its minimum lot size to one-half acre, a change that remains in effect today.

2.2. Governing Body Format

The Town is a general law city operating under a Council/Manager form of government. Policy-making and legislative authority are vested in the governing City Council, which consists of a Mayor, a Vice Mayor, and three (3) City Council members. City Council members are elected to overlapping four (4) year terms, in even-numbered years. The City Council members select the Mayor and Vice Mayor every year. Furthermore, the City Council is responsible for passing ordinances, adopting the budget, appointing committee and board members, and hiring the City Manager and the City Attorney. The City Manager is responsible for carrying out the policies and ordinances of the City Council, overseeing day-to-day operations, and appointing department heads. The Central County Fire Department provides fire and emergency management services for the Town.

The Town of Hillsborough assumes responsibility for adopting this Plan, and the Central County Fire Department will oversee its implementation.

2.3. Population

In 2024, the Town of Hillsborough had a population of 11,171, a 1.8% decrease from the estimated 2020 population of 11,387. **Table 1** summarizes population distribution between 2010 and 2024, and the



percentage of the 2024 population that is under five (5) years old, over 65 years old, and living below the poverty level.¹

Table 1. Population Trends

Population				Underserved Population		
2010	2020	2024	Population Change (2020 - 2024)	Youth (Under 5 years old)	Elderly (Over 65 years old)	Below Poverty Level
10,825	11,387	11,171	-1.8%	3.3%	20.4%	5.0%

3. CHANGES IN DEVELOPMENT

California Law requires counties and cities to prepare and adopt a General Plan, a comprehensive long-range plan to guide community development. The General Plan must contain seven (7) state-mandated elements – land use, circulation, housing, conservation, open space, noise, and safety – and may contain additional elements as a jurisdiction sees fit. Counties and cities that have identified disadvantaged communities must also address environmental justice in their general plans, including air quality. Additionally, the General Plan must comprise an integrated and internally consistent set of goals, policies, and implementation measures. The Town of Hillsborough adopted its General Plan under this law and has updated it several times over the years, including most recently, when the City Council adopted the 2005 Hillsborough General Plan in March 2005. The Housing Element was updated and adopted in October 2014. The Town of Hillsborough Housing Element, part of the General Plan, requires that the Town plan for 554 additional housing units. A large portion of units (400) are allocated as accessory dwelling units (ADUs), distributed throughout the Town. This new development could increase the Town's total population, thereby increasing the number affected by the identified hazards in this LHMP.

The General Plan also includes changes to land use designation to increase density at both the current Town Hall site and an open space area in the southern portion of the Town. The Town is evaluating the feasibility of adopting reach codes related to fire mitigation and expanding the Chapter 7 provisions of the building code to improve fire resiliency; however, no action has been taken to date.

Table 2 summarizes development trends during the performance period since the previous LHMP was developed (i.e., past five (5) years), as well as expected future development trends (i.e., the next five (5) years).

Table 2. Recent and Expected Development Trends

Criteria	Description
<p>Has your jurisdiction annexed any land since the development of the previous Local Hazard Mitigation Plan? <i>If yes, give the estimated area annexed and the estimated number of parcels or structures.</i></p>	No

¹ United States Census Bureau. (2024). QuickFacts: Town of Hillsborough, California. Retrieved from <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/hillsboroughtowncalifornia/>.



Criteria	Description
Is your jurisdiction expected to annex any areas during the performance period of this Plan?	No
Has your jurisdiction had any significant changes in development over the past five (5) years that have occurred in hazard-prone areas? <i>If yes, briefly describe.</i>	No
Are there any areas targeted for development or major redevelopment in the next five (5) years that will occur in hazard-prone areas? <i>If yes, briefly describe.</i>	The Town's adopted Housing Element includes plans for 100 units in an open space area that is susceptible to wildfire. Although still under planning review, this development could boost the population in a mostly rural area of the Town near high fire hazard severity zones.
Provide the number of permits for each hazard area or provide a qualitative description of where development has occurred.	The Town of Hillsborough General Plan Housing Element requires that the Town plan for 554 additional housing units. A large portion of units (400) are allocated as accessory dwelling units (ADUs), distributed throughout the Town. This new development could increase the Town's total population, increasing the population affected by the relevant hazards identified in this LHMP.

3.1. Changes in Priority

The Town of Hillsborough's overall hazard mitigation priorities have not changed significantly since the last Plan update. However, mitigation actions from the previous Plan were updated, and a more concerted effort to achieve equitable outcomes for all communities, including underserved communities and socially vulnerable populations, has been implemented.

4. CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT

Federal regulations require hazard mitigation plans to identify goals for reducing long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards in the planning area (Section 201.6(c)(3)(i)). A critical step in developing specific hazard mitigation actions and projects is assessing existing authorities, policies, programs, and resources and capabilities, and using or modifying local tools to reduce losses and vulnerability from profiled hazards.

A capability assessment was conducted for the Town of Hillsborough's authorities, policies, programs, and resources. Goals and mitigation actions were developed using input from this assessment. Information regarding the Town's implementation of and continued participation in the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) can be found in Section 5 of this Annex.

The Local Planning Team assessed the Town of Hillsborough's capabilities that can contribute to the reduction of long-term vulnerabilities to hazards. The capabilities include the following categories:

- Planning and Regulatory Capabilities
- Administrative and Technical Capabilities



- Fiscal Capabilities
- Education and Outreach Capabilities

Additionally, ways to expand and improve these existing policies and programs to integrate hazard mitigation into the Town’s day-to-day activities were considered.

4.1. Planning and Regulatory Capabilities

Table 3 includes local ordinances, policies, and laws to manage growth and development (e.g., land use plans, capital improvement plans, transportation plans, emergency preparedness and response plans, building codes, and zoning ordinances).

Table 3. Planning and Regulatory Capabilities

Capability Category	Yes/No	Authority (local, county, state, federal)	Responsible Department/ Agency	Code Citation and Comments (e.g., Code Chapter, name of plan, explanation of authority, etc.)
Planning Capacity				
Comprehensive Plan / General Plan	Yes	State, Local	Planning Division	2005 General Plan (Undergoing Update)
Capital Improvement Plan	Yes	Local	Public Works Department, City Manager's Office	Reviewed and updated annually
Floodplain Management / Basin Plan	Yes	State, Local	Public Works Department	Updated every two (2) to three (3) years
Stormwater Management Plan	Yes	Local	Public Works Department	Reviewed and updated annually
Open Space Plan	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
Stream Corridor Management Plan	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
Watershed Management or Protection Plan	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
Economic Development Plan	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
Emergency Operations Plan	Yes	Local	Police Department	
Evacuation Plan	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
Post-Disaster Recovery Plan	Yes	County	Central County Fire Department	Included in the Emergency Operations Plan
Transportation Plan	Yes	Local	Planning Division	Adopted Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan (2025)



Capability Category	Yes/No	Authority (local, county, state, federal)	Responsible Department/ Agency	Code Citation and Comments (e.g., Code Chapter, name of plan, explanation of authority, etc.)
Strategic Recovery Planning Report	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
Climate Adaptation Plan	Yes	Local	City Council	Climate Action Plan (2010)
Resilience Plan	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
Urban Water Management Plan	Yes	Local	Public Works Department	
Community Wildfire Protection Plan	Yes	County	Central County Fire Department	
Regulatory Capability				
Building Code	Yes	Local	Building Division	Title 15 of the Municipal Code
Zoning Code	Yes	Local	Planning Division	Title 17 of the Municipal Code
Subdivision Code	Yes	Local	Building Division, Planning Division	Title 16 of the Municipal Code
Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance	Yes	Local	Public Works Department	Title 15 of the Municipal Code
Cumulative Substantial Damage Ordinance	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
Freeboard	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
Growth Management Ordinance	Yes	Local	Planning Division	Title 17 of the Municipal Code 2005 General Plan (Undergoing Update)
Site Plan Review	Yes	Local	Building Division, Planning Division	Title 15 of the Municipal Code
Stormwater Management Ordinance	Yes	Local	Public Works Department	Title 13 of the Municipal Code
Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4)	Yes	Local	Public Works	Municipal Code Chapter 13.32
Natural Hazard Ordinance	Yes	Local, County	Central County Fire Department	California Wildland Urban Interface Code (2025) Title 15 of the Municipal Code
Post-Disaster Recovery Ordinance	Yes	County	Central County Fire Department	Included in the Emergency Operations Plan
Real Estate Disclosure Requirement	Yes	State	California Department of Real Estate	Section 1102 of the California Civil Code



4.2. Administrative and Technical Capabilities

The administrative and technical capabilities listed in **Table 4** include community (i.e., public and private) staff, their skills, and tools that can be used for mitigation planning and implementation. This capability includes engineers, planners, emergency managers, Geographic Information System (GIS) analysts, building inspectors, grant writers, and floodplain managers. Small communities may rely on other government entities, such as counties or special districts, for resources.

Table 4. Administration and Technical Capabilities

Capability	Yes/No	Comments <i>(e.g., position, department, agency, explanation)</i>
Administrative Capabilities		
Planning Board	Yes	Architecture and Design Review Board (Planning Division)
Mitigation Planning Committee	No	n/a
Environmental Board/Commission	No	n/a
Open Space Board/Committee	No	n/a
Economic Development Commission/Committee	No	n/a
Maintenance programs to reduce risk	Yes	Administrative Hearing Panel (Planning Division, Building Division)
Mutual Aid Agreements	Yes	Public Works
Technical/Staffing Capabilities		
Planner(s) or engineer(s) with knowledge of land development and land management practices	Yes	Planning Division Public Works Department
Engineer(s) or professional(s) trained in building or infrastructure construction practices	Yes	Public Works Department (Engineering Division)
Planners or engineers with an understanding of natural hazards	Yes	Public Works Department
NFIP Floodplain Administrator	Yes	Public Works Director City Engineer
Surveyor(s)	Yes	Contractors
Personnel skilled or trained in GIS applications	Yes	Public Works Department
A scientist familiar with natural hazards	No	n/a
Warning systems/services	Yes	SMC Alert, in partnership with the San Mateo County Department of Emergency Management
Emergency manager	Yes	Community Risk and Resiliency Specialist/Emergency Manager with Central County Fire Department
Grantwriter(s)	Yes	Public Works Department Central County Fire Department
Staff with expertise or training in benefit cost analysis	Yes	Public Works Department
Professionals trained in conducting damage assessments	Yes	Public Works Department



4.3. Fiscal Capabilities

Table 5 lists fiscal capabilities available to the Town of Hillsborough that may be used to implement mitigation activities to reduce risk and enhance resiliency. This capability includes available funding sources from local budgets, state and federal grants, potential cost-sharing arrangements with private entities, existing insurance policies, and the ability to generate additional revenue through mitigation-related fees and bonds.

Table 5. Financial Capabilities

Capability	Accessible or Eligible to Use
Community Development Block Grants (CDBG, CDBG-DR)	No
Federal Hazard Mitigation Assistance Program <i>(i.e., Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), HMGP Post Fire, Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA) Program)</i>	Yes
Capital improvements project funding	Yes
Authority to levy taxes for specific purposes	Yes
User fees for water, sewer, gas, or electric service	Yes (Water and Sewer)
Impact fees for homebuyers or developers of new development/homes	Yes
Stormwater utility fee	Yes
Incur debt through general obligation bonds	Yes
Incur debt through special tax bonds	Yes
Incur debt through private activity bonds	Yes
Withhold public expenditures in hazard-prone areas	No
Other federal or state funding programs	Yes
Open space acquisition funding programs	No

4.4. Education and Outreach Capabilities

Table 6 lists the Town’s education and public outreach capabilities that can be used to inform residents about potential hazards, educate on mitigation strategies, and encourage proactive actions to reduce the community’s impacts to disasters. These capabilities include fire safety programs, hazard awareness campaigns, public information, and communications offices.

Table 6. Education and Outreach Capabilities

Capability	Yes/No	Comments <i>(e.g., position, department, agency, explanation)</i>
Public Information Officer	Yes	Hillsborough Police Captain Senior Management Analyst
Personnel skilled or trained in website development	No	n/a
Hazard mitigation information is available on the jurisdiction's website	Yes	Under the <i>Current Town Projects</i> section



Capability	Yes/No	Comments <i>(e.g., position, department, agency, explanation)</i>
Utilize social media for hazard mitigation education and outreach	Yes	Through the Neighborhood Network program and the emergency and disaster information webpage.
Citizen boards or commissions that address issues related to hazard mitigation	Yes	Citizens Communication Advisory Committee Neighborhood Network Program Drought Advisory Board Firewise USA Community Group FireSAFE San Mateo County Zonehaven Evacuation Management Platform
Other programs already in place that could be used to communicate hazard-related information	Yes	Neighborhood Network Program Firewise USA Community Group Community Emergency Response Teams
An established warning system for hazard events	Yes	SMC Alert, in partnership with the San Mateo County Department of Emergency Management Zonehaven Evacuation Management Platform

4.5. Community Classifications

The community classification relates to the community’s ability to provide effective services to reduce its vulnerability to the identified hazards. These classifications can be viewed as indicators of the community’s capabilities across all phases of emergency management (i.e., preparedness, response, recovery, and mitigation) and are used as underwriting parameters to determine the costs of various forms of insurance. **Table 7** summarizes the classifications of community programs available to the Town of Hillsborough.

Table 7. Community Classifications

Program	Yes/No	Classification <i>(if applicable)</i>	Date Classified <i>(if applicable)</i>
Community Rating System (CRS)	No	n/a	n/a
Building Code Effectiveness Grading Schedule (BCEGS)	Yes	2	2010
Public Protection (ISO Fire Protection Classes 1 to 10)	Yes	ISO 3	2012
NWS StormReady®	No	n/a	n/a
NWS TsunamiReady®	No	n/a	n/a
Firewise USA®	Yes	n/a	2020

4.6. Needs to Expand/Improve Capabilities

The Town of Hillsborough identified existing authorities, policies, programs, funding, and/or resources that need to be expanded and/or improved to support the implementation of the hazard mitigation initiatives identified in this Plan (e.g., mitigation actions).



- Town codes and ordinances (e.g., building, zoning, land use, fire) should be reviewed based on developing trends in identified hazards and mitigation measures that can make them more effective at preventing losses.
- To support the implementation of priority mitigation actions, the Town will seek to expand its grant writing and management capabilities. This may include hiring dedicated grant professionals or specialized consultants to increase the Town's success rate in competing for state and federal hazard mitigation funding.

5. NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM

The Town of Hillsborough is a member of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) but has chosen not to participate in the NFIP Community Rating System (CRS) Program. The Town is in good standing with the NFIP through adoption and enforcement of floodplain management requirements (e.g., regulating all new and substantially improved construction in Special Hazard Flood Areas), floodplain identification and mapping, and flood insurance outreach to the community. The Town's NFIP participation information is listed in **Table 8**.

Table 8. NFIP Participation Information

Community ID	NFIP Participation Date	Current Effective FIRM Date	CRS Entry Date	CRS Current Effective Date	CRS Class
060320	5/31/1974	4/5/2019	n/a	n/a	n/a

5.1. NFIP Floodplain Administrator

All NFIP participating jurisdictions have a designated Floodplain Administrator who is charged with enforcing floodplain regulations, routinely monitoring the floodplains, and providing community assistance, such as encouraging owners to maintain flood insurance. The Town of Hillsborough Floodplain Administrator information is listed in **Table 9**.

Table 9. Floodplain Administrator

Name	Title	Department	Phone Number
Paul Willis	Director/City Engineer	Public Works Department	(650) 375-7444

5.2. Repetitive Loss and Severe Repetitive Loss Property

FEMA defines a Repetitive Loss property as an NFIP-insured property meeting at least one (1) of the following paid loss criteria since 1978, regardless of any changes in ownership:

- Four (4) or more separate claims payments greater than \$5,000 each (including building and contents payment).
- Two (2) or more separate flood insurance claims payments (building payments only), where the total of the payments is greater than the property's current value.



Additionally, to receive a designation, at least two (2) of the claim payments must occur within 10 years of one another.²

A Severe Repetitive Loss property is defined by FEMA as any NFIP-insured single-family or multi-family residential building meeting at least one (1) of the following paid loss criteria since 1978 or from a building constructed after 1978, regardless of any changes in ownership:³

- That has incurred flood-related damage for which four (4) or more separate claims payments have been made, with the amount of each claim (including building and contents payments) exceeding \$5,000, and with the cumulative amount of such claims payments exceeding \$20,000.
- For which at least two (2) separate claims payments (building payments only) have been made under such coverage, with the cumulative amount of such claims exceeding the market value of the building.

Table 10 summarizes FEMA Repetitive Loss and Severe Repetitive Loss properties within the Town of Hillsborough.

Table 10. Repetitive Loss and Severe Repetitive Loss Properties

Repetitive Loss Properties		Severe Repetitive Loss Properties	
Total	Occupancy	Total	Occupancy
1	1 Single Family Residential Building	0	n/a

Occupancy Type: Single Family = Single family residence • Two (2)-Four (4) Unit Residential Building = Two (2)-four (4) unit residential building • More Than Four (4) Units Residential Building = Residential building with more than four (4) units • Non-Residential Building = Non-residential building • Non-Residential Business = Non-residential business • Single Family Residential Building = Single-family residential building with the exception of a mobile home or a single residential unit within a multi-unit building • Residential (2, 3, or 4 units) Non-Condo Building = Residential non-condo building with two (2), three (3), or four (4) units seeking insurance on all units • Residential (5 or more units) Non-Condo Building = Residential non-condo building with 5 or more units seeking insurance on all units • Residential Mobile/Manufactured Home = Residential mobile/manufactured home • Residential Condo Association = Residential condo association seeking coverage on a building with one (1) or more units • Single Residential Unit = Single residential unit within a multi-unit building • Non-Residential Mobile/manufactured Home = Non-residential mobile/manufactured home • Non-Residential Building = Non-residential building • Non-Residential Unit = Non-residential unit within a multi-unit building

Table 11 summarizes NFIP active policies and coverage in force data for the Town of Hillsborough.

Table 11. NFIP Policies

NFIP Policies	Insurance in Force	Total Claims Paid	Sum of Claims Paid
15	\$4,729,000	5	\$92,668.28

² Federal Emergency Management Agency, National Flood Insurance Program. (2023). A Policyholder’s Guide to Severe Repetitive Loss. Retrieved from https://agents.floodsmart.gov/sites/default/files/fema_nfip-policyholders-guide-severe-repetitive-loss_brochure_07-2023.pdf.

³ Federal Emergency Management Agency, National Flood Insurance Program. (2021). National Flood Insurance Program: Flood Insurance Manual. Retrieved from https://www.fema.gov/sites/default/files/documents/fema_nfip-all-flood-insurance-manual-apr-2021.pdf.



5.3. Participation Activities

The Town of Hillsborough's NFIP participation over the last five (5) years includes the following:

- Community staff provide the following services – permit reviews, GIS, inspections, and engineering capability.
- The community's Floodplain Administrator is a Certified Floodplain Manager (CFM).
- The community teaches property owners or other stakeholders about the importance of flood insurance through public outreach events, workshops, and/or seminars.
- The community enforces local floodplain regulations and monitors compliance.
- The community's floodplain development regulations meet or exceed Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) or State minimum requirements.

5.3.1. Substantial Damage

Substantial damage means damage of any origin sustained by a structure whereby the cost of restoring the structure to its pre-damage condition would equal or exceed 50% of the market value of the structure before the damage occurred. (*Title 15, Chapter 15.44 of the Municipal Code*)

5.3.2. Substantial Improvement

Substantial improvement means any reconstruction, rehabilitation, addition, or other proposed new development of a structure, the cost of which equals or exceeds 50% of the market value of the structure before the "start of construction" of the improvement. This term includes structures that have incurred "substantial damage," regardless of the actual repair work performed. The term does not, however, include either:

- Any project for improvement of a structure to correct existing violations or state or local health, sanitary, or safety code specifications which have been identified by the local code enforcement official and which are the minimum necessary to assure safe living conditions.
- Any alteration of a "historic structure," provided that the alteration will not preclude the structure's continued designation as a "historic structure." (*Title 15, Chapter 15.44 of the Municipal Code*)

5.3.3. Substantial Damage/Substantial Improvement Determination Process

The Town of Hillsborough's Substantial Damage/Substantial Improvement determination process ensures compliance with the NFIP and the local floodplain management ordinances (as outlined earlier in this section). To determine whether a structure has sustained Substantial Damage/Substantial Improvement after a flood event, the Town will use the FEMA Substantial Damage Estimator tool, along with a collaborative review conducted by the Codes Inspectors, Fire Marshal, the Engineering Division floodplain manager, and other relevant officials. However, there are no structures in the Town of Hillsborough affected by floodplain areas, except for a few backyard areas.



6. HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN INTEGRATION

For a community to successfully reduce long-term risk, hazard mitigation must be integrated into day-to-day planning mechanisms and initiatives. Plan integration is the process by which communities critically assess the existing planning framework and align efforts to reduce long-term risks and build a more resilient community. It involves a two (2) way exchange of information and incorporation of ideas and concepts between hazard mitigation plans and other community plans. In particular, plan integration involves incorporating hazard mitigation principles and actions into other plans and integrating planning mechanisms into hazard mitigation plans. Plan integration involves community plans, policies, codes, and programs that guide development and define roles and responsibilities for implementing these capabilities. Additionally, plan integration is achieved through the involvement of key staff and community officials in collaborative hazard mitigation planning.

6.1. Existing Plan Integration

A hazard mitigation plan must explain how the jurisdiction incorporated the previous Plan update over the last five (5) years to demonstrate progress in local mitigation efforts. During the performance period since the adoption of the previous LHMP, the Town of Hillsborough has made progress in integrating components of the hazard mitigation strategy (e.g., goals, objectives, and actions) into planning initiatives and mechanisms. **Table 12** highlights the planning mechanisms/initiatives in which the previous Plan was integrated and the information integrated.

Table 12. Existing Plan Integration

Planning Initiative	Current Integration Description
Retroactive Fire Sprinkler Program	This Program integrates wildfire hazard mitigation into the local requirement (Title 15 of the Municipal Code) to retroactively install fire sprinklers in both commercial and residential occupancies. This ensures that aging building stock has modern life safety standards in the event of a wildfire.
SAFER Smoke Alarm Program	The Central County Fire Department retroactively installs fire smoke alarms in existing dwelling units as needed upon discovery during incident calls. This Program integrates wildfire hazard mitigation and ensures that aging building stock has modern life safety standards in the event of a wildfire.
Earthquake Brace Bolt Grant Program	The LHMP's earthquake assessment supported the Town's participation in the Earthquake Brace Bolt Grant Program to facilitate residential seismic retrofits, specifically bolting the house to its foundation and bracing cripple walls.
General Plan	Hazard data and mitigation priorities from the LHMP informed Safety Element policies related to hazards, climate adaptation, and resiliency strategies. The LHMP served as a crucial tool in shaping policies and actions within the General Plan. The current General Plan is also compliant with AB 2140.
Capital Improvement Plan	Hazard data and mitigation priorities from the LHMP inform capital project prioritization and align mitigation projects with funding opportunities, including FEMA grant programs. Integration occurs through coordinated review with other agencies and special districts, ensuring that mitigation goals are embedded in both long-range planning and day-to-day operations.



Planning Initiative	Current Integration Description
Emergency Operations Plan	The Burlingame/Hillsborough Emergency Operations Plan (EOP) provides the structure and processes that the jurisdictions utilize to respond to and initially recover from an incident and/or event. Hazard mitigation considerations were integrated into the response actions to reduce the community's risk exposure to the hazards outlined in the LHMP. The LHMP is an essential tool for updating the EOP.
Firewise USA Program	The LHMP's wildfire assessment supported the Town's participation in the Firewise USA Program. This Program, led by the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA), offers a structured approach for communities in California to enhance their wildfire resilience. This initiative promotes collaboration among residents, local fire departments, and other key stakeholders to bolster the fire resistance of homes and their surroundings.

6.2. Potential Future Integration

A hazard mitigation plan must explain how the jurisdiction intends to incorporate this Plan update into planning mechanisms over the next five (5) years. The capability assessment presented in Section 4 of this Annex identifies codes, plans, and programs that provide opportunities for integration. **Table 13** outlines planning mechanisms/initiatives that do not currently integrate the goals and recommendations of this Plan but provide opportunities to do so in the future.

Table 13. Potential Future Integration

Planning Initiative	Current Integration Description
Public Outreach	The Town of Hillsborough recognizes that there are currently public information opportunities available to facilitate public engagement regarding hazard mitigation. The Town will look into developing a more robust and targeted program that leverages current capabilities to expand and enhance outreach to local residents, particularly underserved populations (e.g., the elderly, low-income residents, and those with access and functional needs).
General Plan	The General Plan update is underway and is intended to include, as applicable, programs and/or ordinances related to resilience, strategies, climate adaptations, water conservation plan (drought), and stormwater management. Furthermore, the LHMP will be used to identify new information on hazards (vulnerability and impact assessment), climate adaptation, and resiliency strategies.
Emergency Operation Plan	This LHMP will continue to be an essential tool to update the EOP. The latest hazard descriptions in this LHMP will be included, as appropriate. Mitigation actions and climate adaptations that are preparedness and response in nature will be analyzed for applicability and for inclusion in the description of EOP processes and procedures.
Town Code	Mitigation actions and the hazard risk assessment in this LHMP can inform updates and revisions to the City Code (e.g., building, land use, fire, zoning codes). Portions of this Plan will be reviewed to consider any future improvements to the Code, if appropriate. An update to the Zoning Code is proposed to occur in conjunction with the General Plan update and will likely include updates to, and/or the creation of, ordinances related to water conservation, water-efficient landscaping, and/or the prevention of hazards attributed to the built environment.



Planning Initiative	Current Integration Description
Disaster Debris Management Plan	This LHMP will provide a framework for organizing the rapid, safe, and cost-effective separation, removal, collection, recycling, and disposal of disaster-related debris, and for minimizing debris-related threats to public health, safety, and the environment following an event or major disaster.
Community Wildfire Protection Plan	The Community Wildfire Protection Plan (CWPP) identifies fire protection agencies with jurisdiction, volunteer organizations, large landowners, communities, neighborhoods, open spaces, and other environmental resources in the planning area that may be at risk of wildfire hazards. This LHMP can help align wildfire risk data, prioritize high-risk wildfire areas, and identify fuel reduction projects to include in the LHMP. Additionally, the CWPP and LHMP can collaborate to revise building codes, fire regulations, and defensible space ordinances.
Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan	A Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan (CEMP) for the Town has been proposed. The LHMP will be integrated into the CEMP, as appropriate, by providing hazard assessment information (e.g., hazard information, underserved populations, critical infrastructure, high-risk areas) that informs response and recovery operations.
Post-Disaster Recovery Plan	Includes a set of strategies to assist a community in rebuilding after a disaster occurs. This can also include preventive or corrective actions to lessen the impact of recurring disasters, such as severe weather.
Continuity of Operations Plan	The Continuity of Operations Plan (COOP) establishes policy and guidance to ensure that critical functions continue and that personnel and resources are relocated to an alternate facility in the event of an emergency. The LHMP will be integrated to identify where these operations are most vulnerable to the analyzed hazards (e.g., whether backup locations are within a high-risk area, whether structural retrofits are needed for critical facilities).
Capital Improvement Plan	The Capital Improvement Plan should continue to utilize flexibility to incorporate mitigation measures in planned projects and the project evaluation criteria, which includes public health and safety, regulatory compliance, and grant funding requirements.

The Town's Local Planning Team will identify all relevant planning initiatives scheduled for update in the next year and during the annual update process of the LHMP. Additionally, the Local Planning Team will identify opportunities to integrate key elements of the LHMP, specifically relevant strategies, into the planning initiatives. Mitigation actions were identified to promote plan integration in future revisions of this Plan.

7. SIGNIFICANT PAST EVENTS

A complete risk assessment, including past incidents, for each identified hazard of concern, can be found in **Volume 1** of this Plan. A summary of past events is provided under each hazard profile and includes a chronology of events that have affected the County and its municipalities.



8. HAZARD VULNERABILITY AND IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Exposure and vulnerability to certain hazards affect the entire County, and others are geographically defined. Although the entire County may be vulnerable to these hazards, their impacts may vary depending on existing community conditions (e.g., underserved populations or those with access and functional needs may be more susceptible under certain conditions).

The Local Planning Team identified **unique vulnerabilities and impacts** to the following natural hazards, based on the hazards profiled in **Volume 1**.

- Dam Failure
- Flood (*riverine flooding, urban/flash flooding, coastal flooding*)
- Wildfire

It was determined that the planning area did not have unique vulnerabilities or impacts from the following natural hazards; rather, its vulnerabilities and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County.

- Drought
- Earthquake
- Landslide
- Sea Level Rise
- Severe Weather (*heavy rainfall, severe thunderstorms, strong winds, tornadoes, heat wave/extreme heat, fog*)
- Tsunami

Note: Severe weather and flood are profiled as the two (2) hazards. However, to conduct a more thorough risk assessment, the sub-hazards (i.e., heavy rainfall, heat wave/extreme heat, fog, severe thunderstorms, tornadoes, strong winds, riverine flooding, urban/flash flooding, and coastal flooding) were ranked individually. The hazard risk assessment methodology can be found in Chapter 4 of **Volume 1** of this Plan.

Table 14 outlines the **unique vulnerabilities and impacts** for the Town of Hillsborough and addresses only the hazards relevant to the jurisdiction. A complete risk assessment for each identified hazard of concern is in **Volume 1** of this Plan. Hazard mapping can be found in Appendix A of this Annex.



Table 14. Hazard Vulnerability and Impact Assessment

Hazard	Vulnerability and Impacts
Dam Failure	There are two (2) dams located within the Town - Spencer Lake Dam and Crocker Dam. Furthermore, portions of the southeast Town, specifically neighborhoods surrounding San Mateo Creek and Crystal Springs Road, are within the inundation zones of the Lower Crystal Springs and San Andreas dams. If one of these dams were to fail, it could cause flooding throughout the Town's waterways, damaging homes, public facilities, and critical infrastructure, and disrupting evacuation routes.
Drought	The Local Planning Team determined that the Town does not have unique vulnerabilities or impacts from drought; rather, the jurisdiction's vulnerabilities and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County.
Earthquake	The Local Planning Team determined that the Town does not have unique vulnerabilities or impacts from earthquakes; rather, the jurisdiction's vulnerabilities and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County.
Flood (<i>riverine flooding, urban/flash flooding, coastal flooding</i>)	Associated with dam failure, flash flooding can occur along the San Mateo Creek corridor, posing a severe risk to residential structures and critical infrastructure in the southeast section of the Town (northeast and south of Hillsborough Park).
Landslide	The Local Planning Team determined that the Town does not have unique vulnerabilities or impacts from landslides; rather, the jurisdiction's vulnerabilities and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County.
Sea Level Rise	The Local Planning Team determined that the Town does not have unique vulnerabilities or impacts from sea level rise; rather, the jurisdiction's vulnerabilities and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County.
Severe Weather (<i>heavy rainfall, severe thunderstorms, strong winds, tornadoes, heat wave/extreme heat, fog</i>)	The Local Planning Team determined that the Town does not have unique vulnerabilities or impacts from severe weather; rather, the jurisdiction's vulnerabilities and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County.
Tsunami	The Local Planning Team determined that the Town does not have unique vulnerabilities or impacts from tsunamis; rather, the jurisdiction's vulnerabilities and impacts are consistent with those experienced throughout the County.
Wildfire	According to the new Local Responsibility Area (LRA) Fire Hazard Severity Zone (FHSZ) maps, 35% of the Town's parcels are in a High Fire Severity Zone, approximately 394 parcels, including a public school. The affected areas could also affect water tank sites, water supply lines, power supply lines, and major roads connecting residents with exit routes.

The Town evaluated whether vulnerability in hazard-prone areas had increased, decreased, or remained the same for each natural hazard identified in this LHMP. Climate change, changes in population, infrastructure expansion, and economic shifts that can affect vulnerability were considered. For example, if planned development is in an identified hazard area or is not built to the updated building codes, it may increase the community's vulnerability to future hazards and disasters. On the other hand, if development



occurred with mitigation practices in place, the vulnerability may have remained the same or decreased. Additionally, shifting demographics (e.g., underserved population) were taken into consideration.

Table 15 outlines whether climate change has increased or decreased the Town’s vulnerability (i.e., exposure) and impact to each natural hazard over the past five (5) years, and the effect of climate change on the future probability of occurrence and impacts from each natural hazard

Table 15. Climate Change: Current and Future Vulnerability and Impact

Hazard	Vulnerability and Impact
Current Vulnerability and Impact	
Dam Failure	Increased
Drought	Increased
Earthquake	Remained the Same
Flood (<i>riverine flooding, urban/flash flooding, coastal flooding</i>)	Increased
Landslide	Remained the Same
Sea Level Rise	Not Applicable
Severe Weather (<i>heavy rainfall, severe thunderstorms, strong winds, tornadoes, heat wave/extreme heat, fog</i>)	Increased
Tsunami	Not Applicable
Wildfire	Increased
Future Vulnerability and Impact	
Dam Failure	Increase
Drought	Increase
Earthquake	No Change Anticipated
Flood (<i>riverine flooding, urban/flash flooding, coastal flooding</i>)	Increase
Landslide	Increase
Sea Level Rise	Not Applicable
Severe Weather (<i>heavy rainfall, severe thunderstorms, strong winds, tornadoes, heat wave/extreme heat, fog</i>)	Increase
Tsunami	Not Applicable
Wildfire	Increase

Table 16 outlines whether changes in population within the Town over the past five (5) years have increased or decreased the vulnerability (i.e., exposure) and impact to these natural hazards, and the anticipated effects changes in population may have on the future probability of occurrence and impacts from these natural hazards.



Table 16. Changes in Population: Current and Future Vulnerability and Impact

Hazard	Vulnerability and Impact
Current Vulnerability and Impact	
Dam Failure	Remained the Same
Drought	Remained the Same
Earthquake	Remained the Same
Flood (<i>riverine flooding, urban/flash flooding, coastal flooding</i>)	Remained the Same
Landslide	Remained the Same
Sea Level Rise	Not Applicable
Severe Weather (<i>heavy rainfall, severe thunderstorms, strong winds, tornadoes, heat wave/extreme heat, fog</i>)	Remained the Same
Tsunami	Not Applicable
Wildfire	Remained the Same
Future Vulnerability and Impact	
Dam Failure	No Change Anticipated
Drought	No Change Anticipated
Earthquake	No Change Anticipated
Flood (<i>riverine flooding, urban/flash flooding, coastal flooding</i>)	No Change Anticipated
Landslide	No Change Anticipated
Sea Level Rise	Not Applicable
Severe Weather (<i>heavy rainfall, severe thunderstorms, strong winds, tornadoes, heat wave/extreme heat, fog</i>)	No Change Anticipated
Tsunami	Not Applicable
Wildfire	No Change Anticipated

Table 17 outlines whether development over the past five (5) years has increased or decreased the Town’s vulnerability (i.e., exposure) and impact to these natural hazards, and the anticipated effects changes in development may have on the future probability of occurrence and impacts from these natural hazards.

Table 17. Changes in Development: Current and Future Vulnerability and Impact

Hazard	Vulnerability and Impact
Current Vulnerability and Impact	
Dam Failure	Remained the Same
Drought	Remained the Same
Earthquake	Remained the Same
Flood (<i>riverine flooding, urban/flash flooding, coastal flooding</i>)	Remained the Same



Hazard	Vulnerability and Impact
Landslide	Remained the Same
Sea Level Rise	Not Applicable
Severe Weather (<i>heavy rainfall, severe thunderstorms, strong winds, tornadoes, heat wave/extreme heat, fog</i>)	Remained the Same
Tsunami	Not Applicable
Wildfire	Remained the Same
Future Vulnerability and Impact	
Dam Failure	No Change Anticipated
Drought	No Change Anticipated
Earthquake	No Change Anticipated
Flood (<i>riverine flooding, urban/flash flooding, coastal flooding</i>)	No Change Anticipated
Landslide	No Change Anticipated
Sea Level Rise	Not Applicable
Severe Weather (<i>heavy rainfall, severe thunderstorms, strong winds, tornadoes, heat wave/extreme heat, fog</i>)	No Change Anticipated
Tsunami	Not Applicable
Wildfire	No Change Anticipated

8.1. Future Major Assets

Community assets should include anything that is important to a community's character and function. Assets include people (i.e., underserved population); structures (i.e., new and existing buildings); community lifelines and other critical facilities; natural, historic, and cultural resources; and the economy and other activities that have value to the community. Although all assets may be affected by the hazards identified in this LHMP, the jurisdiction has identified future major assets that may be more vulnerable and impacted by these hazards.

- The Town is in the planning process of a new Town Hall and Police facility. Although several of the hazards analyzed in this LHMP could affect these critical facilities, the Town intends to prioritize all-hazard resilience and structural redundancy in the design to ensure the continuity of essential government operations and emergency response following a major event.

9. HAZARD RISK RANKING

Table 18 presents the local hazard ranking for the Town of Hillsborough of all hazards of concern listed in **Volume 1** of this Plan. This ranking summarizes how hazards vary for this jurisdiction. As thoroughly described in **Volume 1** of this Plan, 14 factors were evaluated to provide an informed and comprehensive analysis and ranking of the hazards included in this LHMP.

- **Probability** (likelihood of annual occurrence)



- **Extent** of the hazard, including catastrophic potential
- **Vulnerability** (i.e., exposure) of the population, property (including critical infrastructure), and changes in the development (over the past five (5) years)
- **Impacts** on population and life safety, underserved population, property (including critical infrastructure), the economy, the environment, continuity of operations/delivery of services, future development, and climate change

The scores for extent, vulnerability, and impact were weighted and combined to produce a consequence score. This consequence score was then multiplied by the probability score to calculate the total risk score for each hazard. At the fundamental level, the consequence is an assessment of the potential impact(s) if the hazards incident were to occur. In this assessment, the consequence score (i.e., the consequence of an event) will be independent of the extent, vulnerability, and impacts. The probability of the hazards is not included in assessing the consequence because, without an event, there is no consequence or impact. For further details on how the probability, extent, vulnerability, and impact factors in **Table 19** were calculated, please refer to Chapter 4 in **Volume 1** of this Plan. Details of the hazard ranking results are provided in Appendix C of this Annex.

It is important to note that the sub-hazards for severe weather (i.e., heavy rainfall, severe thunderstorms, strong winds, tornadoes, heat wave/extreme heat, and fog) and flood (i.e., riverine flooding, urban/flash flooding, coastal flooding) were individually ranked in the hazard risk ranking; however, severe weather and flood are each considered as the main hazard throughout this Annex and **Volume 1**.



Table 18. Town of Hillsborough Hazard Risk Ranking

Hazard Event	Probability Factor	Sum of Weighted Extent Factors	Sum of Weighted Vulnerability Factors	Sum of Weighted Impact Factors	Consequence Score	Total Risk Score*
Urban/Flash Flooding (Flood)	3	18	14	32	64	89
Earthquake	2	18	14	35	67	62
Strong Winds (Severe Weather)	3	9	13	22	44	61
Heavy Rainfall (Severe Weather)	3	12	13	19	44	61
Wildfire	2	18	14	34	66	61
Heat Wave/Extreme Heat (Severe Weather)	3	9	10	15	34	47
Landslide	2	9	9	27	45	42
Severe Thunderstorm (Severe Weather)	2	12	13	18	43	40
Riverine Flooding (Flood)	2	6	5	25	36	33
Drought	2	6	11	17	34	31
Dam Failure	1	12	9	24	45	21
Tornado (Severe Weather)	1	6	13	13	32	15
Fog (Severe Weather)	1	6	9	11	26	12
Sea Level Rise	0	0	0	0	0	0
Coastal Flooding (Flood)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tsunami	0	0	0	0	0	0

Extent: Sum of the weighted Extent factors.
Vulnerability: Sum of the weighted Vulnerability factors.
Impact: Sum of the weighted Impact factors.

Consequence Score: Extent + Vulnerability + Impact (Sum of all weighted factors).
Total Risk Score = Probability x Consequence
* Normalized to 100

Total Risk Score Legend

Classification	Probability	Extent	Vulnerability	Impact	Consequence Score	Total Risk Score
Low (L)	1	0 – 6	0 – 4	0 – 12	0 – 24	0 – 32
Medium (M)	2	7 – 12	5 – 10	13 – 26	25 – 48	33 – 66
High (H)	3	13 – 18	11 – 15	27 – 39	49 – 72	67 – 100

The **legend**—specifically the assignment of low, medium, and high—provides an additional means to qualitatively assess the probability factor, sum of weighted factors, and the total risk scores for each hazard. The **Consequence Score** represents the sum of the Extent, Vulnerability, and Impact Factors. The **Total Risk Score** is a measure of Probability and Consequence.



10. MITIGATION ACTIONS

This section includes the mitigation actions developed to address the risks and vulnerabilities to the hazards identified in this Plan. This Plan serves only to recommend mitigation measures based on the potential for risk reduction and available funding. Implementation of mitigation actions is dependent on risk reduction priorities, feasibility, and available funding. It is also dependent on the cooperation and support of the jurisdiction and/or department responsible for each action item. Additionally, all mitigation actions identified in the 2021 update or before were updated accordingly. Any new mitigation actions are listed as *New* (under Project Status).

The Town of Hillsborough agreed to **30** mitigation actions that apply to the jurisdiction’s properties for which it has jurisdictional responsibility and authority. A summary of the Town’s mitigation actions status is listed in **Table 19**.

Note: The mitigation actions outlined in this Plan are designed only to address those natural hazards that received a risk ranking of *medium* or *high* during the hazard risk assessment (**Table 18**). Hazards that ranked *low* (dam failure, drought, sea level rise, and tsunami) may not have specific mitigation actions detailed in this document.

Table 19. Town of Hillsborough Mitigation Actions Summary

Status	Mitigation Action Total		
Continuing	0		
In Progress	23		
Not Yet Started	6		
New	1		
TOTAL	30		
Completed	0		
No Longer Needed	6		
Mitigation Actions per Hazard			
Dam Failure	7	Sea Level Rise	15
Drought	12	Severe Weather <i>(heavy rainfall, severe thunderstorms, strong winds, tornadoes, heat wave/extreme heat, fog)</i>	24
Earthquake	9	Tsunami	8
Flood <i>(riverine flooding, urban/flash flooding, coastal flooding)</i>	21	Wildfire	13
Landslide	9		

A detailed explanation of the Mitigation Strategy can be found in Chapter 5 of **Volume 1**.



Mitigation Action	Where appropriate, support retrofitting, purchasing, or relocating structures located in high-hazard areas, prioritizing those that have experienced repetitive losses and/or are in high- or medium-risk hazard areas.				
Action Number	HLS-1	Goal(s) Addressed	1, 4	Prioritization Score	27/40
Year Added to the Plan	2021	Timeline (estimated)	1 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	Medium
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Dam Failure, Earthquake, Flood, Landslide, Sea Level Rise, Severe Weather, Tsunami, Wildfire				
Project Status	In Progress	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.		n/a	
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	Medium				
Lead Agency / Organization	Town of Hillsborough Public Works Department				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	Medium	Potential Funding Source	HMGP, FMA		
Additional Details (optional)					

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
 Town of Hillsborough Annex



Mitigation Action	Integrate the San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan into other Town plans, ordinances, and programs that govern land use decisions in the community, including, but not limited to, the General Plan (and its elements, as appropriate) and the design review commission.				
Action Number	HSL-2	Goal(s) Addressed	1, 5	Prioritization Score	31/40
Year Added to the Plan	2021	Timeline (estimated)	4 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	High
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Dam Failure, Drought, Earthquake, Flood, Landslide, Sea Level Rise, Severe Weather, Tsunami, Wildfire				
Project Status	In Progress	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.		n/a	
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	Low				
Lead Agency / Organization	City of Hillsborough Building and Planning Department				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	Low	Potential Funding Source	General Fund (Staff Time)		
Additional Details (optional)					



Mitigation Action	Actively participate in the Hazard Mitigation Plan maintenance protocols outlined in Volume 1 of the San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan.				
Action Number	HLS-3	Goal(s) Addressed	1, 2, 4, 5	Prioritization Score	31/40
Year Added to the Plan	2021	Timeline (estimated)	1 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	High
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Dam Failure, Drought, Earthquake, Flood, Landslide, Sea Level Rise, Severe Weather, Tsunami, Wildfire				
Project Status	In Progress	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.		n/a	
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	Low				
Lead Agency / Organization	Town of Hillsborough Public Works Department				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	San Mateo County Department of Emergency Management				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	Low	Potential Funding Source	General Fund (Staff Time)		
Additional Details (optional)					



Mitigation Action	Continue to keep good standing and compliance with the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) by implementing floodplain management programs that, at a minimum, meet NFIP requirements. These include, but are not limited to, enforcing the Town's flood damage prevention ordinance, participating in floodplain identification and mapping updates, and providing public assistance/information on floodplain requirements and impacts.				
Action Number	HLS-4	Goal(s) Addressed	1, 3, 5	Prioritization Score	31/40
Year Added to the Plan	2021	Timeline (estimated)	1 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	High
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Flood, Severe Weather				
Project Status	In Progress	<i>If No Longer Needed, provide reason.</i>	n/a		
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	Low				
Lead Agency / Organization	Town of Hillsborough Public Works Department				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	Low	Potential Funding Source	General Fund (Staff Time)		
Additional Details (optional)					



Mitigation Action	Identify and institutionalize climate adaptation strategies by codifying resilience standards into existing Town plans and procedures to reduce vulnerability and impacts of specific climate-driven hazards. This may include, but is not limited to, continuing the partnership with Peninsula Clean Energy and complying with Senate Bill 1383 requirements to reduce organic waste disposal.				
Action Number	HLS-5	Goal(s) Addressed	3, 5	Prioritization Score	31/40
Year Added to the Plan	2021	Timeline (estimated)	1 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	High
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Flood, Sea Level Rise, Severe Weather, Wildfire				
Project Status	In Progress	<i>If No Longer Needed, provide reason.</i>	n/a		
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	Low				
Lead Agency / Organization	Town of Hillsborough City Manager's Office				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	Low	Potential Funding Source	General Fund (Staff Time)		
Additional Details (optional)					

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
Town of Hillsborough Annex



Mitigation Action	Upsize critical facilities and infrastructure with permanent redundant power systems to prevent service disruptions during prolonged grid failures due to natural hazards. Facilities include, but are not limited to, the Town of Hillsborough Public Works Department (SCADA location), Police Department, Fire Stations, and Town Hall.				
Action Number	HLS-6	Goal(s) Addressed	1	Prioritization Score	36/40
Year Added to the Plan	2021	Timeline (estimated)	1 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	High
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Dam Failure, Earthquake, Flood, Severe Weather, Tsunami, Wildfire				
Project Status	In Progress	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.		n/a	
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	High				
Lead Agency / Organization	Town of Hillsborough Public Works Department				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	High	Potential Funding Source	General Fund (Staff Time), EMPG, HMGP		
Additional Details (optional)					



Mitigation Action	Optimize the open space fire fuels management program to harden structures within the Fire Hazard Severity Zones (FHSZ) and enhance community wildfire resilience.				
Action Number	HLS-7	Goal(s) Addressed	1, 2, 5	Prioritization Score	30/40
Year Added to the Plan	2016	Timeline (estimated)	1 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	High
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Wildfire				
Project Status	In Progress	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.		n/a	
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	Medium				
Lead Agency / Organization	Central County Fire Department				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	Low	Potential Funding Source	General Fund (Staff Time)		
Additional Details (optional)	Fire services in the Town of Hillsborough are provided by the Central County Fire Department (CCFD).				



Mitigation Action	Improve the Town's Stormwater Improvement Plan to incorporate current climate data and prioritize infrastructure projects that increase drainage capacity and community-wide flood mitigation.				
Action Number	HLS-8	Goal(s) Addressed	1, 5	Prioritization Score	30/40
Year Added to the Plan	2016	Timeline (estimated)	4 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	High
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Flood, Sea Level Rise, Severe Weather				
Project Status	Not Yet Started	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.		n/a	
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	Medium				
Lead Agency / Organization	Town of Hillsborough Public Works Department				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	Low	Potential Funding Source	General Funds (Staff Time)		
Additional Details (optional)					



Mitigation Action	Retrofit the Town's historic buildings, including the old fire and police station, originally constructed of wood and stucco.				
Action Number	HLS-9	Goal(s) Addressed	1, 2, 5	Prioritization Score	22/40
Year Added to the Plan	2021	Timeline (estimated)	4 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	Medium
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Earthquake, Severe Weather				
Project Status	In Progress	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.		n/a	
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	High				
Lead Agency / Organization	Town of Hillsborough City Manager's Office				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	High	Potential Funding Source	General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP		
Additional Details (optional)					



Mitigation Action	Systemize the implementation of the Water Conservation Plan by conducting public outreach and education across the community to enhance the community's ability to adapt to climate-related water shortages.				
Action Number	HLS-10	Goal(s) Addressed	1, 5	Prioritization Score	31/40
Year Added to the Plan	2016	Timeline (estimated)	1 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	High
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Drought, Flood, Severe Weather				
Project Status	Not Yet Started	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.		n/a	
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	Medium				
Lead Agency / Organization	Town of Hillsborough Public Works Department				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	Low	Potential Funding Source	General Fund (Staff Time)		
Additional Details (optional)					



Mitigation Action	Develop and implement an Urban Forest Maintenance and Management Plan.				
Action Number	HLS-11	Goal(s) Addressed	1, 5	Prioritization Score	24/40
Year Added to the Plan	2016	Timeline (estimated)	4 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	Medium
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Drought, Severe Weather				
Project Status	In Progress	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.		n/a	
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	Low				
Lead Agency / Organization	Town of Hillsborough Public Works Department				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	Medium	Potential Funding Source	General Fund (Staff Time)		
Additional Details (optional)	The Town completed a windshield tree-maintenance survey, identified numerous potential tree hazards in the right-of-way, and is notifying property owners. Additionally, the Town conducted an inventory of all Town-owned trees on Town property and has implemented a priority-based maintenance plan/schedule for them.				



Mitigation Action	Maximize and implement interoperable first-responder communications by integrating redundancy to reduce disruption during emergencies and disasters.				
Action Number	HLS-12	Goal(s) Addressed	1, 2, 3, 5	Prioritization Score	29/40
Year Added to the Plan	2016	Timeline (estimated)	1 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	Medium
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Dam Failure, Drought, Earthquake, Flood, Landslide, Sea Level Rise, Severe Weather, Tsunami, Wildfire				
Project Status	In Progress	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.		n/a	
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	Medium				
Lead Agency / Organization	Town of Hillsborough Police Department, Central County Fire Department				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	Low	Potential Funding Source	General Fund (Staff Time)		
Additional Details (optional)	Fire services in the Town of Hillsborough are provided by the Central County Fire Department (CCFD).				



Mitigation Action	Enhance the Town's public outreach and education through the Community Emergency Response Team (CERT) program and encourage resident participation.				
Action Number	HLS-13	Goal(s) Addressed	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	Prioritization Score	28/40
Year Added to the Plan	2016	Timeline (estimated)	1 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	Medium
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Dam Failure, Drought, Earthquake, Flood, Landslide, Sea Level Rise, Severe Weather, Tsunami, Wildfire				
Project Status	In Progress	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.		n/a	
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	Medium				
Lead Agency / Organization	Central County Fire Department				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	Low	Potential Funding Source	General Fund (Staff Time)		
Additional Details (optional)	Fire services in the Town of Hillsborough are provided by the Central County Fire Department (CCFD).				



Mitigation Action	Modernize the Town's GIS storage and accessibility to ensure access to critical hazard layers.				
Action Number	HLS-14	Goal(s) Addressed	1, 2, 3	Prioritization Score	26/40
Year Added to the Plan	2016	Timeline (estimated)	4 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	Medium
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Dam Failure, Drought, Earthquake, Flood, Landslide, Sea Level Rise, Severe Weather, Tsunami, Wildfire				
Project Status	In Progress	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.		n/a	
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	Medium				
Lead Agency / Organization	Town of Hillsborough Public Works Department				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	Medium	Potential Funding Source	General Fund (Staff Time)		
Additional Details (optional)					



Mitigation Action	Integrate the updated Hazard Mitigation Plan into plans, ordinances, and codes.				
Action Number	HLS-15	Goal(s) Addressed	n/a	Prioritization Score	n/a
Year Added to the Plan	2021	Timeline (estimated)	n/a	Implementation Priority	n/a
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Dam Failure, Drought, Earthquake, Flood, Landslide, Sea Level Rise, Severe Weather, Tsunami, Wildfire				
Project Status	No Longer Needed	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.		This mitigation action is a duplicate of HSL-2.	
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	n/a				
Lead Agency / Organization	n/a				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	n/a	Potential Funding Source	n/a		
Additional Details (optional)					



Mitigation Action	Sustain and enhance the safety of the Wildland Urban Interface (WUI) through ongoing enhancements and implementation of fire-resilience standards to reduce the community's vulnerability to wildfires.				
Action Number	HLS-16	Goal(s) Addressed	1, 5	Prioritization Score	28/40
Year Added to the Plan	2016	Timeline (estimated)	1 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	Medium
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Severe Weather, Wildfire				
Project Status	In Progress	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.		n/a	
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	Medium				
Lead Agency / Organization	Central County Fire Department				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	Low	Potential Funding Source	General Fund (Staff Time)		
Additional Details (optional)	Fire services in the Town of Hillsborough are provided by the Central County Fire Department (CCFD).				



Mitigation Action	Harden and stabilize the City's roads by expanding storm-drainage conveyance and implementing slope-stabilization measures to mitigate flood-related erosion and landslides.				
Action Number	HLS-17	Goal(s) Addressed	1, 2, 5	Prioritization Score	27/40
Year Added to the Plan	2016	Timeline (estimated)	1 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	Medium
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Earthquake, Flood, Landslide, Severe Weather				
Project Status	In Progress	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.		n/a	
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	Medium				
Lead Agency / Organization	Town of Hillsborough Public Works Department				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	High	Potential Funding Source	General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP		
Additional Details (optional)					



Mitigation Action	Develop and execute a Water Supply Improvement Plan to reduce system vulnerabilities.				
Action Number	HLS-18	Goal(s) Addressed	1, 5	Prioritization Score	26/40
Year Added to the Plan	2016	Timeline (estimated)	4 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	Medium
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Drought, Wildfire				
Project Status	In Progress	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.		n/a	
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	Medium				
Lead Agency / Organization	Town of Hillsborough Public Works Department				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	High	Potential Funding Source	General Fund (Staff Time)		
Additional Details (optional)					



Mitigation Action	Upsize the fire hydrant distribution system to ensure it can adequately operate during major wildfire events, particularly if a wildfire occurs during drought conditions.				
Action Number	HLS-19	Goal(s) Addressed	1, 5	Prioritization Score	23/40
Year Added to the Plan	2016	Timeline (estimated)	4 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	Medium
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Drought, Wildfire				
Project Status	Not Yet Started	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.		n/a	
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	Medium				
Lead Agency / Organization	Town of Hillsborough Public Works Department				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	Central County Fire Department				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	High	Potential Funding Source		General Fund (Staff Time)	
Additional Details (optional)					



Mitigation Action	Where appropriate, support retrofitting, purchase, or relocation of structures in hazard-prone areas to prevent future structure damage. Give priority to properties with a history of repetitive losses.				
Action Number	HLS-20	Goal(s) Addressed	n/a	Prioritization Score	n/a
Year Added to the Plan	2016	Timeline (estimated)	n/a	Implementation Priority	n/a
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Dam Failure, Earthquake, Flood, Landslide, Sea Level Rise, Severe Weather, Tsunami, Wildfire				
Project Status	No Longer Needed	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.	This mitigation action is a duplicate of HSL-1.		
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	n/a				
Lead Agency / Organization	n/a				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	n/a	Potential Funding Source	n/a		
Additional Details (optional)					

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
Town of Hillsborough Annex



Mitigation Action	Leverage community mitigation frameworks such as FireWise, Tree City, StormReady, and the National Flood Insurance Program Community Rating System (CRS) to optimize emergency notification systems and incentivize hazard reduction on private property.				
Action Number	HLS-21	Goal(s) Addressed	1, 3, 5	Prioritization Score	17/40
Year Added to the Plan	2016	Timeline (estimated)	4 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	Low
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Dam Failure, Drought, Flood, Landslide, Sea Level Rise, Severe Weather, Tsunami, Wildfire				
Project Status	In Progress	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.		n/a	
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	Low				
Lead Agency / Organization	Town of Hillsborough Public Works Department, Central County Fire Department				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	Low	Potential Funding Source		General Fund (Staff Time)	
Additional Details (optional)	Fire services in the Town of Hillsborough are provided by the Central County Fire Department (CCFD).				



Mitigation Action	Maintain good standing under the National Flood Insurance Program by implementing programs that meet or exceed the minimum NFIP requirements. Such programs include enforcing an adopted flood damage prevention ordinance, participating in floodplain mapping updates, and providing public assistance and information on floodplain requirements and impacts.				
Action Number	HLS-22	Goal(s) Addressed	n/a	Prioritization Score	n/a
Year Added to the Plan	2016	Timeline (estimated)	n/a	Implementation Priority	n/a
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Flood				
Project Status	No Longer Needed	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.	This mitigation action is a duplicate of HSL-4.		
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	n/a				
Lead Agency / Organization	n/a				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	n/a	Potential Funding Source	n/a		
Additional Details (optional)					



Mitigation Action	Integrate the Hazard Mitigation Plan into other plans, programs, or resources that dictate land use or redevelopment.				
Action Number	HLS-23	Goal(s) Addressed	n/a	Prioritization Score	n/a
Year Added to the Plan	2016	Timeline (estimated)	n/a	Implementation Priority	n/a
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Dam Failure, Drought, Earthquake, Flood, Landslide, Sea Level Rise, Severe Weather, Tsunami, Wildfire				
Project Status	No Longer Needed	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.	This mitigation action is a duplicate of HSL-2.		
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	n/a				
Lead Agency / Organization	n/a				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	n/a	Potential Funding Source	n/a		
Additional Details (optional)					



Mitigation Action	Provide incentives for eligible non-profits and private entities, including homeowners, to adapt to risks through structural and nonstructural retrofitting.				
Action Number	HLS-24	Goal(s) Addressed	1, 5	Prioritization Score	24/40
Year Added to the Plan	2016	Timeline (estimated)	4 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	Medium
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Earthquake, Flood, Landslide, Severe Weather				
Project Status	Not Yet Started	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.		n/a	
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	High				
Lead Agency / Organization	Town of Hillsborough Building and Planning Department				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	Low	Potential Funding Source	General Fund (Staff Time)		
Additional Details (optional)					



Mitigation Action	Support the Countywide initiatives identified in Volume I of the Hazard Mitigation Plan.				
Action Number	HLS-25	Goal(s) Addressed	n/a	Prioritization Score	n/a
Year Added to the Plan	2016	Timeline (estimated)	n/a	Implementation Priority	n/a
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Dam Failure, Drought, Earthquake, Flood, Landslide, Sea Level Rise, Severe Weather, Tsunami, Wildfire				
Project Status	No Longer Needed	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.	This mitigation action is a duplicate of HSL-3.		
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	n/a				
Lead Agency / Organization	n/a				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	n/a	Potential Funding Source	n/a		
Additional Details (optional)					



Mitigation Action	Ongoing support of LHMP maintenance program.				
Action Number	HLS-26	Goal(s) Addressed	n/a	Prioritization Score	n/a
Year Added to the Plan	2016	Timeline (estimated)	n/a	Implementation Priority	n/a
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Dam Failure, Drought, Earthquake, Flood, Landslide, Sea Level Rise, Severe Weather, Tsunami, Wildfire				
Project Status	No Longer Needed	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.	This mitigation action is a duplicate of HSL-3.		
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	n/a				
Lead Agency / Organization	n/a				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	n/a	Potential Funding Source	n/a		
Additional Details (optional)					

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
Town of Hillsborough Annex



Mitigation Action	Develop and implement a program for all Emergency Operations Center (EOC) activations to capture perishable data after significant incidents (e.g., high water marks, preliminary damage estimates, damage photos) in a database to support future mitigation efforts, including the implementation and enhancements of hazard mitigation, climate action, and other plans.				
Action Number	HLS-27	Goal(s) Addressed	1, 2, 3, 5	Prioritization Score	17/40
Year Added to the Plan	2021	Timeline (estimated)	1 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	Low
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Flood, Sea Level Rise, Severe Weather, Tsunami				
Project Status	Not Yet Started	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.		n/a	
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	Low				
Lead Agency / Organization	Town of Hillsborough Public Works Department, San Mateo County Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency District (OneShoreline)				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	Medium	Potential Funding Source	General Fund (Staff Time), OneShoreline funds		
Additional Details (optional)					

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
Town of Hillsborough Annex



Mitigation Action	Implement flood hazard analysis (e.g., the 100-year tide and sea level rise projections) into all local shoreline ordinances and land use planning, as appropriate. This ensures that new development and infrastructure account for climate-driven extreme weather events.				
Action Number	HLS-28	Goal(s) Addressed	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	Prioritization Score	17/40
Year Added to the Plan	2021	Timeline (estimated)	1 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	Low
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Flood, Sea Level Rise, Severe Weather				
Project Status	Not Yet Started	<i>If No Longer Needed, provide reason.</i>	n/a		
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	Low				
Lead Agency / Organization	Town of Hillsborough Public Works Department, San Mateo County Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency District (OneShoreline)				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	Low	Potential Funding Source	General Fund (Staff Time), Private Developer funds, Town Capital Project funds		
Additional Details (optional)					



Mitigation Action	Harden and modernize aging critical municipal utility systems, equipment, and critical facilities (e.g., pump stations, tide gates, culverts) to ensure operational continuity during extreme weather events.				
Action Number	HLS-29	Goal(s) Addressed	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	Prioritization Score	22/40
Year Added to the Plan	2021	Timeline (estimated)	1 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	Medium
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Flood, Sea Level Rise, Severe Weather				
Project Status	In Progress	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.		n/a	
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	Medium				
Lead Agency / Organization	Town of Hillsborough Public Works Department, San Mateo County Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency District (OneShoreline)				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	Medium	Potential Funding Source	General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP, FMA, Tax-Funded Flood Zones		
Additional Details (optional)					



Mitigation Action	Support green infrastructure projects within the Town that enhance resilience to natural disasters and, where feasible, incorporate green design elements into mitigation projects.				
Action Number	HLS-30	Goal(s) Addressed	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	Prioritization Score	24/40
Year Added to the Plan	2021	Timeline (estimated)	1 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	Medium
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Drought, Flood, Landslide, Sea Level Rise, Severe Weather				
Project Status	In Progress	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.		n/a	
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	Medium				
Lead Agency / Organization	Town of Hillsborough Public Works Department				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	City/County Association of Governments of San Mateo County				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	Medium	Potential Funding Source	Tax-Funded Flood Zones, Property/Vehicle Fees, Stormwater Fees, Caltrans grants, California Department of Water Resources grants, EPA grants, Town Capital Project funds		
Additional Details (optional)					



Mitigation Action	Upsize stormwater drainage to alleviate repeated localized flooding, especially storm drain systems connected to the San Mateo County Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency District channels and infrastructure.				
Action Number	HLS-31	Goal(s) Addressed	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	Prioritization Score	23/40
Year Added to the Plan	2021	Timeline (estimated)	1 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	Medium
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Flood, Sea Level Rise, Severe Weather				
Project Status	In Progress	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.		n/a	
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	High				
Lead Agency / Organization	Town of Hillsborough Public Works Department, San Mateo County Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency District (OneShoreline)				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	Medium	Potential Funding Source	Tax-Funded Flood Zones, Property/Vehicle Fees, Stormwater Fees, Caltrans grants, California Department of Water Resources grants, EPA grants, Town Capital Project funds		
Additional Details (optional)					

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
Town of Hillsborough Annex



Mitigation Action	Plan, design, and implement long-term resilience initiatives to sea level rise, severe weather, and coastal erosion for culverts, roadways, and bridges in the vicinity of other flood protection projects, including assets identified in the Caltrans District 4 Adaptation Priorities Report.				
Action Number	HLS-32	Goal(s) Addressed	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	Prioritization Score	16/40
Year Added to the Plan	2021	Timeline (estimated)	1 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	Low
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Flood, Sea Level Rise, Severe Weather				
Project Status	In Progress	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.		n/a	
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	High				
Lead Agency / Organization	Town of Hillsborough Public Works Department, San Mateo County Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency District (OneShoreline)				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	Medium	Potential Funding Source	Tax-Funded Flood Zones, Property/Vehicle Fees, Stormwater Fees, Caltrans grants, California Department of Water Resources grants, EPA grants, Town Capital Project funds		
Additional Details (optional)					



Mitigation Action	Identify and pursue strategies to enhance the planning and implementation of recycled water infrastructure in the vicinity of San Mateo County Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency District projects within the Town of Hillsborough.				
Action Number	HLS-33	Goal(s) Addressed	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	Prioritization Score	16/40
Year Added to the Plan	2021	Timeline (estimated)	1 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	Low
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Drought				
Project Status	In Progress	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.		n/a	
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	High				
Lead Agency / Organization	Town of Hillsborough Public Works Department, San Mateo County Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency District (OneShoreline)				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	Medium	Potential Funding Source	CA Resilience Challenge funds, California Department of Water Resources funds, California Proposition 68 Grant, HMGP		
Additional Details (optional)					



Mitigation Action	Optimize community flood response by upgrading and expanding the Countywide flood early warning system and conducting public outreach and engagement on flood preparedness.				
Action Number	HLS-34	Goal(s) Addressed	1, 2, 3, 4, 5	Prioritization Score	16/40
Year Added to the Plan	2021	Timeline (estimated)	1 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	Low
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Flood, Sea Level Rise, Severe Weather				
Project Status	In Progress	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.		n/a	
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	High				
Lead Agency / Organization	Town of Hillsborough Public Works Department				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	San Mateo County Department of Emergency Management, San Mateo County Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency District (OneShoreline)				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	Medium	Potential Funding Source	General Fund (Staff Time), California Department of Water Resources funds, HMGP		
Additional Details (optional)					

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
Town of Hillsborough Annex



Mitigation Action	Improve the long-term resilience of the towns of Hillsborough and Portola Valley to severe weather, and enhance environmental, recreational, and community connectivity where possible. This may include, but is not limited to, regional stormwater capture projects that also benefit downstream, flood-prone communities.				
Action Number	HLS-35	Goal(s) Addressed	1, 2, 5	Prioritization Score	16/40
Year Added to the Plan	2021	Timeline (estimated)	1 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	Low
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Drought, Flood, Severe Weather				
Project Status	In Progress	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.		n/a	
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	High				
Lead Agency / Organization	Town of Hillsborough Public Works Department, San Mateo County Flood and Sea Level Rise Resiliency District (OneShoreline)				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	n/a				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	Town of Portola Valley				
Estimated Cost	Medium	Potential Funding Source	Tax-Funded Flood Zones, Property/Vehicle Fees, Stormwater Fees, Caltrans grants, California Department of Water Resources grants, EPA grants, Town Capital Project funds		
Additional Details (optional)					



Mitigation Action	Enforce (as applicable, require or encourage) fire-resistant construction techniques.				
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Encouraging the use of non-combustible materials (i.e., stone, brick, and stucco) for new construction in wildfire hazard areas. • Using fire-resistant roofing and building materials in remodels, upgrades, and new construction. • Enclosing the foundations of homes and other buildings in wildfire-prone areas, rather than leaving them open and potentially exposing undersides to blown embers or other materials. • Prohibiting wooden shingles/wood shake roofs on any new development in areas prone to wildfires. • Encouraging the use of functional shutters on windows. 				
Action Number	HLS-36	Goal(s) Addressed	1, 3, 5	Prioritization Score	28/40
Year Added to the Plan	2026	Timeline (estimated)	1 to 5 Years	Implementation Priority	Medium
Hazard(s) Mitigated	Wildfire				
Project Status	New	If No Longer Needed, provide reason.		n/a	
Benefits (Loss Avoided)	Medium				
Lead Agency / Organization	Town of Hillsborough Building and Planning Department				
Supporting Agency / Organization (If applicable)	Central County Fire Department				
Additional Participating Jurisdictions (If Applicable)	n/a				
Estimated Cost	Medium	Potential Funding Source	General Fund (Staff Time), HMGP, FEMA PA, FMAG		
Additional Details (optional)					



APPENDIX A. HAZARD MAPS

[Maps are under development...]



APPENDIX B. STAKEHOLDER AND PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT

[Information and supporting documentation will be added after the Public Comment Period concludes.]



APPENDIX C. HAZARD RISK RANKING DETAILS

This appendix provides the details of the hazard ranking results presented in Section 9 of this Annex. For a comprehensive explanation of the risk assessment methodology used for the 2026 LHMP rankings, refer to Chapter 4 in **Volume 1** of this Plan.

C.1. Probability of Occurrence

Hazard Event	Probability of Occurrence		Probability Factor	Weighted Factor
Dam Failure	Low	A significant hazard event is likely to occur within 100 years.	1	N/A
Drought	Medium	A significant hazard event is likely to occur within 25 years.	2	N/A
Earthquake	Medium	A significant hazard event is likely to occur within 25 years.	2	N/A
Riverine Flooding (<i>Flood</i>)	Medium	A significant hazard event is likely to occur within 25 years.	2	N/A
Urban/Flash Flooding (<i>Flood</i>)	High	A significant hazard event is likely to occur annually.	3	N/A
Coastal Flooding (<i>Flood</i>)	Unlikely	There is little to no probability of a significant occurrence, or the recurrence interval is greater than every 100 years.	0	N/A
Landslide	Medium	A significant hazard event is likely to occur within 25 years.	2	N/A
Sea Level Rise	Unlikely	There is little to no probability of a significant occurrence, or the recurrence interval is greater than every 100 years.	0	N/A
Heavy Rainfall (<i>Severe Weather</i>)	High	A significant hazard event is likely to occur annually.	3	N/A
Heat Wave/Extreme Heat (<i>Severe Weather</i>)	High	A significant hazard event is likely to occur annually.	3	N/A
Fog (<i>Severe Weather</i>)	Low	A significant hazard event is likely to occur within 100 years.	1	N/A
Severe Thunderstorm (<i>Severe Weather</i>)	Medium	A significant hazard event is likely to occur within 25 years.	2	N/A
Tornado (<i>Severe Weather</i>)	Low	A significant hazard event is likely to occur within 100 years.	1	N/A
Strong Winds (<i>Severe Weather</i>)	High	A significant hazard event is likely to occur annually.	3	N/A
Tsunami	Unlikely	There is little to no probability of a significant occurrence, or the recurrence interval is greater than every 100 years.	0	N/A



Hazard Event	Probability of Occurrence		Probability Factor	Weighted Factor
Wildfire	Medium	A significant hazard event is likely to occur within 25 years.	2	N/A

C.2. Extent Factors

Hazard Event	Extent Factor	Extent		Extent Factor	Weighted Factor	Score
Dam Failure	<i>Extent/Severity</i>	Medium	Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of a medium-intensity incident.	2	3	6
	<i>Catastrophic</i>	Medium	Medium potential that this hazard could be catastrophic.	2	3	6
Drought	<i>Extent/Severity</i>	Low	Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of a low-intensity incident.	1	3	3
	<i>Catastrophic</i>	Low	Low potential that this hazard could be catastrophic.	1	3	3
Earthquake	<i>Extent/Severity</i>	High	Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of a high-intensity incident.	3	3	9
	<i>Catastrophic</i>	High	High potential that this hazard could be catastrophic.	3	3	9
Riverine Flooding (Flood)	<i>Extent/Severity</i>	Low	Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of a low-intensity incident.	1	3	3
	<i>Catastrophic</i>	Low	Low potential that this hazard could be catastrophic.	1	3	3
Urban/Flash Flooding (Flood)	<i>Extent/Severity</i>	High	Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of a high-intensity incident.	3	3	9
	<i>Catastrophic</i>	High	High potential that this hazard could be catastrophic.	3	3	9
Coastal Flooding (Flood)	<i>Extent/Severity</i>	Unlikely	Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of little to no intensity.	0	3	0
	<i>Catastrophic</i>	Unlikely	Virtually no probability that this hazard could be catastrophic.	0	3	0



Hazard Event	Extent Factor	Extent		Extent Factor	Weighted Factor	Score
Landslide	Extent/Severity	Medium	Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of a medium-intensity incident.	2	3	6
	Catastrophic	Low	Low potential that this hazard could be catastrophic.	1	3	3
Sea Level Rise	Extent/Severity	Unlikely	Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of little to no intensity.	0	3	0
	Catastrophic	Unlikely	Virtually no probability that this hazard could be catastrophic.	0	3	0
Heavy Rainfall (Severe Weather)	Extent/Severity	Medium	Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of a medium-intensity incident.	2	3	6
	Catastrophic	Medium	Medium potential that this hazard could be catastrophic.	2	3	6
Heat Wave/Extreme Heat (Severe Weather)	Extent/Severity	Medium	Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of a medium-intensity incident.	2	3	6
	Catastrophic	Low	Low potential that this hazard could be catastrophic.	1	3	3
Fog (Severe Weather)	Extent/Severity	Low	Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of a low-intensity incident.	1	3	3
	Catastrophic	Low	Low potential that this hazard could be catastrophic.	1	3	3
Severe Thunderstorm (Severe Weather)	Extent/Severity	Medium	Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of a medium-intensity incident.	2	3	6
	Catastrophic	Medium	Medium potential that this hazard could be catastrophic.	2	3	6
Tornado (Severe Weather)	Extent/Severity	Low	Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of a low-intensity incident.	1	3	3
	Catastrophic	Low	Low potential that this hazard could be catastrophic.	1	3	3



Hazard Event	Extent Factor	Extent		Extent Factor	Weighted Factor	Score
Strong Winds (Severe Weather)	Extent/Severity	Medium	Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of a medium-intensity incident.	2	3	6
	Catastrophic	Low	Low potential that this hazard could be catastrophic.	1	3	3
Tsunami	Extent/Severity	Unlikely	Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of little to no intensity.	0	3	0
	Catastrophic	Unlikely	Virtually no probability that this hazard could be catastrophic.	0	3	0
Wildfire	Extent/Severity	High	Historical and/or probabilistic models/studies for this hazard indicate the possibility of a high-intensity incident.	3	3	9
	Catastrophic	High	High potential that this hazard could be catastrophic.	3	3	9

C.3. Vulnerability Factors

Hazard Event	Vulnerability Factor	Vulnerability		Vulnerability Factor	Weighted Factor	Score
Dam Failure	Population Exposure	Medium	15% to 29% of the population is exposed to the hazard.	2	3	6
	Property Exposure	Medium	10% to 24% of the total assessed property value is exposed to a hazard.	2	1	2
	Changes in Development	Low	Changes in development have increased the community's exposure to the hazard by 4% or less.	1	1	1
Drought	Population Exposure	High	30% or more of the population is exposed to the hazard.	3	3	9
	Property Exposure	Low	9% or less of the total assessed property value is exposed to a hazard.	1	1	1
	Changes in Development	Low	Changes in development have increased the community's exposure to the hazard by 4% or less.	1	1	1



Hazard Event	Vulnerability Factor	Vulnerability		Vulnerability Factor	Weighted Factor	Score
Earthquake	Population Exposure	High	30% or more of the population is exposed to the hazard.	3	3	9
	Property Exposure	High	25% or more of the total assessed property value is exposed to the hazard.	3	1	3
	Changes in Development	Medium	Changes in development have increased the community's exposure to the hazard between 5% and 9%.	2	1	2
Riverine Flooding (Flood)	Population Exposure	Low	14% or less of the population is exposed to the hazard.	1	3	3
	Property Exposure	Low	9% or less of the total assessed property value is exposed to a hazard.	1	1	1
	Changes in Development	Low	Changes in development have increased the community's exposure to the hazard by 4% or less.	1	1	1
Urban/Flash Flooding (Flood)	Population Exposure	High	30% or more of the population is exposed to the hazard.	3	3	9
	Property Exposure	High	25% or more of the total assessed property value is exposed to the hazard.	3	1	3
	Changes in Development	Medium	Changes in development have increased the community's exposure to the hazard between 5% and 9%.	2	1	2
Coastal Flooding (Flood)	Population Exposure	No Vulnerability	None of the population is exposed to the hazard.	0	3	0
	Property Exposure	No Vulnerability	None of the total assessed property value is exposed to a hazard.	0	1	0
	Changes in Development	No Vulnerability	Changes in development have had no effect and/or have decreased the community's exposure to the hazard.	0	1	0
Landslide	Population Exposure	Medium	15% to 29% of the population is exposed to the hazard.	2	3	6
	Property Exposure	Medium	10% to 24% of the total assessed property value is exposed to a hazard.	2	1	2
	Changes in Development	Low	Changes in development have increased the community's exposure to the hazard by 4% or less.	1	1	1

2026 San Mateo County Local Hazard Mitigation Plan (DRAFT)
Town of Hillsborough Annex



Hazard Event	Vulnerability Factor	Vulnerability		Vulnerability Factor	Weighted Factor	Score
Sea Level Rise	Population Exposure	No Vulnerability	None of the population is exposed to the hazard.	0	3	0
	Property Exposure	No Vulnerability	None of the total assessed property value is exposed to a hazard.	0	1	0
	Changes in Development	No Vulnerability	Changes in development have had no effect and/or have decreased the community's exposure to the hazard.	0	1	0
Heavy Rainfall (Severe Weather)	Population Exposure	High	30% or more of the population is exposed to the hazard.	3	3	9
	Property Exposure	High	25% or more of the total assessed property value is exposed to the hazard.	3	1	3
	Changes in Development	Low	Changes in development have increased the community's exposure to the hazard by 4% or less.	1	1	1
Heat Wave/Extreme Heat (Severe Weather)	Population Exposure	High	30% or more of the population is exposed to the hazard.	3	3	9
	Property Exposure	No Vulnerability	None of the total assessed property value is exposed to a hazard.	0	1	0
	Changes in Development	Low	Changes in development have increased the community's exposure to the hazard by 4% or less.	1	1	1
Fog (Severe Weather)	Population Exposure	High	30% or more of the population is exposed to the hazard.	3	3	9
	Property Exposure	No Vulnerability	None of the total assessed property value is exposed to a hazard.	0	1	0
	Changes in Development	No Vulnerability	Changes in development have had no effect and/or have decreased the community's exposure to the hazard.	0	1	0
Severe Thunderstorm (Severe Weather)	Population Exposure	High	30% or more of the population is exposed to the hazard.	3	3	9
	Property Exposure	High	25% or more of the total assessed property value is exposed to the hazard.	3	1	3
	Changes in Development	Low	Changes in development have increased the community's exposure to the hazard by 4% or less.	1	1	1



Hazard Event	Vulnerability Factor	Vulnerability		Vulnerability Factor	Weighted Factor	Score
Tornado (Severe Weather)	Population Exposure	High	30% or more of the population is exposed to the hazard.	3	3	9
	Property Exposure	High	25% or more of the total assessed property value is exposed to the hazard.	3	1	3
	Changes in Development	Low	Changes in development have increased the community's exposure to the hazard by 4% or less.	1	1	1
Strong Winds (Severe Weather)	Population Exposure	High	30% or more of the population is exposed to the hazard.	3	3	9
	Property Exposure	High	25% or more of the total assessed property value is exposed to the hazard.	3	1	3
	Changes in Development	Low	Changes in development have increased the community's exposure to the hazard by 4% or less.	1	1	1
Tsunami	Population Exposure	No Vulnerability	None of the population is exposed to the hazard.	0	3	0
	Property Exposure	No Vulnerability	None of the total assessed property value is exposed to a hazard.	0	1	0
	Changes in Development	No Vulnerability	Changes in development have had no effect and/or have decreased the community's exposure to the hazard.	0	1	0
Wildfire	Population Exposure	High	30% or more of the population is exposed to the hazard.	3	3	9
	Property Exposure	High	25% or more of the total assessed property value is exposed to the hazard.	3	1	3
	Changes in Development	Medium	Changes in development have increased the community's exposure to the hazard between 5% and 9%.	2	1	2



C.4. Impact Factors

Hazard Event	Impact Factor	Impact		Impact Factor	Weighted Factor	Score
Dam Failure	<i>Population and Life Safety</i>	Medium	Populations exposed to this hazard are likely to experience some adverse impacts, such as injuries requiring acute medical care.	2	3	6
	<i>Underserved Population</i>	Medium	Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are likely to experience some adverse/disproportionate impacts, such as injuries requiring acute medical care.	2	3	6
	<i>Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure</i>	Medium	More than \$500,000 but less than \$5 million in property, facilities, and infrastructure damage is expected from a single significant event, or damages are expected to occur to more than 5% but less than 15% of the property value within the jurisdiction.	2	2	4
	<i>Economic</i>	Medium	Total economic impact is likely to be greater than \$100,000, but less than or equal to \$10 million.	2	1	2
	<i>Environmental</i>	High	Environmental impact from a single significant event is likely to be substantial, requiring extensive outside resources and support; and/or repair, cleanup, restoration, and/or preservation work.	3	1	3
	<i>Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services</i>	Medium	Impact lasting between 24 and 72 hours on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event.	2	1	2
	<i>Future Development</i>	Low	Future development trends will minimally increase the impacts of this hazard.	1	1	1
	<i>Climate Change</i>	No Impact	Climate change trends will not increase the impacts of this hazard.	0	1	0



Hazard Event	Impact Factor	Impact		Impact Factor	Weighted Factor	Score
Drought	Population and Life Safety	Low	Populations exposed to this hazard are likely to experience minimal adverse impacts, such as ambulatory injuries.	1	3	3
	Underserved Population	Low	Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are likely to experience minimal adverse/disproportionate impacts, such as ambulatory injuries.	2	3	6
	Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure	Low	Less than \$500,000 in property, facilities, and infrastructure damages is expected from a single significant event, or damages are expected to occur to less than 5% of the property value within the jurisdiction.	1	2	2
	Economic	Low	Total economic impact is not likely to be greater than \$100,000.	1	1	1
	Environmental	Medium	Environmental impact from a single significant event is likely to be localized, requiring some outside resources and support; and/or repair, cleanup, restoration, or preservation work.	2	1	2
	Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services	Medium	Impact lasting between 24 and 72 hours on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event.	2	1	2
	Future Development	Low	Future development trends will minimally increase the impacts of this hazard.	1	1	1
	Climate Change	High	Climate Change trends will significantly increase the impacts of this hazard.	3	1	3



Hazard Event	Impact Factor	Impact		Impact Factor	Weighted Factor	Score
Earthquake	Population and Life Safety	High	Populations exposed to this hazard are likely to experience significant adverse impacts, such as fatalities and severe injuries.	3	3	9
	Underserved Population	High	Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are likely to experience significant adverse/disproportionate impacts, such as fatalities and severe injuries.	3	3	9
	Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure	High	More than \$5 million in property, facilities, and infrastructure damage is expected from a single significant event, or damages are expected to occur to 15% or more of the property value within the jurisdiction.	3	2	6
	Economic	High	Total economic impact is likely to be greater than \$10 million.	3	1	3
	Environmental	High	Environmental impact from a single significant event is likely to be substantial, requiring extensive outside resources and support; and/or repair, cleanup, restoration, and/or preservation work.	3	1	3
	Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services	High	Impact lasting more than 72 hours on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event.	3	1	3
	Future Development	Medium	Future development trends will increase the impacts of this hazard, but not significantly.	2	1	2
	Climate Change	No Impact	Climate change trends will not increase the impacts of this hazard.	0	1	0



Hazard Event	Impact Factor	Impact		Impact Factor	Weighted Factor	Score
Riverine Flooding (Flood)	Population and Life Safety	Medium	Populations exposed to this hazard are likely to experience some adverse impacts, such as injuries requiring acute medical care.	2	3	6
	Underserved Population	Medium	Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are likely to experience some adverse/disproportionate impacts, such as injuries requiring acute medical care.	2	3	6
	Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure	Medium	More than \$500,000 but less than \$5 million in property, facilities, and infrastructure damage is expected from a single significant event, or damages are expected to occur to more than 5% but less than 15% of the property value within the jurisdiction.	2	2	4
	Economic	Medium	Total economic impact is likely to be greater than \$100,000, but less than or equal to \$10 million.	2	1	2
	Environmental	Medium	Environmental impact from a single significant event is likely to be localized, requiring some outside resources and support; and/or repair, cleanup, restoration, or preservation work.	2	1	2
	Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services	Low	Impact lasting less than 24 hours on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event.	1	1	1
	Future Development	Low	Future development trends will minimally increase the impacts of this hazard.	1	1	1
	Climate Change	High	Climate Change trends will significantly increase the impacts of this hazard.	3	1	3



Hazard Event	Impact Factor	Impact		Impact Factor	Weighted Factor	Score
Urban/Flash Flooding (Flood)	Population and Life Safety	Medium	Populations exposed to this hazard are likely to experience some adverse impacts, such as injuries requiring acute medical care.	2	3	6
	Underserved Population	High	Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are likely to experience significant adverse/disproportionate impacts, such as fatalities and severe injuries.	3	3	9
	Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure	High	More than \$5 million in property, facilities, and infrastructure damage is expected from a single significant event, or damages are expected to occur to 15% or more of the property value within the jurisdiction.	3	2	6
	Economic	Medium	Total economic impact is likely to be greater than \$100,000, but less than or equal to \$10 million.	2	1	2
	Environmental	Medium	Environmental impact from a single significant event is likely to be localized, requiring some outside resources and support; and/or repair, cleanup, restoration, or preservation work.	2	1	2
	Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services	Medium	Impact lasting between 24 and 72 hours on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event.	2	1	2
	Future Development	Medium	Future development trends will increase the impacts of this hazard, but not significantly.	2	1	2
	Climate Change	High	Climate Change trends will significantly increase the impacts of this hazard.	3	1	3



Hazard Event	Impact Factor	Impact		Impact Factor	Weighted Factor	Score
Coastal Flooding (Flood)	Population and Life Safety	No Impact	Populations exposed to this hazard are not likely to experience significant adverse impacts.	0	3	0
	Underserved Population	No Impact	Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are not likely to experience significant adverse/disproportionate impacts.	0	3	0
	Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure	No Impact	Little to no property, facilities, and infrastructure damage is expected from a single significant event.	0	2	0
	Economic	No Impact	Virtually no significant economic impact.	0	1	0
	Environmental	No Impact	No environmental impacts from a significant event are likely.	0	1	0
	Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services	No Impact	No impact on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event.	0	1	0
	Future Development	No Impact	Future development trends will not increase the impacts of this hazard, and/or may even decrease it.	0	1	0
	Climate Change	No Impact	Climate change trends will not increase the impacts of this hazard.	0	1	0



Hazard Event	Impact Factor	Impact		Impact Factor	Weighted Factor	Score
Landslide	Population and Life Safety	High	Populations exposed to this hazard are likely to experience significant adverse impacts, such as fatalities and severe injuries.	3	3	9
	Underserved Population	Medium	Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are likely to experience some adverse/disproportionate impacts, such as injuries requiring acute medical care.	2	3	6
	Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure	Medium	More than \$500,000 but less than \$5 million in property, facilities, and infrastructure damage is expected from a single significant event, or damages are expected to occur to more than 5% but less than 15% of the property value within the jurisdiction.	2	2	4
	Economic	Medium	Total economic impact is likely to be greater than \$100,000, but less than or equal to \$10 million.	2	1	2
	Environmental	Medium	Environmental impact from a single significant event is likely to be localized, requiring some outside resources and support; and/or repair, cleanup, restoration, or preservation work.	2	1	2
	Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services	Low	Impact lasting less than 24 hours on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event.	1	1	1
	Future Development	Low	Future development trends will minimally increase the impacts of this hazard.	1	1	1
	Climate Change	Medium	Climate Change trends will increase the impacts of this hazard, but not significantly.	2	1	2



Hazard Event	Impact Factor	Impact		Impact Factor	Weighted Factor	Score
Sea Level Rise	Population and Life Safety	No Impact	Populations exposed to this hazard are not likely to experience significant adverse impacts.	0	3	0
	Underserved Population	No Impact	Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are not likely to experience significant adverse/disproportionate impacts.	0	3	0
	Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure	No Impact	Little to no property, facilities, and infrastructure damage is expected from a single significant event.	0	2	0
	Economic	No Impact	Virtually no significant economic impact.	0	1	0
	Environmental	No Impact	No environmental impacts from a significant event are likely.	0	1	0
	Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services	No Impact	No impact on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event.	0	1	0
	Future Development	No Impact	Future development trends will not increase the impacts of this hazard, and/or may even decrease it.	0	1	0
	Climate Change	No Impact	Climate change trends will not increase the impacts of this hazard.	0	1	0



Hazard Event	Impact Factor	Impact		Impact Factor	Weighted Factor	Score
Heavy Rainfall (Severe Weather)	Population and Life Safety	Low	Populations exposed to this hazard are likely to experience minimal adverse impacts, such as ambulatory injuries.	1	3	3
	Underserved Population	Low	Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are likely to experience minimal adverse/disproportionate impacts, such as ambulatory injuries.	1	3	3
	Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure	Medium	More than \$500,000 but less than \$5 million in property, facilities, and infrastructure damage is expected from a single significant event, or damages are expected to occur to more than 5% but less than 15% of the property value within the jurisdiction.	2	2	4
	Economic	Medium	Total economic impact is likely to be greater than \$100,000, but less than or equal to \$10 million.	2	1	2
	Environmental	Medium	Environmental impact from a single significant event is likely to be localized, requiring some outside resources and support; and/or repair, cleanup, restoration, or preservation work.	2	1	2
	Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services	Medium	Impact lasting between 24 and 72 hours on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event.	2	1	2
	Future Development	Low	Future development trends will minimally increase the impacts of this hazard.	1	1	1
	Climate Change	Medium	Climate Change trends will increase the impacts of this hazard, but not significantly.	2	1	2



Hazard Event	Impact Factor	Impact		Impact Factor	Weighted Factor	Score
Heat Wave/Extreme Heat (Severe Weather)	Population and Life Safety	Low	Populations exposed to this hazard are likely to experience minimal adverse impacts, such as ambulatory injuries.	1	3	3
	Underserved Population	Medium	Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are likely to experience some adverse/disproportionate impacts, such as injuries requiring acute medical care.	2	3	6
	Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure	No Impact	Little to no property, facilities, and infrastructure damage is expected from a single significant event.	0	2	0
	Economic	Low	Total economic impact is not likely to be greater than \$100,000.	1	1	1
	Environmental	Low	Environmental impact from a single significant event is likely to be minimal, requiring little to no outside resources and support; and/or minimal repair, cleanup, restoration, or preservation work.	1	1	1
	Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services	No Impact	No impact on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event.	0	1	0
	Future Development	Low	Future development trends will minimally increase the impacts of this hazard.	1	1	1
	Climate Change	High	Climate Change trends will significantly increase the impacts of this hazard.	3	1	3



Hazard Event	Impact Factor	Impact		Impact Factor	Weighted Factor	Score
Fog (Severe Weather)	Population and Life Safety	Low	Populations exposed to this hazard are likely to experience minimal adverse impacts, such as ambulatory injuries.	1	3	3
	Underserved Population	Low	Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are likely to experience minimal adverse/disproportionate impacts, such as ambulatory injuries.	1	3	3
	Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure	Low	Less than \$500,000 in property, facilities, and infrastructure damages is expected from a single significant event, or damages are expected to occur to less than 5% of the property value within the jurisdiction.	1	2	2
	Economic	Low	Total economic impact is not likely to be greater than \$100,000.	1	1	1
	Environmental	Low	Environmental impact from a single significant event is likely to be minimal, requiring little to no outside resources and support; and/or minimal repair, cleanup, restoration, or preservation work.	1	1	1
	Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services	Low	Impact lasting less than 24 hours on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event.	1	1	1
	Future Development	No Impact	Future development trends will not increase the impacts of this hazard, and/or may even decrease it.	0	1	0
	Climate Change	No Impact	Climate change trends will not increase the impacts of this hazard.	0	1	0



Hazard Event	Impact Factor	Impact		Impact Factor	Weighted Factor	Score
Severe Thunderstorm (Severe Weather)	Population and Life Safety	Low	Populations exposed to this hazard are likely to experience minimal adverse impacts, such as ambulatory injuries.	1	3	3
	Underserved Population	Low	Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are likely to experience minimal adverse/disproportionate impacts, such as ambulatory injuries.	1	3	3
	Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure	Medium	More than \$500,000 but less than \$5 million in property, facilities, and infrastructure damage is expected from a single significant event, or damages are expected to occur to more than 5% but less than 15% of the property value within the jurisdiction.	2	2	4
	Economic	Medium	Total economic impact is likely to be greater than \$100,000, but less than or equal to \$10 million.	2	1	2
	Environmental	Medium	Environmental impact from a single significant event is likely to be localized, requiring some outside resources and support; and/or repair, cleanup, restoration, or preservation work.	2	1	2
	Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services	Low	Impact lasting less than 24 hours on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event.	1	1	1
	Future Development	Medium	Future development trends will increase the impacts of this hazard, but not significantly.	2	1	2
	Climate Change	Low	Climate Change trends will minimally increase the impacts of this hazard.	1	1	1



Hazard Event	Impact Factor	Impact		Impact Factor	Weighted Factor	Score
Tornado (Severe Weather)	Population and Life Safety	Low	Populations exposed to this hazard are likely to experience minimal adverse impacts, such as ambulatory injuries.	1	3	3
	Underserved Population	Low	Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are likely to experience minimal adverse/disproportionate impacts, such as ambulatory injuries.	1	3	3
	Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure	Low	Less than \$500,000 in property, facilities, and infrastructure damages is expected from a single significant event, or damages are expected to occur to less than 5% of the property value within the jurisdiction.	1	2	2
	Economic	Medium	Total economic impact is likely to be greater than \$100,000, but less than or equal to \$10 million.	2	1	2
	Environmental	Low	Environmental impact from a single significant event is likely to be minimal, requiring little to no outside resources and support; and/or minimal repair, cleanup, restoration, or preservation work.	1	1	1
	Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services	Low	Impact lasting less than 24 hours on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event.	1	1	1
	Future Development	Low	Future development trends will minimally increase the impacts of this hazard.	1	1	1
	Climate Change	Low	Climate Change trends will minimally increase the impacts of this hazard.	1	1	1



Hazard Event	Impact Factor	Impact		Impact Factor	Weighted Factor	Score
Strong Winds (Severe Weather)	Population and Life Safety	Medium	Populations exposed to this hazard are likely to experience some adverse impacts, such as injuries requiring acute medical care.	2	3	6
	Underserved Population	Medium	Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are likely to experience some adverse/disproportionate impacts, such as injuries requiring acute medical care.	2	3	6
	Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure	Medium	More than \$500,000 but less than \$5 million in property, facilities, and infrastructure damage is expected from a single significant event, or damages are expected to occur to more than 5% but less than 15% of the property value within the jurisdiction.	2	2	4
	Economic	Medium	Total economic impact is likely to be greater than \$100,000, but less than or equal to \$10 million.	2	1	2
	Environmental	Low	Environmental impact from a single significant event is likely to be minimal, requiring little to no outside resources and support; and/or minimal repair, cleanup, restoration, or preservation work.	1	1	1
	Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services	Low	Impact lasting less than 24 hours on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event.	1	1	1
	Future Development	Low	Future development trends will minimally increase the impacts of this hazard.	1	1	1
	Climate Change	Low	Climate Change trends will minimally increase the impacts of this hazard.	1	1	1



Hazard Event	Impact Factor	Impact		Impact Factor	Weighted Factor	Score
Tsunami	Population and Life Safety	No Impact	Populations exposed to this hazard are not likely to experience significant adverse impacts.	0	3	0
	Underserved Population	No Impact	Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are not likely to experience significant adverse/disproportionate impacts.	0	3	0
	Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure	No Impact	Little to no property, facilities, and infrastructure damage is expected from a single significant event.	0	2	0
	Economic	No Impact	Virtually no significant economic impact.	0	1	0
	Environmental	No Impact	No environmental impacts from a significant event are likely.	0	1	0
	Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services	No Impact	No impact on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event.	0	1	0
	Future Development	No Impact	Future development trends will not increase the impacts of this hazard, and/or may even decrease it.	0	1	0
	Climate Change	No Impact	Climate change trends will not increase the impacts of this hazard.	0	1	0



Hazard Event	Impact Factor	Impact		Impact Factor	Weighted Factor	Score
Wildfire	Population and Life Safety	Medium	Populations exposed to this hazard are likely to experience some adverse impacts, such as injuries requiring acute medical care.	2	3	6
	Underserved Population	High	Underserved populations exposed to the hazard are likely to experience significant adverse/disproportionate impacts, such as fatalities and severe injuries.	3	3	9
	Property, Facilities, and Critical Infrastructure	High	More than \$5 million in property, facilities, and infrastructure damage is expected from a single significant event, or damages are expected to occur to 15% or more of the property value within the jurisdiction.	3	2	6
	Economic	Medium	Total economic impact is likely to be greater than \$100,000, but less than or equal to \$10 million.	2	1	2
	Environmental	High	Environmental impact from a single significant event is likely to be substantial, requiring extensive outside resources and support; and/or repair, cleanup, restoration, and/or preservation work.	3	1	3
	Continuity of Operations/Delivery of Services	High	Impact lasting more than 72 hours on the ability of the jurisdiction to meet the essential day-to-day operational demands and needs of the community from a single significant event.	3	1	3
	Future Development	Medium	Future development trends will increase the impacts of this hazard, but not significantly.	2	1	2
	Climate Change	High	Climate Change trends will significantly increase the impacts of this hazard.	3	1	3



APPENDIX D. PLAN ADOPTION

[Placeholder for adoption documentation after State and FEMA approval]