

**PROBATION DEPARTMENT
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO**



**Michael Callagy, County Executive Officer
Adam Ely, Deputy Executive Officer
Judge Susan Etezadi, San Mateo Superior Court**

Members

- John T Keene**
Chief Probation Officer
- Noelia Corzo**
Board of Supervisors
- Josh Stauffer**
District Attorney's Office
- Ziomara Ochoa**
Behavioral Health
and Recovery Services
- John Fong**
Human Services Agency
- Ron Rayes**
Private Defender's Office
- David Norris**
Chief, Menlo Park
Police Department
- Frank Dal Porto**
Sheriff's Office
- Kris Shouse**
County Office of
Education
- Susan Manheimer**
Community at-large
- Jane Smithson**
Community at-large
- Nick Jasso**
Youth Voice
- Johanna Rasmussen**
Juvenile Justice and
Delinquency Prevention
Commission
- Community Based
Organization Members:**
- Margaret Hitchcock**
Y.M.C.A.
- Melissa Poling**
Fresh Lifelines for Youth

*****IN-PERSON WITH REMOTE PUBLIC PARTICIPATION AVAILABLE*****
For remote access, please refer to the public participation instructions.

Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council (JJCC) Meeting

Thursday, November 20, 2025
12:00 pm - 2:00 pm
222 Paul Scannell Drive, San Mateo
Chief's Conference Room

MEETING AGENDA

I. CALL TO ORDER	Chief John T. Keene
II. PUBLIC COMMENTS	Chief John T. Keene
III. ROLL CALL	Chief John T. Keene
IV. UNFINISHED BUSINESS a. Approval of Minutes – May 22, 2025	All
V. NEW BUSINESS a. Comments and Updates i. Request for Proposal Juvenile Programs b. Budget Update – None c. JPCF/JJCPA & YOBG/JJRBG Comprehensive Annual Report	Chief John T. Keene Kim Gillette, ASR
VI. ADJOURNMENT	Chief John T. Keene

2026 JJCC MEETING SCHEDULE (All meetings are held from 12 - 2 pm)

- Thursday, February 26, 2026
- Thursday, May 28, 2026
- Thursday, August 27, 2026
- Thursday, November 19, 2026

MEETINGS ARE ACCESSIBLE TO PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES.

Individuals who need special assistance or a disability-related modification or accommodation (including auxiliary aids or services) to participate in this meeting, or who have a disability and wish to request an alternative format for the agenda, meeting notice, agenda packet or other writings that may be distributed at the meeting, should contact Yanitxa Albino at least 72 hours before the meeting at (650) 400-3151 or yalbino@smcgov.org. Notification in advance of the meeting will enable the county to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility to this meeting and the materials related to it. Attendees to this meeting are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to various chemical-based products.

If you wish to speak to the Committee, please fill out a speaker's slip. If you have anything that you wish distributed to the Committee and included in the official record, please send an email before the meeting to yalbino@smcgov.org or hand it to Yanitxa Albino, who will distribute the information to the committee members.



Public Participation Instructions

In 2024, the Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council (JJCC) meetings which are held in-person will also be accessible to the public by videoconference or phone.

Join In Person Location: 222 Paul Scannell Drive, San Mateo, CA 94402
Chief's Conference Room

Join Zoom Meeting:

<https://smcgov.zoom.us/j/86863903766?pwd=p2bb3qcSFEYEXt8qSlk39jzAnPjyid.1>

Meeting ID: ID: 868 6390 3766 Passcode: 353235

OR

Telephone: Mobile 1-669-444-9171, Meeting ID: 86863903766#

Instructions for Public Comment During Videoconference Meetings

If you wish to speak to the Commission during public comment, you may raise your hand using Zoom with the Reactions button at the bottom of your screen or indicate that you would like to speak if you are attending in person.

During videoconference meetings of the JJCC, members of the public may address the JJCC as follows:

Written Comments:

Written public comments may be emailed in advance of the meeting. Please read the following instructions carefully:

1. Your written comment should be emailed to yalbino@smcgov.org.
2. Your e-mail should include the specific agenda item on which you are commenting or note that your comment concerns an item that is not on the agenda.
3. Members of the public are limited to one comment per agenda item.
4. The length of the emailed comment should be commensurate with the two minutes customarily allowed for verbal comments, which is approximately 250-300 words.
5. If your emailed comment is received at least 24 hours prior to the meeting, it will be provided to the JJCC members and made publicly available on the JJCC website along with the agenda. We cannot guarantee that emails received less than 24-hours before the meeting will be read during the meeting, but such emails will still be included in the administrative record of the meeting.

Spoken Comments:

Spoken public comments will be accepted during the meeting through Zoom via videoconference or telephone. Please read the following instructions carefully:

1. The JJCC meeting may be accessed through Zoom online or via telephone.
2. You may download the Zoom client or connect to the meeting using an internet browser. If using your browser, make sure you are using a current, up-to-date browser: Chrome 30+, Firefox 27+, Microsoft Edge 12+, Safari 7+. Certain functionality may be disabled in older browsers including Internet Explorer.
3. You will be asked to enter an email address and name. We request that you identify yourself by name as this will be visible online and will be used to notify you that it is your turn to speak.
4. When called, please limit your remarks to the time limit allotted.

**PROBATION DEPARTMENT
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO**



Michael Callagy, County Executive Officer
Adam Ely, Deputy Executive Officer
Judge Susan Etezadi, San Mateo Superior Court

Members

John T Keene
Chief Probation Officer

Noelia Corzo
Board of Supervisors

Josh Stauffer
District Attorney's Office

Ziomara Ochoa
Behavioral Health
and Recovery Services

John Fong
Human Services Agency

Ron Rayes
Private Defender's Office

David Norris
Chief, Menlo Park
Police Department

Frank Dal Porto
Sheriff's Office

Kris Shouse
County Office of
Education

Susan Manheimer
Community at-large

Jane Smithson
Community at-large

Nick Jasso
Youth Voice

Johanna Rasmussen
Juvenile Justice and
Delinquency Prevention
Commission

**Community Based
Organization Members:**

Jessica Sanchez-Martinez
StarVista

Margaret Hitchcock
Y.M.C.A.

Melissa Poling
Fresh Lifelines for Youth

Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council (JJCC) Meeting

Thursday, May 22, 2025

12:00 a.m.- 2:00 p.m.

222 Paul Scannell Drive, San Mateo
Chief's Conference Room

MINUTES

Present Members:

John Keene
Josh Stauffer
Ron Rayes
David Norris
Frank Dal Porto
Susan Manheimer
Johanna Rasmussen
Melissa Poling

Absent Members:

Adam Ely
Michael Callagy
Noelia Corzo
Susan Etezadi
Ziomara Ochoa
Kris Shouse
Jane Smithson
Nick Jasso

Online Members:

John Fong
Jessica Sanchez-Martinez
Margaret Hitchcock

Other Attendees:

Kim Carpenter, Connie Chu, ASR; Ornit Shoham, BHRS; Beth Von Emster, In Our Care; Aaron McBean, SMCCCD; Hong Liu, Moniay Wade, Noelle Vergara, Ivonne Bustos, Alexis Sanchez, Tamara Arana, Tony Burchyns, Probation.

Online Attendees:

Kim Gillette, ASR; Ally Chan, BOS; Nancy Goodban, In Our Care; Jehan Clark, Yanitxa Albino, Becky Powers, Probation.

I. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 12:01 p.m.

II. PUBLIC COMMENT

None

III. ROLL CALL

IV. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

A. Approval of Minutes from February 20, 2025

The JJCC Minutes from February 20, 2025, were approved.

M: Ron Rayes/ **S:** David Norris

Changes: None



Abstentions: John Fong

V. NEW BUSINESS

A. Comments and Updates

Chief Keene mentioned that it is legislative time and there are a few legislative bills in the juvenile arena that would have an impact on a variety of things. Further conversations will be taking place around funding, advancing secondary education to expand programs, and infrastructure opportunities within juvenile halls to expand room for services and loosen some of the restrictions currently in place. In addition, Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC) which is the regulatory commission that oversees our juvenile hall spaces have yet to approve the regulations from the last cycle. This is notable because we are coming up on the beginning of the next cycle for consideration for changes. He hopes to get some resolution in the next couple of weeks.

B. Budget Update

Hong Liu shared that back when the state changed the funding mechanism for the JJCPA and JPCF funding sources, the regular allocations became fixed, and the funding amounts became stabilized. A gross component was added, and the department has been receiving these funds for the last 10 years or so. The funds have not yet been spent but can only be utilized as a onetime expense. The onetime expense can be spent over multiple years to fund infrastructure enhancement needs, physical plant fixes, equipment, etc.

Current balance for FY 2024-25:

- JJCPA – \$11 Million
- JPCF – \$14 Million

C. Presentation of the Local Action Plan (LAP) 2025-2030

Kim Carpenter from Applied Survey and Research (ASR) gave a presentation on the results of the Local Action Plan (LAP) for 2025-2030. The LAP is a five-year plan designed to guide funding decisions to best support youth in the county. This effort is focused on understanding the gaps and needs for youth who are under resourced in the county and those opportunities to support them to find those positive pathways to adulthood.

Chief Keene mentioned that the department now looks forward to taking this document and making it something actionable. He would like for the members to come up with ideas of how everyone can work collaboratively to find solutions as well as help bring new partners to the table. Independently probation is looking to figure out how to operationalize a lot of items that were brought up in this report and is already taking steps to make changes moving forward.

VI. ADJOURNMENT

The JJCC meeting was adjourned at 1:59 p.m.

The next JJCC meeting will take place on Thursday, August 28th.



San Mateo County Juvenile Services & Institutions Data

Juvenile Services

AVERAGE CASELOADS	Q2 FY 24-25		Q3 FY 24-25		Q4 FY 24-25		Q1 FY 25-26	
Diversion	75	20%	54	15%	36	10%	16	5%
Court	112	30%	119	33%	126	35%	112	32%
Supervision	189	50%	185	52%	197	55%	221	63%
TOTAL	376	100%	358	100%	359	100%	349	100%
RACE/ETHNICITY	12/31/2024		3/28/2025		6/30/2025		9/30/2025	
Asian	18	5%	20	6%	17	5%	17	5%
Black	42	12%	37	10%	37	10%	42	12%
Hispanic/Latino	185	51%	194	54%	195	54%	218	63%
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	12	3%	8	2%	13	4%	20	6%
White	38	10%	33	9%	34	9%	33	10%
Other or Unknown	69	19%	67	19%	64	18%	16	5%
TOTAL	364	100%	359	100%	360	100%	346	100%
GENDER	12/31/2024		3/28/2025		6/30/2025		9/30/2025	
Female	77	21%	83	23%	85	24%	81	23%
Male	286	79%	275	77%	275	76%	264	76%
Transgender	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%	1	0%
TOTAL	364	100%	359	100%	360	100%	346	100%
CITY	12/31/2024		3/28/2025		6/30/2025		9/30/2025	
Atherton	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Belmont	9	2%	11	3%	11	3%	10	3%
Brisbane	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Burlingame	12	3%	10	3%	14	4%	12	3%
Colma	2	1%	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%
Daly City	40	11%	38	11%	30	8%	37	11%
East Palo Alto	40	11%	47	13%	40	11%	38	11%
El Granada	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%
Foster City	5	1%	5	1%	5	1%	5	1%
Half Moon Bay	11	3%	10	3%	8	2%	9	3%
Hillsborough	0	0%	1	0%	1	0%	2	1%
Menlo Park	10	3%	7	2%	8	2%	5	1%
Millbrae	5	1%	5	1%	9	3%	7	2%
Montara	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%
Moss Beach	3	1%	2	1%	2	1%	2	1%
Pacifica	9	2%	7	2%	9	3%	10	3%
Pescadero	1	0%	1	0%	2	1%	0	0%
Portola Valley	2	1%	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%
Redwood City	57	16%	61	17%	65	18%	67	19%
San Bruno	20	5%	18	5%	20	6%	13	4%
San Carlos	5	1%	4	1%	3	1%	5	1%
San Mateo	54	15%	52	14%	59	16%	50	14%
South San Francisco	21	6%	19	5%	20	6%	21	6%
Woodside	1	0%	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%
Out of County	55	15%	57	16%	50	14%	51	15%
Unknown	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
TOTAL	364	100%	359	100%	360	100%	346	100%



San Mateo County Juvenile Services & Institutions Data

Institutions Youth Services Center-Juvenile Hall

YSC-JUVENILE HALL									
	Q2 FY 24-25		Q3 FY 24-25		Q4 FY 24-25		Q1 FY 25-26		
Total Number of Bookings	56 (54 unique)		64 (54 unique)		63 (54 Unique)		45 (37 Unique)		
In-County Youth	39 (37 unique)		56 (46 unique)		51 (43 Unique)		38 (30 Unique)		
Out-of-County Youth	17 (17 unique)		8 (8 unique)		12 (12 Unique)		7 (7 Unique)		
Average Age at Booking	16		16		16		16		
	Q2 FY 24-25		Q3 FY 24-25		Q4 FY 24-25		Q1 FY 25-26		
Race/Ethnicity of Bookings									
Asian	-	-	2	4%	2	4%	1	3%	
Black	12	21%	8	15%	7	13%	5	14%	
Hispanic/Latino	40	71%	37	69%	37	69%	24	65%	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	2	4%	1	2%	1	2%	3	8%	
White	1	2%	2	4%	6	11%	4	11%	
Middle Eastern	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Other	1	2%	4	7%	1	2%	-	-	
TOTAL	56	100%	54	100%	54	100%	37	100%	
Total Number of Releases	59		46		65		46		
Average Length of Stay	36 days		42 days		37 days		44 days		
Median Length of Stay	17 days		10 days		7 days		24 days		
Average Daily Population	26		28		38		33		
YSC-JH	22		24		32		25		
SOARR (SYTF)	4		4		6		8		
	Q2 FY 24-25		Q3 FY 24-25		Q4 FY 24-25		Q1 FY 25-26		
Offense Level/Monthly Snapshots									
Felony	23	96%	23	96%	29	94%	22	88%	
Misdemeanor	1	4%	1	4%	2	6%	3	12%	
	12/31/2024		3/28/2025		6/30/2025		9/30/2025		
Race/Ethnicity Snapshot (YSC-JH)									
Hispanic	17	85%	26	76%	19	66%	19	70%	
Black	2	10%	2	6%	4	14%	3	11%	
Asian	-	-	2	6%	-	-	1	4%	
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	1	5%	1	3%	1	3%	2	7%	
White	-	-	-	-	1	3%	1	4%	
Other Race	-	-	3	9%	4	14%	1	4%	
ELECTRONIC MONITORING (EMP)									
	Q2 FY 24-25		Q3 FY 24-25		Q4 FY 24-25		Q1 FY 25-26		
Total Number of Referrals	15		22		28		20		
Average Daily Active Cases	10		9		11		12		
Bookings for EMP Violations	7		9		5		8		
Average Length of Time on EMP	53 days		47 days		46 days		43 days		
ALCOHOL MONITORING (CAM)									
	Q2 FY 24-25		Q3 FY 24-25		Q4 FY 24-25		Q1 FY 25-26		
Total Number of Referrals	3		2		1		2		
Average Daily Active Cases	4		2		0		2		
Bookings for CAM Violations	1		3		0		2		
Average Length of Time on CAM	83 days		61 days		-		37 days		

Methodology

Average Caseloads: Based on monthly point-in-time data during the report period.

Race/Ethnicity, Gender, and City: Point-in-time data for youth on open cases on the last business day of each month.

Releases from Juvenile Hall: Data is based on daily releases during the reporting period. Excludes "weekenders" (i.e., youth order to serve time over a series of weekends).

Average Length of Stay (ALOS): ALOS is calculated by averaging the days in custody or on EMP/CAM for youth released during the period. The median length of stay is the middle value when all stays are arranged in order. Excludes "weekenders."

Average Daily Population: Based on daily 6 am counts.

Offense Level: Based on monthly point-in-time data during the report period.

2020-2025

San Mateo County Local Action Plan

Five Year Review

The 2020-2025 Local Action Plan (LAP), through a community-wide strategic planning process directed by the Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council (JJCC), identified five overarching outcomes. Highlights of the actions and results achieved by Probation and its Juvenile Probation Camp Funding (JPCF) and Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act (JJCPA) funded grantees over the five-year term are highlighted here.

4,719

Youth
Served*

5

Outcome
Areas

Outcome Area 1:

Behavioral Health

3,894

youth were served using **trauma-informed practices**.

3

CBOs (Acknowledge Alliance, StarVista, and YMCASF) **counseled youth** in schools and other settings.

2

CBOs (StarVista, and YMCASF) provided **substance use services**.

Outcome Area 2:

Positive Pathways for Youth

281

youth participated in **job readiness training** and **case management** services offered by Success Centers.

2

CBOs (FLY and BGCP) linked youths with **mentors** to support healthy development and help navigate challenges and opportunities.

Youth-Reported
Outcomes

73-96%

of youth served by BGCP reported developing positive and **supportive relationships**.

85-96%

of youth participating in FLY's programs reported access to **positive adult role models**.

*Youth served across multiple fiscal years are duplicated in the count, but not within a fiscal year.

Outcome Area 3:


Parent Education and Support

StarVista implemented the **Parent Support Group** from 2022-2025.

Zero youth in the Family Preservation Program required an **out-of-home placement**.

Outcome Area 4:

Access to Effective Services



All programs offered **free of charge** to youth and their families.

Same 6 CBOs offered services consistently throughout the 5 years.

Outcome Area 5:

Alignment and Coordination of Systems

216 **trauma-informed trainings** hosted by probation for Group Supervisors (GSs) and Deputy Probation Officers (DPOs), supporting a cross-system goal that all youth-serving providers use trauma-informed practices.

Probation has **formal agreements** in place with San Mateo County Behavioral Health and Recovery Services and San Mateo County Health and the Human Services Agency to coordinate services for youth.



SAN MATEO COUNTY

PROBATION DEPARTMENT

Comprehensive Annual JJCPA and
JPCF Evaluation Report

2024-2025



ABOUT THE RESEARCHER

Applied Survey Research (ASR) is a nonprofit social research firm dedicated to helping people build better communities by collecting meaningful data, facilitating information-based planning, and developing custom strategies. The firm was founded on the principle that community improvement, initiative sustainability, and program success are closely tied to assessment needs, evaluation of community goals, and development of appropriate responses. ASR engages in planning, research, and evaluation projects locally in California and nationwide.

AUTHORS

Kim Carpenter, Ph.D.

Connie Chu, M.A.

Kimberly Gillette, M.P.H.

Claire Miller, Ph.D.

HEADQUARTERS

Physical address:

55 Penny Lane, Suite 101

Watsonville, CA 95076

Phone 831-728-1356

Mailing address:

Applied Survey Research

PO Box 1927

Watsonville, CA 95077

Website:

www.appliedsurveyresearch.org

Contents

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY..... 2
 Summary of Findings2

BACKGROUND 5
 2020-2025 Local Action Plan.....5
 JJCPA and JPCF Funding.....6

EVALUATION DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY 10
 Desired Outcomes.....10
 Evaluation Tools11
 Data Collection11

EVALUATION FINDINGS 14
 Youth Profile14
 Services Provided18
 Criminogenic Risk22
 Youth Strengths and Support Needs.....26
 JJCPA Juvenile Justice Outcomes28
 Justice Outcomes by Program.....30
 Additional Program-Level Outcomes31
 Client Stories38

PROGRESS ON RECOMMENDED LOCAL ACTION PLAN STRATEGIES..... 43
 Summary of Funded Programs and Strategies45
 2024-25 LAP Progress by Priority Area45

LOCAL ACTION PLAN FIVE YEAR REVIEW 49

CONCLUSION..... 51

APPENDIX A: FUNDING TYPES..... 52

APPENDIX B: CLEARINGHOUSES FOR EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICES 53

APPENDIX C: JUSTICE OUTCOME SAMPLE SIZES 54

APPENDIX D: GLOSSARY OF TERMS 55

APPENDIX E: CANS MODULES..... 56

APPENDIX F: CASE TRIAGE DISPOSITIONS 57

Executive Summary

In fiscal year (FY) 2024–25, the San Mateo County Probation Department (Probation) continued its allocation of Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act (JJCPA) and Juvenile Probation Camp Funding (JPCF) resources by providing the second year of three-year contracts with six community-based organizations (CBOs) to serve youth and families across the County. In addition, JJCPA funding continued to support Probation’s Juvenile Services Court/Diversion Unit (JUV SVCS CRT/DIV) and Family Preservation Program (FPP). The desired outcomes for the youth of these funded programs included:

- Improved behavioral and emotional well-being;
- Improved opportunities to cultivate interpersonal and career strengths;
- Improved family functioning;
- Increased engagement in and connection to school; and
- Decreased justice involvement.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Funded programs continued to deliver services on the full continuum of intervention to meet youths' needs in FY 2024-25. JPCF focused on prevention and early intervention, and JJCPA focused on targeted interventions for juvenile justice-involved youth who are not in custody.

Funded programs served 1,045 unduplicated youths, 1% less than were served in FY 2023-24 (N=1,060, Exhibit 1). JPCF-funded programs served three out of every four youths (n=788, 75%), and JJCPA-funded programs served one out of every four youths (n=264, 25%) during the last fiscal year. The average number of service hours reported per youth (8.5 hours) as well as the average length of time in the program (4.6 months) decreased in FY 2024-25 compared to the prior year. The five-year trends suggest a continuation of serving fewer youths, with a recent shift to providing fewer hours of service. Of note, not all of StarVista’s data was captured due to their organization ceasing operations effective August 1, 2025. Additionally, Acknowledge Alliance did not serve any youth this FY.

Exhibit 1. Key Findings: Youths and Services

YOUTHS AND SERVICES	FY 20-21	FY 21-22	FY 22-23	FY 23-24	FY 24-25
Number of Youths Served	1,024	1,253	1,237	1,060	1,045
Average Number of Hours Served	14.8	13.0	13.0	11.7	8.5
Average Months in the Program	4.9	4.0	3.7	5.5	4.6

Note: In FY 2024-25, N=977 was used to calculate the average number of months in the program. N=852 was used to calculate the average number of hours served per youth. The average number of hours served per youth does not include VIA or JUV SVCS CRT/DIV and FPP, as the two units do not collect service hours. Average months in the program do not include VIA.

In October 2022, the Probation department began assessments using the Ohio Youth Assessment System (OYAS). All assessments provide a standard measure of youth criminogenic risk, life functioning, and other areas of need(s) and strength(s) to help inform program activities and decisions concerning decreasing justice involvement for all youth.

The OYAS Diversion (OYAS-DIV) tool is used to help determine whether cases will be submitted to the District Attorney’s Office (DA) for the filing of a petition or handled informally. All youth are screened using the OYAS-DIV, except for cases where charges require a mandatory referral to the DA or to the Traffic Court Unit. Certified probation staff administered the OYAS-DIV on 20 youths during FY 2024-25. Ten (50%) of these assessments fell in the range of “low” risk, 10 (50%) assessments fell in the range of “moderate” risk, and no assessments fell in the range of “high” risk (Exhibit 2). All youths categorized as “low” risk and 80% of youths categorized as “moderate” risk were diverted. In addition, OYAS-certified probation staff also completed a total of 110 OYAS Disposition (OYAS-DIS) assessments on 92 youths who participated in a disposition hearing. On the 92 youths’ first assessments, 79 (86%) youths were rated “low” risk, 13 (14%) were rated “moderate” risk, and zero (0%) were rated “high” risk based on the responses to the assessment. In addition, 87 (95%) of the 92 youths assessed received general supervision.

Exhibit 2. Key Findings: Risk Levels and Needs (OYAS-DIV and OYAS-DIS)

RISK LEVEL	FY 24-25 OYAS-DIV	FY 24-25 OYAS-DIS
Low	10 (50%)	79 (86%)
Moderate	10 (50%)	13 (14%)
High	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

Note: FY 2024-25 OYAS-DIV N=20; OYAS-DIS N=92. Percentages may not add to 100 due to rounding.

Tracking key justice outcomes is also useful for determining the risk level and justice involvement of youth served by JJCPA-funded programs. The percentage of youths arrested for a new law violation was two percentage points lower compared with the prior fiscal year. In addition, the

percentage of youths with probation violations was 13% lower compared with that of the prior fiscal year (Exhibit 3).

Exhibit 3. Key Findings: Justice Outcomes (for JJCPA-funded Programs Only)

YOUTHS AND SERVICES	FY 20-21	FY 21-22	FY 22-23	FY 23-24	FY 24-25
Youths Arrested for a New Law Violation	12%	6%	2%	4%	2%
Youths with Probation Violations	28%	2%	30%	21%	8%

Note: In FY 2024-25, N=145 was used to calculate the percentage of Youths Arrested for a New Law Violation. N=12 was used to calculate the percentage of Youths with Probation Violations.

Background

In San Mateo County, the Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council (JJCC) oversees the allocation of funds from the JJCPA and JPCF. These funding sources are drawn from California Vehicle License fees and differ in their emphasis and reporting requirements.¹ As required by the Welfare and Institutions Code, to be eligible for JJCPA funds the JJCC must periodically develop, review, and update a comprehensive Local Action Plan that documents the condition of the local Juvenile Justice system and outlines proposed efforts to fill identified service gaps.

2020-2025 LOCAL ACTION PLAN

The 2020-2025 Local Action Plan (LAP) was implemented through the work and guidance of the JJCC and the representation included the following: professionals who work with at-risk youth and youth involved in the juvenile justice system through Probation; District Attorney’s Office; law enforcement; Human Services Agency (HSA); Behavioral Health and Recovery Services (BHRS); Deputy Probation Officers and school resource officers; County Office of Education; education-related providers; local government; representatives from high schools, colleges, and community-based organizations; community members familiar with youth development and active in justice work, including youth and family advocates; at-risk youth in diversion programs; incarcerated youth; and parents of at-risk youth. Through a strategic planning process, a core group of desired outcomes and strategies was identified to address the needs of youth and their families in San Mateo County. The desired overarching outcomes defined by the subcommittee included:

- Improved behavioral and emotional well-being;
- Improved opportunities to cultivate interpersonal and career strengths;
- Improved family functioning;
- Increased engagement in and connection to school; and
- Decreased justice involvement.

The LAP identified the following five areas and their core strategies to foster and support these outcomes:

Behavioral Health

- Increase availability of mental health treatment modalities;
- Expand participation in addiction programs;
- Increase individualized services to mitigate the effects of trauma;
- Increase school-based counseling; and
- Provide evidence-based family therapy programs.

¹ Please see Appendix A for a complete description of JJCPA and JPCF funding.

Positive Pathways for Youth

- Increase prosocial opportunities;
- Connect youth with consistent and reliable mentors;
- Increase opportunities and programs to reduce truancy and increase school engagement;
- Seek partnerships with local companies for training and internship opportunities;
- Collect data to evaluate the quality of implementation and the impact of innovative programs; and
- Increase reentry support with social workers and wraparound teams.

Parent Education and Support

- Meet families where they are to connect them to community supports; and
- Engage families in services that support positive parenting skills.

Access to Effective Services

- Increase access to beneficial services;
- Increase culturally and linguistically responsive services; and
- Increase funding for quality programs that benefit at-risk youth.

Alignment and Coordination of Systems

- Outreach to understand the communication needs of providers and develop methods to meet those needs;
- Coordinate cross-sector prevention and early intervention systems to address risk at onset; and
- Reinvest in comprehensive cross-sector, trauma-informed training, and a community of practice.

JJCPA AND JPCF FUNDING

Every year, JJCPA and JPCF jointly fund a complementary set of interventions along a continuum of service intensity, from prevention to intensive and individualized intervention services. Programs serving justice-involved youth are typically funded by JJCPA, given that the legislation intends to reduce further justice involvement. Prevention and early intervention services are funded by JPCF.

In 2023, through a competitive Request for Proposals (RFP) process, Probation awarded three-year grants from its allocation of JJCPA and JPCF to each of six programs to serve San Mateo County youth and their families. The six programs were selected based on the needs identified by the LAP, which guided the Request for Proposal process. Also, through a competitive RFP process in 2020, Probation awarded a five-year contract to Applied Survey Research (ASR) to provide evaluation services.

JPCF funds six community-based programs, with four of the six community-based organizations (CBOs), Fresh Lifelines for Youth (FLY), StarVista, Success Centers (SC), and YMCA of San

Francisco (YMCASF), also funded by JJCPA sources. In addition to these four CBOs, JJCPA funds two programs within the probation department: Juvenile Services Court/Diversion Unit (JUV SVCS CRT/DIV) and Family Preservation Program (FPP). This array of programs provided services to youth on a continuum of need, from prevention-based services to intensive individualized interventions, as described in Exhibit 4.

Exhibit 4. Programs Funded by JPCF and JJCPA

JPCF PROGRAM	SHORT NAME	DESCRIPTION
Acknowledge Alliance	Acknowledge	Acknowledge provides counseling for youths attending community and court schools.
Boys and Girls Clubs of the Peninsula	BGCP	BGCP offers mentoring, after-school enrichment, academic support, and leadership opportunities to at-risk youth.
Fresh Lifelines for Youth	FLY	FLY Leadership program provides mentoring and case management, and FLY Law program provides law-related curriculum to high-need youth.
StarVista Strengthen Our Youth (SOY)	SOY	SOY provides parenting workshops and group and individual counseling to at-risk middle and high school students.
Success Centers	SC	SC provides case management, job readiness training, and job placement to at-risk youth.
YMCA of San Francisco	YMCASF	YMCASF provides individual counseling, crisis intervention, restorative conflict resolution, case management, and linkage to school and community services.
JJCPA PROGRAM	SHORT NAME	DESCRIPTION
Family Preservation Program	FPP	FPP serves youth who have entered the juvenile justice system under specific circumstances that put them at high risk of being placed out-of-home, with the objective of maintaining youth in their homes by expanding intensive supervision, flexible support services, and community-based resources. Deputy Probation officers collaborate with BHRS, CFS, schools, and other strength-based collateral agencies to provide therapeutic interventions. FPP updates the Court at hearings on the progress made by the youth and their families.
Fresh Lifelines for Youth	FLY	FLY Leadership program provides mentoring and case management, and FLY Law program provides law-related curriculum to justice-involved youth.
Juvenile Services Court/Diversion Unit	JUV SVCS CRT/DIV	ASC/INV Unit uses a multidisciplinary team approach to assess justice-involved youth ages 12–18 and determine appropriate responses, ranging from diversion and informal probation to formal court processing.

StarVista Insights	Insights	Insights offers restorative conflict resolution, substance use treatment, family counseling for youth on probation, and the Victim Impact Awareness (VIA) program, which teaches empathy.
Success Centers	SC	SC provides job readiness training, job placement, worksite development, and career advising to justice-involved youth to help them become workforce-ready.
YMCA of San Francisco	YMCASF	Victim Impact Awareness (VIA) teaches empathy, and Sexual Violence Prevention (SVP) addresses sexually harmful behaviors.

Exhibit 5 below displays service types across funded agencies. Seven of the eight programs were funded to provide case management services, five programs for mentoring/education services, and three programs for behavioral health counseling.² In addition, three programs were funded to provide parent education/counseling services.

Exhibit 5. Program Service Types

	Acknowledge Alliance	Boys and Girls Clubs of the Peninsula	Family Preservation Program	Fresh Lifelines for Youth	Juvenile Services Court/Diversion Unit	StarVista	Success Centers	YMCA of San Francisco
Mentoring/Education		✓		✓ ✗	✗	✓ ✗		✓
Behavioral Health Counseling	✓					✓ ✗		✗
Case Management	✓		✗	✓ ✗	✗	✓ ✗	✓	✓
Parent Education/Counseling					✗	✓ ✗		✓
Outreach					✗	✓ ✗		
Job Readiness/Placement							✓ ✗	

✓ = JPCF ✗ = JJCPA

CBO Assessment Tools

The funded agencies reported using a variety of tools in their work with youth, from intake through program participation, to establish and measure progress. BGCP used its Holistic Student Assessment and Stakeholders Self-Assessment Survey. FLY used similar assessment tools across both JPCF- and JJCPA-funded programs and services, including a Law Knowledge Assessment and a Social-Emotional Learning Assessment for FLY’s Law program, a case

² Acknowledge intended to but was unable to provide these services in FY 2024-25.

management assessment for FLY’s Leadership program, and exit surveys for both Law and Leadership programs. Insights and SOY used their agency’s intake and exit assessments. In addition, Insights used the Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS) assessment tool. SC used its Workforce Development Application and Individual Employment Plan for JJCPA- and JPCF-funded programs. Lastly, YMCASF used the CANS and Partners for Change Outcome Management System (PCOMS) as part of its assessment tools.

Program Challenges

Five of the six CBOs and the two probation units provided information on programmatic challenges faced during the fiscal year that impacted their service delivery.

JPCF

Programs funded through JPCF reported several recurring challenges, with staffing issues emerging across multiple organizations. BGCP and YMCASF both experienced staffing shortages, while SC struggled to adjust to new school staff on campus. FLY faced difficulties with volunteer recruitment and noted the disproportionate underrepresentation of Black youth in their programs, despite the group’s higher system involvement. FLY’s events were limited due to budget constraints, rising service costs, and concerns about community safety. SOY highlighted the high levels of distress among students related to economic uncertainty, anti-immigrant policies, climate concerns, and multiple losses, which increased the demand for longer-term therapy that was difficult to meet due to limited community therapist availability. YMCASF reported a continued lack of interest in students who wanted to participate in group work on campus. Lastly, Acknowledge served no youths this year due to difficulty negotiating student access and spaces with schools and districts.

JJCPA

JJCPA-funded programs encountered barriers largely tied to referrals, engagement, and youth needs. Several programs, including SC, YMCASF, and FPP, reported little to no referrals from probation staff, weakening program enrollment. FLY noted difficulty reaching youth on probation who remained in traditional high schools, which required spreading services across more sites and created additional volunteer recruitment challenges. Programs also faced group attendance issues, as seen in StarVista VIA and YMCASF, where inaccurate youth contact information, disruptive behavior, or reliance on telehealth limited participation and outcomes. Insights highlighted community-level concerns, such as high-risk behaviors, stigma, and youth feeling “othered” due to system involvement. Meanwhile, FPP struggled to re-engage long-term cases with low progress, and StarVista faced financial instability, leading to closure. Safety concerns, experiences of being pushed out of school due to school policies or practices, and the need for intensive advocacy added further layers of complexity to service delivery. JUV SVC CRT/DIV has experienced difficulties in linking clients to necessary services as a result of agency closures and reduced provider capacity.

Evaluation Design and Methodology

In response to the new 2020-2025 Local Action Plan, the FY 2020-21 JJCPA/JPCF annual comprehensive report introduced changes to the desired outcomes in the evaluation plan to be utilized across the five-year period of the plan (Exhibit 6).³ The following section details the evaluation design and methodology used for the last year of the plan, FY 2024-25.




Exhibit 6. FY 2024-25 Evaluation Plan

DESIRED OUTCOMES

The current desired outcomes for youth in the evaluation plan were revised slightly in the FY 2020-21 annual report to reflect small adjustments generated from the 2020-2025 LAP, resulting in the following desired outcomes for youth as reflected in the Evaluation Plan:

- Improved behavioral and emotional well-being;
- Improved opportunities to cultivate interpersonal and career strengths;
- Improved family functioning;
- Increased engagement in and connection to school; and
- Decreased justice involvement.

³The Welfare and Institutions Code requires Juvenile Probation departments to update their Local Action Plan every five years.

EVALUATION TOOLS

The evaluation plan was revised in the FY 2022-23 annual report, removing the Juvenile Assessment and Intervention System risk assessment and the Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS) tool, and replacing it with the Ohio Youth Assessment System (OYAS).

OYAS – Ohio Youth Assessment System

In October 2022, Probation began implementing the Ohio Youth Assessment System (OYAS), a risk assessment tool used in several states and jurisdictions to assess the criminogenic risk of juvenile offenders. Probation uses three OYAS tools to assess youth at various decision points across the juvenile justice system.

- The **Diversion tool** (OYAS-DIV) is used at intake to help determine if the youth with low-level offenses should be diverted or formally processed through the court system.
- The **Disposition tool** (OYAS-DIS) aids in decisions around a youth’s disposition, community interventions, related supervision, and case management.
- The **Reentry tool** (OYAS-RET) aids in decisions of release and discharge from supervision and case management.

Each tool yields a composite risk score that is categorized according to predetermined cutoff scores for “low”, “moderate”, and “high” risk, designed to aid in supervision and case planning with a focus on addressing each youth’s primary needs. When conducting an OYAS assessment, OYAS-certified probation staff utilize a variety of data including a youth’s self-report, interview with the youth, collateral information such as that provided by a youth’s family, friends, and teachers, and a review of a youth’s official records for an understanding of that youth’s risk, strengths, and support needs. Of note, this report does not include analysis of the Reentry tool (OYAS-RET) due to small sample size.

DATA COLLECTION

The following section details the process whereby Probation and ASR monitored and collected data from programs internal and external to Probation. Programs funded by Probation monitor their service delivery and report youth’s demographic, service, and outcome data to the department and ASR. The methods and tools used to collect this data from funded programs are described below.

Youths and Services

Funded programs collected and entered two pieces of youth-level data. First, programs collected demographic information on youths, including:

- Date of birth;
- Gender;
- Race and ethnicity; and
- City and ZIP Code of residence.

Second, funded programs summarized the services received by youth. These measures included:

- Service type (e.g., mentoring/education, behavioral health counseling, case management, parenting education, etc.);
- Length of time a youth was served (e.g., program entry and exit dates);
- Number of hours of service; and
- Reason for exiting the program.

Together, the demographic and service datasets provided relevant information about the characteristics of youths receiving services, their length of involvement in services, and the impact of the involvement in specific services.

Criminogenic Risk

Probation staff use two major types of the OYAS assessment tool to assess the criminogenic risk of youth early in their involvement with the juvenile justice system and help determine the appropriate path for youth at entry regarding diversion (OYAS-DIV) or formal processing (disposition) through the court system. The Disposition tool (OYAS-DIS) is completed during the disposition stage of a youth's involvement and aids in decisions around a youth's disposition, community interventions, related supervision, and case management. Scores on both the OYAS-DIV and OYAS-DIS assessments yield an overall risk level score of "low", "moderate", or "high".

JJCPA-funded programs also collected data on several other youth risk-related indicators, including whether youths had any of the following at program entry:

- An alcohol or other drug problem;
- An attendance problem; and
- A suspension or expulsion in the past year.

Youth Functioning Outcomes

The use of the OYAS tools by Probation also informed the needs and strengths of youth at baseline. In addition, two CBOs representing three funded programs also provided on a voluntary basis Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS) data to probation this year.

JJCPA Juvenile Justice Outcomes

JJCPA-funded programs report data on the following five justice-related outcomes for youth:

- Arrest rate;
- Detention rate;
- Probation violation rate;
- Court-ordered restitution completion rate; and
- Court-ordered community service completion rate.

Prior to FY 2016-17, these five outcomes were mandated by the Board of State and Community Corrections. Although these outcomes are no longer mandated, Probation has elected to still

report on two of these outcomes at 180 days post-entry, as they provide rich data on system-involved youth. The past year’s cohort of youths whose six-month milestone occurred in FY 2023-24 served as the comparison or reference group to interpret FY 2024-25 outcomes.

JJCPA and JPCF Program-Specific Outcomes

Many programs elected to collect their own program-specific outcome data. Short summaries of these results are presented in this report.

Evaluation Findings

YOUTH PROFILE

In FY 2024-25, JJCPA- and JPCF-funded programs served a combined total of 1,045 unduplicated youths, a decrease of 1% from FY 2023-24 (Exhibit 7). JJCPA total of youths served increased (29%) while JPCF total of youths served decreased slightly (8%) in FY 2024-25. An increase in the percentage of youths served can be seen in most JPCF-funded programs and in two JJCPA-funded programs (FLY and JUV SVCS CRT/DIV), although Acknowledge served no youths in FY 2024-25.

As shown in Exhibit 7, JJCPA-funded programs served 264 youths and JPCF-funded programs served 788 youths in FY 2024-25 (1,052 - 7 youths served under both funding streams = 1,045 unique youths). Among JJCPA-funded programs, JUV SVCS CRT/DIV (n=123; 46%) and YMCASF (n=68; 26%) together account for nearly three-quarters of youths served. For JPCF-funded programs, FLY (n=315; 39%) served the largest proportion of youth, followed by YMCASF (n=186; 23%) and SOY (n=185; 23%). Of note, the apparent substantial increase in youths served by SC in FY2024-25 (n=46) compared to FY 2023-24 is largely due to limited data provided by SC in the prior year (only 4 youth reported).

Exhibit 7. Number and Percentage of Youths Served, by Program

JJCPA PROGRAM	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2024-25 % OF TOTAL	% CHANGE FROM LAST FY
Fresh Lifelines for Youth	28	28	13	11	17	6%	55%
Family Preservation Program	21	14	4	6	3	1%	-50%
StarVista Insights	72	40	52	108	48	18%	-56%
Juvenile Services Court/Diversion Unit	75	99	115	84	123	46%	46%
Success Centers	---	---	3	^	7	3%	---
YMCA of San Francisco	---	---	---	---	68	26%	---
JJCPA Total (Unique Youths)	461**	511**	425**	205	264	25%	29%
JPCF PROGRAM							
Acknowledge Alliance	---	---	---	221	0	0%	---
Boys and Girls Clubs of the Peninsula	72	78	70	79	86	11%	9%
Fresh Lifelines for Youth	151	255	339	242	315	39%	30%
StarVista SOY	125	203	105	165	185	23%	12%
Success Centers	61	63	97	4*	46	6%	1050%
YMCA of San Francisco	182	182	229	160	186	23%	16%
JPCF Total (Unique Youths)	566	781	840	858	788	75%	-8%
TOTAL	1,024	1,253	1,237	1,060	1,045	100%	-1%

Note: JPCF total sums to 818 youths rather than the 788 listed because 23 youths were served under JPCF-funded FLY and SC, and seven youths were served by FLY and SOY. JJCPA total sums to 266 rather than the 264 listed because two youths were served under JJCPA-funded Insights and SC. Total clients served by JJCPA- and JPCF-

funded programs sum to 1,052 rather than the 1,045 listed because seven youths were served by multiple programs and are represented across funding streams. *In FY2023-24, SC provided no data on youths who participated in JJCPA-funded programs and limited service data on youths served by JPCF-funded programs, including the four youths counted under JPCF. ---Indicates program was not funded for that fiscal year. ^Indicates that the provider did not submit data. **Acknowledge was previously funded through JJCPA from FY 2019-23. Youths served through that funding are included in those JJCPA totals.

Youth Demographic Characteristics

Race/ethnicity information was provided for 954 youths (91%) served during FY 2024-25. As shown in Exhibit 8, 60% of youths served across funding streams identified as Hispanic/Latino, 14% identified as Asian/Pacific Islander, 10% identified as White/Caucasian, 6% identified as multi-racial/ethnic, 6% identified as another race or ethnicity, and 4% identified as Black/African American. In comparing funding streams, JPCF programs served a higher proportion of Asian/Pacific Islander youth and youths identifying as multiracial or another race/ethnicity, whereas JJCPA programs served a higher proportion of Black/African American youth.

Exhibit 8. Race/Ethnicity Profile

JJCPA PROGRAM	Hispanic/ Latino	Asian/ Pacific Islander	White/ Caucasian	Multi-Racial/ Multi-Ethnic	Another Race/ Ethnicity	Black/ African American
Fresh Lifelines for Youth	53%	18%	6%	6%	6%	12%
Family Preservation Program	*	*	*	*	*	*
StarVista Insights	68%	6%	4%	11%	4%	6%
Juvenile Services Court/Diversion Unit	63%	11%	17%	0%	1%	9%
Success Centers	*	*	*	*	*	*
JJCPA Total	64%	10%	12%	4%	2%	8%
JPCF PROGRAM						
Boys and Girls Clubs of the Peninsula	92%	1%	0%	2%	2%	2%
Fresh Lifelines for Youth	64%	11%	3%	8%	11%	3%
Success Centers	61%	16%	5%	7%	7%	5%
StarVista SOY	59%	20%	10%	6%	3%	2%
YMCA of San Francisco	40%	21%	22%	9%	7%	2%
JPCF Total	60%	14%	9%	7%	7%	3%
TOTAL	60%	14%	10%	6%	6%	4%

Note: JJCPA total N=193, FLY n=17, Insights n=47, JUV SVCS CRT/DIV n=123. JPCF total N=768, BGCP n=86, FLY n=303, SOY n=179, SC n= 44, YMCASF n=186. Data on youths served by JJCPA-funded VIA programs not included. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding. *Suppressed at individual level due to n<10; included in total percentage.

Youths with available data identified as male (53%), female (45%), or transgender or another gender identity (2%; Exhibit 9). The average age across all youths was 14.8 years, with YMCASF

tending to serve the youngest youths (11.8 years old), and JJCPA’s Insights tending to serve the oldest youths (16.2 years old) on average. Overall, youths served by JJCPA programs were more likely to identify as male (65% vs. 49% in JPCF programs) and were older on average (15.8 years old vs. 14.6 years old) than JPCF program youths.

Exhibit 9. Gender and Age Profile

JJCPA PROGRAM	MALE	FEMALE	TRANSGENDER/ ANOTHER GENDER	AVERAGE AGE OF YOUTH
Fresh Lifelines for Youth	76%	24%	0%	15.8
Family Preservation Program	*	*	*	*
StarVista Insights	71%	29%	0%	16.2
Juvenile Services Court/Diversion Unit	60%	40%	0%	15.7
Success Centers	*	*	*	*
JJCPA Total	65%	35%	0%	15.8
JPCF PROGRAM	MALE	FEMALE	TRANSGENDER/ ANOTHER GENDER	AVERAGE AGE OF YOUTH
Boys and Girls Clubs of the Peninsula	50%	50%	0%	15.0
Fresh Lifelines for Youth	63%	37%	1%	15.6
StarVista SOY	32%	68%	0%	15.5
Success Centers	61%	39%	0%	16.0
YMCA of San Francisco	40%	50%	10%	11.8
JPCF Total	49%	48%	3%	14.6
TOTAL	53%	45%	2%	14.8

Note: JJCPA total N=195, FLY n=17, Insights n=47, JUV SVCS CRT/DIV n=123. JPCF total N=798, BGCP n=86, FLY n=303, SOY n=179, SC n= 44, YMCASF n=186. Data on youths served by JJCPA-funded VIA programs are not included. JJCPA-funded YMCASF SVP program saw no referrals in FY 2024-25 and is not included. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding. *Suppressed due to n<10 but included in the total percentage.

Region and City of Residence


Of the 1,045 unique youths served in FY 2024-25, 916 (88%) had a known ZIP Code or city of residence. Of these, 892 had a known place of residence in San Mateo County as shown in Exhibit 10. The number of San Mateo County residents with known addresses participating in funded programs decreased when compared with the previous fiscal year. As shown in Exhibits 10 and 11, the largest proportion of participating youths resided in North County (n=353; 40%). The cities with the largest concentrations of participating youths included Redwood City (n=185), Daly City (n=158), South San Francisco (n=149), and the City of San Mateo (n=109).

Exhibit 10. Region and City of Residence for Participating Youths in JPCF and JJCPA-funded Programs

NORTH	FY 20-21	FY 21-22	FY 22-23	FY 23-24	FY 24-25
Brisbane	0	1	3	0	0
Colma	0	0	0	0	0
Daly City	134	182	140	203	158
San Bruno	19	48	64	75	46
South San Francisco	131	186	227	149	149
SUBTOTAL	284	417	434	427	353
COAST	FY 20-21	FY 21-22	FY 22-23	FY 23-24	FY 24-25
El Granada	2	8	3	1	5
Half Moon Bay	32	24	37	16	24
La Honda/Loma Mar/ Pescadero/San Gregorio	2	0	2	1	0
Montara	1	1	3	6	1
Moss Beach	5	7	9	2	3
Pacifica	22	31	30	27	35
SUBTOTAL	64	71	84	53	68
MID COUNTY	FY 20-21	FY 21-22	FY 22-23	FY 23-24	FY 24-25
Belmont	13	17	11	47	14
Burlingame	10	6	10	6	11
Foster City	1	3	0	3	11
Hillsborough	1	1	0	0	0
Millbrae	5	6	11	10	5
San Carlos	10	10	8	29	7
San Mateo	114	130	134	130	109
SUBTOTAL	154	173	174	225	157
SOUTH	FY 20-21	FY 21-22	FY 22-23	FY 23-24	FY 24-25
Atherton			6	0	2
East Palo Alto	149	156	132	137	88
Menlo Park	32	36	34	23	25
Portola Valley/ Woodside	1	1	0	1	14
Redwood City	224	236	228	225	185
SUBTOTAL	407	429	400	386	314
GRAND TOTAL	909	1,090	1,092	1,091	892

Note: Does not include the 24 youths living out of county and 129 with missing city/ZIP Code data.

Exhibit 11. Number of Participating Youths, by City on Map



Note: Exhibit does not include the 24 youths living out of county and 129 with missing city/ZIP Code data.

SERVICES PROVIDED

Length of Participation and Hours of Service

For school-based programs (e.g., YMCASF, BGCP, and SOY), youth exit the program when the school year ends. Youths who were still enrolled in the program on the final day of the fiscal year, June 30, 2025, were assigned that date as their exit date. For other youths, an exit date may mean that they completed the program or dropped out.

As shown in Exhibits 12 and 13, across all programs combined, the average hours of service per youth decreased from 11.7 in FY 2023–24 to 8.5 in FY 2024–25, while the overall average length of participation rose slightly, from 4.4 to 4.6 months.

At the program-level, the average length of participation in FY 2024-25 ranged from 2.4 months (JPCF-funded FLY) to 9.1 months (BGCP), and the average hours of service provided per youth

ranged from 4.3 hours (SC) to 17.0 hours (JJCPA-funded FLY), reflecting differences in service dosage and duration. Compared with the prior fiscal year, three programs reported an increase in the average length of participation (JJCPA-funded FLY, BGCP, and SOY), while five programs reported a decrease (Insights, JUV SVCS CRT/DIV, JPCF-funded FLY, SC, and YMCASF).

Exhibit 12. Average Number of Months in Program

JJCPA PROGRAMS	FY 20-21	FY 21-22	FY 22-23	FY 23-24	FY 24-25
Fresh Lifelines for Youth	4.3	4.8	5.3	3.1	4.6
Family Preservation Program	16.6	5.9	*	*	*
StarVista Insights	5.5	2.8	2.6	5.4	3.6
Juvenile Services Court/Diversion Unit	2.5	1.6	2.7	5.0	3.5
Success Centers	---	---	*	^	*
JPCF PROGRAMS					
Boys and Girls Clubs of the Peninsula	9.1	9.1	8.5	8.0	9.1
Fresh Lifelines for Youth	3.0	2.3	2.1	3.1	2.4
StarVista SOY	4.2	4.7	4.9	6.8	7.2
Success Centers	2.7	2.9	3.5	*	1.9
YMCA of San Francisco	5.3	4.6	4.4	5.5	3.9
OVERALL AVERAGE	4.9	4	3.7	4.4	4.6

Note: JJCPA total N=198, FLY n=17, Insights n=48, JUV SVCS CRT/DIV n=123. JPCF total N=818, BGCP n=86, FLY n=315, SOY n=185, SC n=46, YMCASF n=186, SC n=4. Overall average months in program does not include VIA or SVP programs. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding. ---Indicates program was not funded for that fiscal year. *Suppressed but included in overall average. ^SC did not provide service data on youths who participated in JJCPA-funded programs.

Exhibit 13. Average Hours of Service Received, per Youth

JJCPA PROGRAMS	FY 20-21	FY 21-22	FY 22-23	FY 23-24	FY 24-25
Fresh Lifelines for Youth	15.6	24.8	26.3	9.4	17.0
StarVista Insights	16.6	15.7	12.2	15.4	15.4
Success Centers	---	---	*	^	*
JPCF PROGRAMS					
Boys and Girls Clubs of the Peninsula	27.5	10.8	11.1	14.1	13.7
Fresh Lifelines for Youth	12	9.9	8.5	9.8	8.0
StarVista SOY	19.5	11.9	13.6	7.7	7.1
Success Centers	10.5	19.7	12.8	*	4.3
YMCA of San Francisco	3.6	5.1	4.9	5.2	5.1
OVERALL AVERAGE	14.8	13.0	13.0	11.7	8.5

Note: JJCPA total N=72, FLY n=17, Insights n=48. JPCF total N=818, BGCP n=86, FLY n=315, SOY n=185, SC n=46, YMCASF n=186. Overall average hours of service received per client do not include VIA or SVP programs. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding. ---Indicates program was not funded for that fiscal year. *Suppressed but included in the overall average. ^SC did not provide service data on youths who participated in JJCPA-funded programs. FPP and JUV SVCS CRT/DIV do not collect service hours and are not included in this exhibit.

Evidence-Based Practices

Probation prioritizes the use of evidence-based practices (EBPs) among its contracted service providers. As part of the ASR-led evaluation beginning in 2017, all JJCPA- and JPCF-funded

programs have been subject to a formal assessment of the evidence base supporting these programs.

As in prior years, each provider in FY 2024-25 was asked to list the practices and curricula of its JJCPA- and JPCF-funded programs. ASR conducted a thorough search of evidence-based practice clearinghouses and empirical sources to determine which programs could be labeled “evidence-based” and which should be considered “promising practices.” Common shared practices and approaches among implemented programs include trauma-informed practice/care, Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT), and Motivational Interviewing.

Exhibit 14 depicts the evidence-based practices reported to be used in FY 2024-25 by JJCPA- and JPCF-funded programs. A check mark in the figure indicates a JPCF-funded CBO program’s utilization, while an “x” indicates a JJCPA-funded program’s utilization. For a complete list of clearinghouses used to evaluate the practices provided, please see Appendix B.

Exhibit 14. Overview of Practices implemented by CBOs

	Acknowledge Alliance*	Boys and Girls Clubs of the Peninsula	Fresh Lifelines for Youth	StarVista Insights*	StarVista SOY*	Success Centers*	YMCA of San Francisco
Acceptance Commitment Therapy (ACT) ⁴					✓		
Aggression Replacement Training (ART) ⁵							✓ x
Check & Connect ⁶		✓					
Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) ⁷			✓ x	x	✓		✓ x

⁴ Washington State Institute for Public Policy. (2020). Updated Inventory of Evidence-Based, Research-Based, and Promising Practices: For Prevention and Intervention Services for Children and Juveniles in the Child Welfare, Juvenile Justice, and Mental Health Systems. http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/ReportFile/1727/Wsipp_Updated-Inventory-of-Evidence-Based-Research-Based-and-Promising-Practices-For-Prevention-and-Intervention-Services-for-Children-and-Juveniles-in-the-Child-Welfare-Juvenile-Justice-and-Mental-Health-Systems_Report.pdf

⁵ Pennsylvania State University, EPISCenter. (n.d.). Aggression Replacement Training (ART). <https://www.episcenter.psu.edu/ebp/ART>

⁶ Social Programs that Work. (n.d.). Check and Connect - Dropout Prevention Programs that Work Social Programs That Work. <https://evidencebasedprograms.org/programs/check-and-connect/>

⁷ Washington State Institute for Public Policy. (2020). Updated Inventory of Evidence-Based, Research-Based, and Promising Practices: For Prevention and Intervention Services for Children and Juveniles in the Child Welfare, Juvenile Justice, and Mental Health Systems. http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/ReportFile/1727/Wsipp_Updated-Inventory-of-Evidence-Based-Research-Based-and-Promising-Practices-For-Prevention-and-Intervention-Services-for-Children-and-Juveniles-in-the-Child-Welfare-Juvenile-Justice-and-Mental-Health-Systems_Report.pdf

	Acknowledge Alliance*	Boys and Girls Clubs of the Peninsula	Fresh Lifelines for Youth	StarVista Insights*	StarVista SOY*	Success Centers*	YMCA of San Francisco
Dialectical Behavior Therapy (DBT) ⁸					✓		✓ ✗
Functional Family Therapy ⁹							✓
Growth Mindset ¹⁰		✓				✓ ✗	
Mindfulness-Based Interventions ^{11, 12}				✗			✓ ✗
Motivational Interviewing**		✓	✓ ✗		✓	✓ ✗	✗
Neuro-sequential Model of Therapeutics (NMT) ¹³							✓
Partners for Change Outcome Management System (PCOMS) ¹⁴							✗
Psychodynamic Psychotherapy ¹⁵	✓						

⁸ Washington State Institute for Public Policy. (2020). Updated Inventory of Evidence-Based, Research-Based, and Promising Practices: For Prevention and Intervention Services for Children and Juveniles in the Child Welfare, Juvenile Justice, and Mental Health Systems. http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/ReportFile/1727/Wsipp_Updated-Inventory-of-Evidence-Based-Research-Based-and-Promising-Practices-For-Prevention-and-Intervention-Services-for-Children-and-Juveniles-in-the-Child-Welfare-Juvenile-Justice-and-Mental-Health-Systems_Report.pdf

⁹ California Evidence-Based Clearinghouse for Child Welfare. (2023). Functional Family Therapy. <https://www.cebc4cw.org/program/functional-family-therapy/>

¹⁰ Mueller, C. M., & Dweck, C. S. (1998). Praise for intelligence can undermine children's motivation and performance. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 75(1), 33-52. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.75.1.33>

¹¹ Hofmann, S. G., & Gómez, A. F. (2017). Mindfulness-Based Interventions for Anxiety and Depression. The Psychiatric clinics of North America, 40(4), 739–749. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psc.2017.08.008>

¹² Marcus, M. T., & Zgierska, A. (2009). Mindfulness-Based Therapies for Substance Use Disorders: Part 1 (Editorial). Substance Abuse : Official Publication of the Association for Medical Education and Research in Substance Abuse, 30(4), 263. <http://doi.org/10.1080/08897070903250027>

¹³ Perry, B.D. (2009). Examining child maltreatment through a neurodevelopmental lens: Clinical application of the neurosequential model of therapeutics. Journal of Loss and Trauma, 14, 240-255. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15325020903004350>

¹⁴ Washington State Institute for Public Policy. (2020). Updated Inventory of Evidence-Based, Research-Based, and Promising Practices: For Prevention and Intervention Services for Children and Juveniles in the Child Welfare, Juvenile Justice, and Mental Health Systems. http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/ReportFile/1727/Wsipp_Updated-Inventory-of-Evidence-Based-Research-Based-and-Promising-Practices-For-Prevention-and-Intervention-Services-for-Children-and-Juveniles-in-the-Child-Welfare-Juvenile-Justice-and-Mental-Health-Systems_Report.pdf

¹⁵ Shedler, J. (2010). American Psychological Association 0003-066X/10/. Vol. 65, No. 2, 98–109 DOI: 10.1037/a0018378. <https://www.apa.org/pubs/journals/releases/amp-65-2-98.pdf>

	Acknowledge Alliance*	Boys and Girls Clubs of the Peninsula	Fresh Lifelines for Youth	StarVista Insights*	StarVista SOY*	Success Centers*	YMCA of San Francisco
Social-Emotional Learning ¹⁶			✓ ✗				
Transtheoretical Model (Stages of Change Model) ¹⁷	✓	✓					
Trauma-Informed Practice/Care ¹⁸	✓	✓	✓ ✗	✗	✓		✓ ✗

* Practices based on what was reported in previous fiscal years.

** Evidence-based practice according to the Center for Evidence-Based Practices.¹⁹ Elsewhere rated as research-based for children in mental health treatment²⁰, but the Office of Justice Programs rates the use of motivational interviewing for juvenile substance abuse as having “no effect” for clients ages 14-19.²¹

✓ = JPCF ✗ = JJCPA

CRIMINOGENIC RISK

The assessment of criminogenic risk, or factors in a person’s life that relate to their propensity to recidivate or face additional problems with the criminal justice system, was assessed this fiscal year using 1) OYAS risk assessments administered by Deputy Probation Officers (DPOs), and 2) Other Risk Factors collected in the JJCPA database from Probation.

OYAS Diversion Risk Assessment – Probation Department

Probation staff used the OYAS-DIV assessment tool to assess the criminogenic risk of youth with low-level offenses to help determine the appropriate juvenile justice pathway. Each pathway is specific to the determination between diversion or formal processing through the court system for each youth. Cases where the type of charge requires a mandatory referral to the District Attorney’s Office or the Traffic Unit are exempt.

¹⁶ OJJDP Model Program Guide. (2015). Practice Profile: School-Based Social and Emotional Learning (SEL) Programs. <https://crimesolutions.ojp.gov/ratedpractices/39#pd>

¹⁷ LaMorte, W. W. (2018). The Transtheoretical Model (Stages of Change). Boston University School of Public Health. <http://sphweb.bumc.bu.edu/otlt/MPH-Modules/SB/BehavioralChangeTheories/BehavioralChangeTheories6.html>

¹⁸ SAMHSA. (2014). SAMHSA’s Concept of Trauma and Guidance for a Trauma-Informed Approach, p10. Pub ID#: SMA14-4884. <https://store.samhsa.gov/product/SAMHSA-s-Concept-of-Trauma-and-Guidance-for-a-Trauma-Informed-Approach/SMA14-4884>


¹⁹ Center for Evidence-Based Practices (2018). Motivational Interviewing. Case Western Reserve University. <https://www.centerforebp.case.edu/practices/mi>

²⁰ Washington State Institute for Public Policy. (2020). Updated Inventory of Evidence-Based, Research-Based, and Promising Practices: For Prevention and Intervention Services for Children and Juveniles in the Child Welfare, Juvenile Justice, and Mental Health Systems. http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/ReportFile/1727/Wsipp_Updated-Inventory-of-Evidence-Based-Research-Based-and-Promising-Practices-For-Prevention-and-Intervention-Services-for-Children-and-Juveniles-in-the-Child-Welfare-Juvenile-Justice-and-Mental-Health-Systems_Report.pdf

²¹ OJJDP Model Program Guide. (2011). Practice Profile: Motivational Interviewing (MI) for Substance Abuse Issues of Juveniles in a State Facility <https://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=180>

This tool was completed on 20 youths during FY 2024-25, of which 10 (50%) assessments fell in the range of “low” risk, 10 (50%) assessments fell in the range of “moderate” risk, and no assessments fell in the range of “high” risk (see Exhibit 15). Informed by the 20 OYAS-DIV assessments, nearly all (n=18, 90%) youths were diverted, no youths were Juvenile Court ordered (Informal 654.2 or 725), and two youths had their referral closed. The final determination for 100% of youths categorized as “low” risk and 80% of youths categorized as “moderate” risk was diversion.

Exhibit 15. OYAS- DIV Criminogenic Risk Categories, by Diversion Status



Notes: Criminogenic risk categories from OYAS-DIV assessments and diversion status derived from Probation databases for N=20, including n=10 for “low”, n=10 for “moderate”, and n=0 for “high”. The exhibit displays the number of youth assessments by final risk category and status of the youth’s case.


Six items of the OYAS-DIV assessment were used to obtain the criminogenic risk score. The results show that seven of the 20 assessments were completed on youths who had their first contact with the juvenile justice system at 15 or younger (35%), and two assessments indicate parents or caregivers were having a difficult time supervising the youth’s activities (10%), one youth was charged with a felony (5%), and three youths had close family members who had been arrested (15%). None of the youths had prior offenses or had a prior history with Probation.

OYAS Disposition Risk Assessment – Probation Department

In addition, DPOs collected information from youths utilizing the OYAS disposition tool (OYAS-DIS) after their disposition hearing. This assessment included a youth’s criminogenic risk status plus the assessment of key barriers and strengths possessed by youths across seven domains (found in the *Youth Strengths and Support Needs* section of this report). DPOs completed a total of 110 assessments on 92 youths during FY 2024-25. Of the 92 youths’ first assessments with supervision status information, 79 (86%) were rated “low” risk, 13 (14%) were rated “moderate” risk, and zero youths were rated “high” risk (Exhibit 16). *General Supervision* status was assigned

to 87 of the 92 youths (95%), with the next highest supervision status, and *Gang Supervision* status, assigned to five of the 92 (5%) youths.

Exhibit 16. OYAS- DIS Criminogenic Risk Categories, by Supervision Status




Notes: Criminogenic risk categories from OYAS-DIS assessments and supervision status both derived from Probation databases. N=92 total assessments, including n=79 for “low”, n=13 for “moderate”, and n=0 for “high”.

Other Risk Indicators


JJCPA programs collected additional risk-related indicators, including whether youths had any of the following at program entry: an alcohol or other drug problem, an attendance problem, or a suspension or expulsion in the past year. As shown in Exhibit 17, across all programs (denoted by the purple lines) 7% of youths had an alcohol or drug problem upon entry, 19% had an attendance problem, and 16% had been suspended or expelled in the past year.

Exhibit 17. Risk Indicators at Program Entry, by JJCPA Program


Percentage of Youths Identified with an Alcohol or Drug Problem



Percentage of Youths Identified with an Attendance Problem



Percentage of Youths Identified with a Suspension/Expulsion




Note: All programs N=68, FPP n=3, FLY n=2, Juvenile SVCS CRT/DIV Unit n=55, Insights n=12. All programs count includes unique youths, while program level counts include youths served by more than one program. *Indicates that data were suppressed due to a sample size below five. Overall averages include suppressed data.

YOUTH STRENGTHS AND SUPPORT NEEDS

Ohio Youth Assessment System— Disposition Tool (OYAS-DIS)

DPOs collected information from youths utilizing the OYAS-DIS assessment at the time of their court case disposition which included key barriers and strengths possessed by youths across seven domains. The domains and individual items on the OYAS-DIS assessment identify youths' assets to help them achieve their goals. Exhibit 18 shows the percentage of youths who possess each asset. The *Values, Beliefs, and Attitudes* domain was a strength for the highest percentage of youths assessed, including youths who felt that they can change (100%) and seeing the need to stop breaking the law (97%). Other significant assets of most youths included contact with at least one biological or adoptive parent (97%), no alcohol consumed in the last month (96%), and system contact at 14 years or older (93%). Significant challenges for youths included small percentages of youths who had not been suspended from school (25%), who had not been arrested with friends (57%), or whose friends did not fight (67%).

Exhibit 18. Percentage of Youths with Each Asset, by OYAS-DIS Domain and Item




Source: OYAS-DIS assessment. N=92.

Child and Adolescent Strengths and Needs (CANS)

YMCA SF and StarVista’s SOY and Insights programs continued to collect baseline and follow-up Child and Adolescent Needs and Strengths (CANS) assessments on the youths that they served. This provided an opportunity to share the strengths and outcomes of up to 123 youths (see Appendix E for a description of the CANS domains). Exhibit 19 shows that about one of every five

youths needed support in *Life Functioning* (20%), *Behavioral or Emotional* support (18%), and/or *School* (15%) at program entry. In addition, nearly one out of every 10 caregivers (11%) of the youths served by these three programs was identified as needing help to support their child.

Exhibit 19. Percentage of Youths with an Actionable Need per CANS Core Domain



Note: n=111-123. Domains not reported had too few or no youth assessment data.

JJCPA JUVENILE JUSTICE OUTCOMES

Overall

Each JJCPA-funded program provides data on five youth outcomes:

- Arrest rate for a new law violation;
- Probation violation rate;
- Detention rate;
- Court-ordered restitution completion rate; and
- Court-ordered community service completion rate.

San Mateo County has elected to report two outcomes at 180 days post-entry, new law violations and the probation violation rate, as this provides a standardized snapshot of San Mateo County system-involved youth. The past year’s cohort of youths, whose six-month milestone occurred in FY 2023-24, served as the comparison or reference group to interpret FY 2024-25 outcomes. Program and Probation staff entered participant background information and the required outcome data into a JJCPA Database that ASR analyzed for this report.

The exhibits in the following section present two of the justice outcomes across funded programs for youths whose six-month evaluation period occurred in FY 2024-25. When reviewing the JJCPA outcome data, there are several important factors to note:

- The number of cases upon which percentages were based varied by program outcome.²² Program outcomes per number of cases reported were based upon several factors:

²² For some programs and outcomes, the number of cases in the sample is very small and can cause unstable results in year-over-year comparisons.


arrests for new law violations are for all youths whose six-month evaluation period occurred in FY 2024-25; probation violations were calculated for youths who were wards of the court.

- Results for probation violations and arrests for new law violations were based on filed charges, not all of which will be sustained. Additionally, Deputy Probation Officers (DPOs) may have given a youth a probation violation for not following the conditions of their probation, including conditions such as arrests for a new law violations, not attending school, breaking curfew, testing positive for alcohol or drugs, or associating with a gang member. This behavior may have resulted in a consequence that includes a YSC-JH stay but not necessarily includes a police arrest.

Exhibit 20 portrays the results across four JJCPA programs. (JUV SVCS CRT/DIV, FLY, FPP, Insights). When compared with FY 2024-25, the following was true for youths who participated in programs funded by JJCPA:

- The percentage of youths arrested for new law violations in FY 2024-25 was 2% (three out of 145 youths) compared to 4% (seven out of 158 youths) from the prior fiscal year.
- The total number of youths with probation violations was 8% (one out of 12 youths), a smaller percentage compared to 21% (nine out of 42 youths) reported in the prior fiscal year.

Exhibit 20. Juvenile Justice Outcomes within 180 days, San Mateo County



Note: In FY 2024-25, N=145 was used to calculate the percentage of Youths Arrested for a New Law Violation. N=12 was used to calculate the percentage of Youths with Probation Violations.

JUSTICE OUTCOMES BY PROGRAM

The justice outcomes for JJCPA-funded programs are found in the following section. Of note, justice outcomes were reported only for JJCPA programs that served at least five eligible youths in the fiscal year or in prior years.

Fresh Lifelines for Youth (FLY)

Five youths served by JJCPA-FLY in FY 2024-25 were on formal probation at the 180-day evaluation. As shown in Exhibit 21, no youths served by JJCPA-FLY were arrested for a new violation or had a probation violation in FY 2024-25.

Exhibit 21. FLY Justice Outcomes (JJCPA Only, 180 Days Post Entry)

JUSTICE OUTCOMES	FY 20-21	FY 21-22	FY 22-23	FY 23-24	FY 24-25
Youths Arrested for a New Law Violation	10%	10%	14%	0%	0%
Youths with a Probation Violation	17%	7%	*	0%	0%

Note: In FY 2024-25, N=5 was used to calculate the percentage of Youths Arrested for a New Law Violation. N=5 was used to calculate the percentage of Youths with Probation Violations. For sample sizes by year, please see Appendix C. *Indicates that data were suppressed due to a sample size below five. FLY justice outcomes data is self-reported and not verified in the probation database.

Family Preservation Program (FPP)

Two of the three youths served by FPP in FY 2024-25 were on formal probation at the 180-day evaluation. As shown in Exhibit 22, data for arrests for new law violations was suppressed due to a sample size of less than five youths (N=3). Data for probation violations was suppressed due to a sample size of less than five youths on formal probation (N=2) served by FPP in FY 2024-25.

Exhibit 22. FPP Justice Outcomes (JJCPA Only, 180 Days Post Entry)

JUSTICE OUTCOMES	FY 20-21	FY 21-22	FY 22-23	FY 23-24	FY 24-25
Youths Arrested for a New Law Violation	48%	0%	*	80%	*
Youths with a Probation Violation	52%	0%	*	20%	*

Note: In FY 2024-25, N=3 was used to calculate the percentage of Youths Arrested for a New Law Violation. N=2 was used to calculate the percentage of Youths with Probation Violations. *Indicates that data were suppressed due to a sample size below five. For sample sizes by year, please see Appendix C.

Juvenile Services Court/Diversion Unit (JUV SVCS CRT/DIV)

Of the 131 youths with a six-month evaluation served by JUV SVCS CRT/DIV in FY 2024-25, two youths were on formal probation at the 180-day evaluation. As shown in Exhibit 23, two youths served by JUV SVCS CRT/DIV (2%) were arrested for a new violation. Data for probation violations was suppressed due to a sample size of less than five youths on formal probation (N=2) served by JUV SVCS CRT/DIV in FY 2024-25.

Exhibit 23. JUV SVCS CRT/DIV Unit Justice Outcomes (JJCPA Only, 180 Days Post Entry)

JUSTICE OUTCOMES	FY 20-21	FY 21-22	FY 22-23	FY 23-24	FY 24-25
Youths Arrested for a New Law Violation	7%	3%	1%	0%	2%
Youths with a Probation Violation	*	*	*	*	*

Note: In FY 2024-25, N=131 was used to calculate the percentage of Youths Arrested for a New Law Violation. N=2 was used to calculate the percentage of Youths with Probation Violations. *Indicates that data were suppressed due to a sample size below five. For sample sizes by year, please see Appendix C.

StarVista Insights

Of the six youths with a six-month evaluation served by Insights in FY 2024-25, three were on formal probation at the 180-day evaluation. As shown in Exhibit 24, no youths (0%) served by Insights were arrested for a new violation. Data for probation violations was suppressed due to a sample size of less than five youths on formal probation (N=3) served by Insights in FY 2024-25.

Exhibit 24. StarVista Insights Justice Outcomes (JJCPA Only, 180 Days Post Entry)

JUSTICE OUTCOMES	FY 20-21	FY 21-22	FY 22-23	FY 23-24	FY 24-25
Youths Arrested for a New Law Violation	2%	0%	6%	9%	0%
Youths with a Probation Violation	20%	6%	29%	29%	*

Note: In FY 2024-25, N=6 was used to calculate the percentage of Youths Arrested for a New Law Violation. N=3 was used to calculate the percentage of Youths with Probation Violations. *Indicates that data were suppressed due to a sample size below five. For sample sizes by year, please see Appendix C. StarVista Insights justice outcomes data is self-reported and not verified in the probation database.

ADDITIONAL PROGRAM-LEVEL OUTCOMES

Highlights of program-specific outcome data for all programs that elected to share are found in the following section.

Acknowledge Alliance (Acknowledge)

Acknowledge intended to but was unable to provide services in FY 2024-25.

Exhibit 25. Acknowledge Alliance Performance Measures

JPCF PERFORMANCE MEASURES	FY 24-25 TARGET	FY 24-25 RESULTS
Percentage of youths who reported that counseling helped them deal with things that made them mad or frustrated them in life	70%	---
Percentage of youths who reported that counseling helped them to be more aware of their feelings	70%	---

Boys and Girls Clubs of the Peninsula (BGCP)

BGCP reports on two performance measures specific to its activities to further understand the outcomes of youth in their program. BGCP met one goal and came close to meeting its other goal as shown in Exhibit 26.

Exhibit 26. BGCP Performance Measures

JPCF PERFORMANCE MEASURES	FY 24-25 TARGET	FY 24-25 RESULTS
Percentage of youths who reported that they are engaged and building skills because of the program	80%	76%
Percentage of youths who reported developing supportive and positive relationships because of the program	80%	81%

Family Preservation Program (FPP)

FPP was effective in meeting its goal of keeping families intact, underscoring its central goal to keep youth in their homes. None of the three youths served were given an out-of-home placement order in FY 2024-25.

Fresh Lifelines for Youth (FLY)

FLY reported on eight performance measures for each of their JPCF- and JJCPA-funded programs. As shown in Exhibit 27, they met or exceeded their targets in all measures.


Exhibit 27. FLY Program-Specific Outcomes

JJCPA PERFORMANCE MEASURES	FY 24-25 TARGET	FY 24-25 RESULTS
Law Program		
The percentage of youths enrolled in the Law Program that reported the programs gave them access to positive adult role models	80%	100%
The percentage of youths enrolled in the Law Program that reported they are less likely to break the law after being in FLY	80%	80%
The percentage of youths enrolled in the Law Program that reported the programs gave them more confidence to deal with negative peer pressure	80%	100%
Leadership Program		
The percentage of youths enrolled in the Leadership Program that reported the programs gave them access to positive adult role models	80%	100%
The percentage of youths enrolled in the Leadership Program that reported they are less likely to break the law after being in FLY	80%	80%
The percentage of youths enrolled in the Leadership Program that reported the programs gave them more confidence to deal with negative peer pressure	80%	80%
The percentage of youths enrolled in the Leadership Program who will not sustain a new charge during program year	75%	86%
Education improvement: The percentage of eligible seniors in the Leadership Program who attained a GED or HS diploma	60-80%	86%
JPCF PERFORMANCE MEASURES	FY 24-25 TARGET	FY 24-25 RESULTS
Law Program		
The percentage of youths enrolled in the Law Program that reported the programs gave them access to positive adult role models	80%	94%
The percentage of youths enrolled in the Law Program that reported they are less likely to break the law after being in FLY	80%	83%
The percentage of youths enrolled in the Law Program that reported the programs gave them more confidence to deal with negative peer pressure	80%	89%
Leadership Program		
The percentage of youths enrolled in the Leadership Program that reported the programs gave them access to positive adult role models	80%	100%
The percentage of youths enrolled in the Leadership Program that reported they are less likely to break the law after being in FLY	80%	80%
The percentage of youths enrolled in the Leadership Program that reported the programs gave them more confidence to deal with negative peer pressure	80%	90%
The percentage of youths enrolled in the Leadership Program who will not sustain a new charge during program year	75%	95%
Education improvement: The percentage of eligible seniors in the Leadership Program who attained a GED or HS diploma	60-80%	95%

Juvenile Services Court/Diversion Unit (JUV SVCS CRT/DIV)

One of the Juvenile Services Court/Diversion Unit’s goals was to reduce the number of Juvenile Hall stays by diverting youth away from detention. In general, between FY 2020-21 and FY 2024-25, the average daily population grew from 13 to 25 youths (92%) (Exhibit 28). However, from FY 2023-24 and FY 2024-25, the average number of youths in Juvenile Hall decreased by three (11%), from 28 to 25 total youths. Despite the slight decrease in average daily population from the prior fiscal year, there was a considerable increase in the total number of cases screened and managed by Court and Juvenile Diversion (see Exhibit 30 and the following section for further discussion).

Exhibit 28. Average Daily Population, by Fiscal Year




Source: Institutions Division Workload Analysis. Note: The average daily population does not include youth committed to Secure Track.

While fewer youths are being served relative to the recent five-year period, data collected for the 2020-25 Local Action Plan suggests that the needs of youth who are entering Juvenile Hall are more complex than in prior years and require significant resources and supervision.

Information on Cases Screened and Managed

In FY 2024-25, the Juvenile Services Court/Diversion Unit screened and managed 867 cases (Exhibit 29). Cases screened and managed continued to consist of youths adjudged under WIC Section 602 (formal wards of the Court or those who have committed criminal law offenses) and youths adjudged under WIC Section 601 (those with a history of truancy, running away, or out-of-control behavior at home and/or in school). For further details on how each case was processed through the system, please see Appendix F.

Exhibit 29. Total Number of Cases Screened and Managed, FY 2020-21 to FY 2024-25




Note: Data before FY 2022-23 include cases screened and managed through the Assessment Center/Investigations Unit. The Assessment Center merged with Investigations in FY 2021-22 and became the Juvenile Services Court/Diversion (CRT/DIV) Unit. Caseloads starting in FY 2022-23 include caseloads from Court and Juvenile Diversion.

Information on Number and Status of Diversion Contracts

Regarding diversion contracts in the Juvenile Services CRT/DIV Unit, there were six diversion contracts in FY 2024-25, two were 90-day intervention contracts, and four were six-month contracts. Out of the four contracts that closed in the fiscal year with information available, three (75%) closed as *Successful*, while one was unsuccessful. Two contracts remained *Active* at the end of the fiscal year (Exhibit 30).

Exhibit 30. Number and Status of Diversion Contracts



Source: Diversion data from Juvenile Services CRT/DIV Unit.

While six diversion contracts appear to be a low count, this is only one of many programs that divert youth from Juvenile Hall. Youth are also diverted through other programs such as Victim Impact Awareness (VIA), letter of reprimand, mediation, Petty Theft program, referred out-of-county, traffic court, and Youth Outreach program offered through Child Welfare Services.²³

StarVista Insights and SOY

As StarVista closed August 1, 2025, they did not provide FY 2024-25 performance measure results. Data on sustained charges was provided by the probation department.

Exhibit 31. StarVista Insights and SOY Performance Measures

INSIGHTS JJCPA PERFORMANCE MEASURES	FY 24-25 TARGET	FY 24-25 RESULTS*
The percentage of youth enrolled in counseling services who reported that they are less likely to use alcohol or drugs because of the program	80%	N/A
The percentage of families participating in Family Therapy who reported that they developed new skills to deal with challenging adolescent behavior because of the program	80%	N/A
The percentage of families participating in Parent Support Group who reported that the program helped them strengthen the parent teen relationship	80%	N/A
The percentage of youth enrolled in the VIA Education Preparation who did not sustain a new charge during the program year	80%	100%
SOY JPCF PERFORMANCE MEASURES	FY 24-25 TARGET	FY 24-25 RESULTS*
The percentage of youth enrolled in SOY who reported that counseling helped them recognize their skills and strengths	80%	N/A
The percentage of youth enrolled in SOY who reported that counseling helped them deal with issues that come up in day-to-day life	80%	N/A
The percentage of youth enrolled in SOY who reported that they are less likely to use alcohol or drugs because of the program	80%	N/A

*StarVista closed operations as of August 1, 2025 and did not provide performance measure results.

Additional information on StarVista Insights Parent Support Group

Although parent/caregiver data are not included with the overall reporting of the youth profiles in the evaluation findings, Insights provided services to two group rounds of parents/caregivers in both English and Spanish language. Insights noted consistent challenges in FY2024-25 in securing referrals for court-involved youth and their families for the program services.

²³ There has been a recent shift in the juvenile justice system, with fewer referrals to Probation compared to the past few years. San Mateo Police Department (SMPD) diverts cases before even getting to Probation. The referrals that get sent to Probation are more severe cases and can be sent to the District Attorney’s (DA) office based on the type of the offense.

Additional information on StarVista Victim Impact Awareness (VIA)

According to VIA participant data for South County, 53 youths enrolled in the program provided by StarVista Insights in FY 2024-25. Of these, seven in every 10 youths graduated from the VIA program. Among the 37 graduates, 13 (35%) completed the mediation requirement. Information on mediation completion was not known for 24 graduates (59%) at the time of this report.

Success Centers (SC)

SC had three performance measures for each of their JJCPA- and JPCF-funded programs. They did not meet their targeted goals this fiscal year.

JJCPA PERFORMANCE MEASURES	FY 24-25 TARGET	FY 24-25 RESULTS
The percentage of youths participating in Job Readiness Training who reported the program helped them improve soft/hard skills	80%	35%
The percentage of youths participating in Job Placement who attained employment	50%	0%
The percentage of youths receiving Career Advisor services who reported developing supportive and positive relationships because of the program	80%	35%
JPCF PERFORMANCE MEASURES	FY 24-25 TARGET	FY 24-25 RESULTS
The percentage of youths participating in Job Readiness Training who reported the program helped them improve soft/hard skills	80%	57%
The percentage of youths participating in Job Placement who attained employment	50%	0%
The percentage of youths receiving case management services who reported developing supportive and positive relationships because of the program	80%	57%

YMCA of San Francisco (YMCASF)

YMCASF reported on two performance measures for their JJCPA-funded programs – one for Sexual Violence Prevention (SVP) which no youths participated, and one for their VIA program in which they exceeded their performance goal (Exhibit 32). They met two of their three goals for the JPCF-funded School Safety Advocate Program. The third was for their drug and alcohol prevention group, which received no referrals.

Exhibit 32. YMCASF Performance Measures

JJCPA PERFORMANCE MEASURES	FY 24-25 TARGET	FY 24-25 RESULTS
The percentage of youths enrolled in SVP who reported the group sessions helped them deal with issues that come up in day-to-day life	80%	N/A
The percentage of youths enrolled in the VIA Education Preparation who did not sustain a new charge during the program year	80%	100%
JPCF PERFORMANCE MEASURES	FY 24-25 TARGET	FY 24-25 RESULTS
The percentage of youths enrolled in SSA who reported that they are engaged and building skills because of the program	80%	80%
The percentage of youths enrolled in SSA who reported that they are developing supportive and positive relationships because of the program	80%	85%
The percentage of youths participating in alcohol and drug prevention groups who reported that they are less likely to use alcohol or drugs because of the program	80%	N/A

YMCASF continued to utilize CANS to support case management and evaluation this fiscal year. Youth behavioral and emotional needs, risk behavior, and needs for caregiver support significantly declined from program entry to exit among the 110 youths served by YMCASF with this data available.

Additional information on YMCASF Victim Impact Awareness (VIA)

According to VIA participant data for North County, 50 youths enrolled in the program provided by YMCASF in FY 2024-25. Of these, seven in every 10 youths graduated from the VIA program. Among the 35 graduates, 22 (63%) completed the mediation requirement. Information on mediation completion was not known for 13 participants (37%) at the time of this report.

CLIENT STORIES

Each year, staff at JPCF- and JJCPA-funded programs collect client stories to help illustrate the impact of services on their clients. The following stories are examples of client successes for FY 2024-25 (see Exhibits 33-36).

Exhibit 33. Client Success Story (BGCP, JPCF-funded program)

Name of client	Kari (pseudonym)
Age and gender	15-year-old female
Reason for referral	The client was referred to our program because she was not passing three of her core classes and was having minor behavioral issues.
Client’s behavior, affect, and appearance when they first started in the program	During a first meeting with Kari, she opened up very quickly and expressed interest in getting to know BGCP staff, asking the same “get-to-know-you” questions first posed to her. Her main challenges included getting easily distracted in class, especially when she got stuck on a particular in-class assignment. She became discouraged when she asked her teachers for help because they could not immediately support her or were unable to explain the material clearly. This pattern eventually led to her giving up on assignments that were challenging and becoming distracted by her friends.
Activity engagement and consistency	An initial conversation around academics revealed that she stopped trying because she was worried that she would get something wrong or make a mistake. This was especially challenging for her in Algebra, where she struggled to get the support she needed from her teacher. BGCP staff guided her through self-reflection on why failing is important to learning and success, and a first goal set for her was to “try to fail”--at first, she was confused about this goal, but after reflecting, she realized that getting in the habit of trying would keep her from getting distracted so easily in class.
Client’s behavior, affect, and appearance toward the end of the program	Now, the student is taking more control of her learning and seems more confident in the work that she is producing. BGCP staff saw a huge improvement in her dedication to creating a thorough presentation for a class assignment that was a portfolio of her freshman year through projects she had completed. Although the instructions to the assignment were very confusing and overwhelming, she took it upon herself to ask for support from BGCP staff, her friends and peers, and a trusted teacher at her school, whom Kari felt comfortable going to for help. She attended the teacher’s office hours during lunch and joined tutoring hours after school. She created an amazing presentation and passed with flying colors!
What the client learned as a result of the program	Kari expressed learning from participating in BGCP’s programs, “Yes, I’ve learned to put effort into my work in class, even the work that’s challenging to me, I would try my best because I learned that it’s better to get a low grade than a 0.”
What the client is doing differently in their life now as a result of the program	The student is showing more resilience, self-confidence, and taking initiative in her own learning process and development.
The value of the program in the client’s words	The student is doing well in the program and may be moved to bi-weekly meetings after the first fall quarter once school starts. She ended her year earning enough credits to stay on track for graduation and did not need to attend summer school. She is also taking the initiative in completing regular check-ins with BGCP staff to provide updates on how things are going for her and what she needs support with. Kari responds well to 1:1 support and mentorship. She believes that the value of BGCP’s program is “to help us [students] out and give us advice to make ourselves better in school.”

Exhibit 34. Client Success Story (YMCA SF, JPCF-funded program)

Name of client	Shelby (pseudonym)
Age and gender	12-year-old female
Reason for referral	The client was referred to the School Safety Advocates (SSA) program for challenges with managing anxiety and stress.
Client’s behavior, affect, and appearance when they first started in the program	The client appeared anxious and had difficulty in social situations with new teachers, coaches, and peers. The client felt fearful of judgement and rejection from others.
Activity engagement and consistency	Throughout the school year the client developed and practiced effective coping strategies that strengthened her ability to engage with others, client used writing as a way to express feelings.
Client’s behavior, affect, and appearance toward the end of the program	The client is more engaged, outgoing, and self-assured.
What the client learned as a result of the program	The client learned self-acceptance, coping strategies, and built confidence to engage with others in social situations.
What the client is doing differently in their life now as a result of the program	The client is engaging more in extra-curricular activities.
The value of the program in the client’s words	“My time with [SSA staff] has been so helpful. I feel heard and have learned to be more okay with myself. I’ve appreciated being able to share my crochet and writing with [SSA staff]. I think it’s cool [SSA staff] encourage me to use my experiences with anxiety and other difficult things in my writing.”

Exhibit 35. Client Success Story (Juvenile Services Court/Diversion, JJCPA-funded program)

Name of client	Maribel (pseudonym)
Age and gender	15-year-old female
Reason for referral	Maribel was referred by police due to a petty theft charge. Maribel was placed on a 90-day diversion contract. At the time, her mother reported some concerns about her inconsistent school attendance and some need for individual therapy.
Client’s behavior, affect, and appearance when they first started in the program	When the youth first started the program, she presented as quiet and withdrawn, with a noticeably anxious affect. She appeared guarded and hesitant to engage in conversations, especially when law enforcement or authority figures were present. Her body language suggested discomfort and uncertainty, and she often avoided eye contact. During the initial meeting, it became clear that she was dealing with family-related stress and had limited communication with her mother. Despite these challenges, she was respectful, listened attentively, and showed a willingness to participate, even if cautiously at first.
Activity engagement and consistency	She consistently met with a psychiatric social worker for individual therapy sessions and with a community worker for case management to check on the progress of diversion contract requirements.
Client’s behavior, affect, and appearance toward the end of the program	Maribel initially presented as quiet, guarded, and uncertain about the Youth Outreach Program's (YOP) role in supporting her through this process. However, over time and through consistent weekly sessions with her therapist, she became more open and communicative, especially when discussing her treatment goals and the requirements of her diversion contract. Maribel demonstrated initiative in developing her treatment goals and remained committed, working diligently each week to achieve them.
What the client learned as a result of the program	As a result of this program, the youth gained a deeper understanding of the consequences of her actions—particularly the seriousness and long-term impact of petty theft. She also learned the importance of open and honest communication, both with her peers and her mother. Through guided reflection and support, she developed healthier ways to express herself, ask for help when needed, and build trust within her relationships. These lessons have helped her begin to make more thoughtful choices and take responsibility for her behavior.
What the client is doing differently in their life now as a result of the program	As a result of the program, Maribel is now more engaged in positive and productive activities. She has become actively involved in the community by participating in community service projects during the summer. She is also taking the initiative to seek employment, showing responsibility and a desire for independence. Most importantly, Maribel is making better choices and staying out of trouble, demonstrating personal growth and a commitment to positive change.
The value of the program in the client’s words	The client expressed that the program helped her better understand the consequences of her actions and gave her tools to make better choices in the future. She appreciated having a safe space to talk and reflect on her behavior, as well as the opportunity to improve her relationship with her mother.

Exhibit 36. Client Success Story (SC, JJCPA-funded program)

Name of client	Nicholas (pseudonym)
Age and gender	17-year-old male
Reason for referral	The client joined programs after being referred by their probation officer. The referral was made to provide the client with additional support and resources aimed at improving their life skills, job readiness, and overall positive development as part of their probation requirements.
Client’s behavior, affect, and appearance when they first started in the program	When the client first joined the program, he was very respectful and consistently on time for all his appointments. He was quiet and avoided eye contact, showing some hesitation in opening up. However, his consistent presence and respectful demeanor demonstrated a willingness to engage and make progress despite initial shyness.
Activity engagement and consistency	Nicholas participated in various program activities, including career exploration sessions, resume development, and job readiness training. He also took part in mock interviews and goal-setting exercises. His engagement was very consistent—he attended all scheduled appointments on time, followed through on assignments, and showed genuine interest in improving his skills and preparing for employment.
Client’s behavior, affect, and appearance toward the end of the program	Toward the end of the program, Nicholas showed noticeable growth in both confidence and communication. He became more talkative, maintained eye contact, and actively engaged in discussions during sessions. His overall demeanor was more positive and focused, and he carried himself with a sense of purpose. The quiet and reserved young man who started the program had transformed into someone more self-assured and ready to take the next steps toward employment and personal development.
What the client learned as a result of the program	As a result of the program, Nicholas learned valuable job readiness skills such as creating a professional resume, preparing for interviews, and effective workplace communication. He developed greater self-awareness, goal-setting abilities, and confidence in taking initiative. During the program, Nicholas successfully gained employment at a store, which gave him practical work experience. However, he is still actively seeking a better trade and a long-term career that aligns with his goals.
What the client is doing differently in their life now as a result of the program	As a result of the program, Nicholas has developed a more proactive approach to his career and personal development. He consistently follows through on appointments and tasks, actively seeks out job opportunities, and communicates more confidently with employers and peers. Additionally, he is still working on his GED, showing commitment to improving his education alongside his career goals. Nicholas is more focused on setting and achieving long-term goals, demonstrating increased responsibility and motivation in his daily life.
The value of the program in the client’s words	Nicholas shared that the program has been a turning point for him. He said, “This program gave me the tools and confidence I needed to start building a better future. Learning how to create and improve my resume helped me a lot to get a job. It helped me understand what employers are looking for and showed me that with hard work, I can achieve my goals.”

Progress on Recommended Local Action Plan Strategies

The 2020-2025 Local Action Plan process identified five core strategies to address the needs of youth and their families and to promote the desired outcomes of: improved behavioral health, the cultivation of positive pathways for youth, strong family engagement and support, improved access to high-quality and culturally responsive services, and well-coordinated and responsive systems to prevent justice involvement (Exhibit 37).

Exhibit 37. Summary of Priority Areas, Key Opportunities, & Potential Outcomes from 2020-2025 Local Action Plan

PRIORITIES	KEY OPPORTUNITIES	POTENTIAL OUTCOMES
BEHAVIORAL HEALTH		
Mental Health*	Increase availability of treatment modalities that work for at-risk youth	Stronger engagement in services and improved treatment outcomes for youth
Substance Use*	Expand participation in addiction programs designed for youth	Increase in the number of youth in treatment and managing their substance use
Trauma-specific	Increase individualized services to mitigate the effects of trauma in youth’s lives	Increase in the number of youth accessing services to address trauma; Increase in ability to cope with trauma-related stress
School-based Counseling	Increase capacity to provide mental health services and supports for youth at school	Increase in the number of youth accessing MH/BH services
Family Therapy	Provide evidence-based programs focused on strengthening family relationships and understanding trauma	Increase family functioning; Improve family communication
POSITIVE PATHWAYS FOR YOUTH		
Prosocial Opportunities	Increase asset building and leadership in “hours of opportunity”	Youth strengthen developmental assets/protective factors; Increase self-efficacy; Decrease justice-involvement
Mentorship*	Connect youth with consistent and relatable mentors	Increase the number of youth who have at least one caring adult in their life; Increase the number of youth who stay on track
School Engagement	Increase opportunities and programs to reduce truancy, and increase connection to school	Decrease school absenteeism and dropout rates
Technical and Career Training*	Seek partnership with local companies for training and internship opportunities	Increase youth career skills and job opportunities with local companies

Innovation in Juvenile Justice	Collect data to evaluate the quality of implementation and impact of innovative programs	Understand the reach and impact of innovative programs in the short and longer term; Demonstrate a decrease in arrest and recidivism rates
Reentry Support*	Increase capacity of psychiatric social workers and wraparound teams to keep youth on a positive path post-release; Warmer handoffs for greater continuity of pre- to post-release services	Increase access to MH/BH and education services during reentry; decrease recidivism
PARENT EDUCATION AND SUPPORT		
Family Engagement*	Meet families where they are to connect them to community supports and other resources	Increase the number of families accessing support; Increase family functioning and social supports
Parenting Skills	Engage families in services that support positive parenting skills	Increase the number of families who learn the skills to provide the balance of structure and support youth’s needs
ACCESS TO EFFECTIVE SERVICES		
Barriers to Access Services	Increase affordability for at-risk youth and families to access beneficial services	Increase in the number of families who overcome financial barriers to access services
Culturally & Linguistically Responsive Services*	Increase cultural sensitivity of materials and services; Increase availability of services in home languages (e.g., MH services in Spanish)	Increase the number of youth and families who access and benefit from services
Program Quality & Sustainability	Increase funding for quality programs that benefit at-risk youth	Increase funding to sustain innovation and programs with demonstrated effectiveness; Increase the number of youths who stay connected to programs and services that help them
ALIGNMENT AND COORDINATION OF SYSTEMS		
Align and Coordinate Services	Outreach to understand the communication needs of providers and develop methods to meet those needs (e.g., re-establish multidisciplinary provider teams for incarcerated youth)	Increase communication among providers; Increase the number of youth whose needs are addressed in a more coordinated way
Prevention & Early Intervention System (PEI)	Coordinate cross-sector PEI early warning partnership to identify and address risk at onset	Increase the number of children and youth who improve behavior and coping skills that decrease their likelihood of entry into the justice system
Trauma-Informed*	Reinvest in comprehensive cross-sector, trauma-informed training, and community of practice	Providers and educators better understand trauma and how to respond to trauma-based behavior in children and youth

*Included in prior LAP

SUMMARY OF FUNDED PROGRAMS AND STRATEGIES

As seen in Exhibit 38 below, JJCPA- and JPCF-funded programs provide a continuum of services for youth and their families that align with the areas of focus established in the current LAP.

Exhibit 38. Strategies, by Funding Source and Program

JPCF PROGRAMS	STRATEGY
Acknowledge	Mental health counseling, trauma-informed practice, school-based counseling, culturally responsive services
BGCP	School engagement, mentorship, trauma-informed care
FLY	Career training, prosocial opportunities, school engagement, mentorship, trauma-informed care
SOY	Mental health counseling, substance use prevention, trauma-informed systems, school-based counseling
SC	Prosocial opportunities, technical and career training
YMCASF	Mental health counseling, substance abuse prevention and early intervention, trauma-informed systems, school-based counseling
JJCPA PROGRAMS	STRATEGY
FPP	Referrals to family therapy, information, and referrals for services for alcohol and drug treatment, behavioral skills, development/decision-making skills
FLY	Career training, prosocial opportunities, school engagement, mentorship, trauma-informed care
JUV SVCS CRT/DIV	Information and referral to services for alcohol and drug treatment, behavioral skills, development/decision-making skills
Insights	Mental health counseling, substance use prevention, trauma-informed systems, parenting skills
SC	Prosocial opportunities, technical and career training
YMCASF	Innovation in juvenile justice

2024-25 LAP PROGRESS BY PRIORITY AREA

The following section outlines both ongoing and new efforts from JPCF and JJCPA-funded programs and Probation in FY 2024–25 that responded to the priorities of the 2020–25 LAP. Exhibit 39 summarizes the LAP’s priority outcome areas and highlights activities and progress made toward the desired changes. FY 2024–25 represents the final year of the 2020-25 LAP.

Exhibit 39. Summary of FY 2024-25 LAP Priority Outcome Areas, Current Activities, and Results

OUTCOME AREA	CURRENT ACTIVITIES, RESULTS, AND NEEDS
BEHAVIORAL HEALTH	
Mental Health	SOY, Insights, and YMCASF provided mental health counseling to youth.
Substance Use	Insights provided substance use treatment.
Trauma-specific	<p>BGCP, FLY, and YMCASF all reported using trauma-informed care, practices, or systems.</p> <p>FLY uses Social-Emotional Learning (SEL) activities to transform trauma into opportunities for healing and help youth develop their own leadership identity. MBA provided professional development training to probation staff about trauma-informed tools to help staff maintain wellness, regulate emotions, and communicate more effectively with youth who are detained.</p>
School-based Counseling	SOY and YMCASF continued to provide school-based counseling.
Family Therapy	Insights' Family Therapy worked with caregivers to develop new skills to deal with challenging adolescent behavior.
POSITIVE PATHWAYS FOR YOUTH	
Prosocial Opportunities	<p>BGCP and FLY used curriculum and interventions that focus on building and boosting youth's strengths and developmental assets.</p> <p>All youth accepted into FLY's Leadership program learn how to set personal, educational, and professional goals, and to engage in leadership and community activism. With guidance from FLY case managers, youth design, plan, and engage in a service-learning project to address an issue in their communities. In addition to providing community service to their neighborhoods, youth understand how their choices and actions can create positive outcomes for themselves and others.</p>
Mentorship	<p>FLY and BGCP linked youth with mentors to support healthy development and help navigate challenges and opportunities.</p> <p>81% of youths served by BGCP reported developing positive and supportive relationships.</p> <p>At least 94% of youths participating in FLY's implemented programs reported access to positive adult role models.</p>
School Engagement	BGCP continued using the research-based <i>Check & Connect</i> intervention for K-12 students where mentors can monitor student performance and keep them engaged in school.
Technical and Career Training	SC held over 35 Job Readiness Training workshops over the year, covering topics such as computer literacy, mock interviews, college and career readiness, and goal setting. Two youths who had consistently met with their career advisor to update their resume and attended the workshops got jobs.

Innovation in Juvenile Justice Probation and CBOs prioritized using restorative justice approaches, including trauma-informed care and VIA.
100% of youths enrolled in the YMCASF VIA Education Preparation and the Insights VIA Education Preparation did not sustain a new charge during the program year.

Reentry Support FLY continued to provide support for reentry. FLY provided more intensive case management services to youths and helped them manage their resources for support. This effort was supported by other funding streams (YOBG and JIRBG). See the YOBG and JIRBG Comprehensive Report for more information.

PARENT EDUCATION AND SUPPORT

Family Engagement FPP, Insights, and YMCASF all engaged families in their programs where appropriate.
FPP's main objective is to improve family relationships, and no youth was given an out-of-home placement order.

Parenting Skills Insights' Parent Support Group taught caregivers to improve their relationships with their teens.

ACCESS TO EFFECTIVE SERVICES

Barriers to Access Services To increase access to services for families in high-need areas who experience financial hardship, all programs are offered free of charge to youth and their families.

To minimize transportation barriers for youth, services are provided on school campuses and via virtual models. In these ways, Probation has been able to better reach and meet the needs of youth and families through virtual, in-person, and hybrid service delivery.
Programs deliver culturally and linguistically appropriate services by hiring bilingual and bicultural staff and provide training to staff on topics of diversity and cultural competency.

Culturally & Linguistically Responsive Services CBOs and trained staff employ practices and interventions responsive to youth's cultural and linguistic needs. This includes, for example YMCASF, which uses cultural sensitivity in their practice when working with clients.

Program Quality & Sustainability An example of quality programming includes FLY meeting all of their performance measures including at least 80% of their youths reporting that the programs gave them more confidence to deal with negative peer pressure.
Another example of quality programming is at least 80% of youths enrolled in FLY's programs reported they are less likely to break the law after being in the program.
Program sustainability has been a challenge as some organizations were not able to provide services (due to space, limited referrals, and staffing) or folded this fiscal year for financial reasons.

ALIGNMENT AND COORDINATION OF SYSTEMS

Align and Coordinate Services Probation collaborates with a multi-disciplinary team through the Court and Diversion Unit to ensure that youth and their families have access to the full range of services and programs that support rehabilitation.
Probation convenes quarterly meetings with all contracted CBOs to strengthen coordination and service delivery.

Probation has a formal agreement with San Mateo County Behavioral Health and Recovery Services to ensure that all youth booked into the Youth Services Center-Juvenile Hall receive assessments in the areas of mental health, substance use, and sexual exploitation.

Probation has a formal agreement in place with the San Mateo County Health and the Human Services Agency to coordinate health care planning and access to health resources for youth.

Prevention & Early Intervention System

Probation actively engages in cross-agency partnerships to provide early intervention and prevention services aimed at reducing youth involvement in the child welfare and juvenile justice systems. Through collaboration with Human Services Agency’s Children and Family Services (CFS), Diversion and early intervention programs are offered, including Triple-P and 1:1 parenting coaching through the Youth Outreach Program (YOP). These services target youth and families at risk of child welfare and/or juvenile justice involvement.

In partnership with the San Mateo Police Department, Probation has assigned a DPO to be part of their Juvenile Prevention Services Program (PSP) team. PSP serves as an alternative to detention for at-risk youth, ages 11-17 providing early intervention, family support, and program services.

Probation provides trauma-informed training to Group Supervisors (GSs) and DPOs. Probation held 26 trainings during FY 2024-25. Below represents a listing of the trainings and the number of times they occurred. Additionally, three staff attended Healing and Trauma-Informed Design Principles for Secure Facilities hosted by the Office of Youth and Community Restoration (OYCR).

Trauma-Informed

- Crisis Intervention Training (3)
- Motivational Interviewing (4)
- One Minute Counselor (3)
- Principles into Practice (2)
- Healing and Trauma-Informed Design Principles for Secure Facilities (3 staff attended hosted by OYCR)
- Reflection Café (7)
- Trauma Informed Systems (4)
- WhyTry (3)

2020-2025

San Mateo County Local Action Plan

Five Year Review

The 2020-2025 Local Action Plan (LAP), through a community-wide strategic planning process directed by the Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council (JJCC), identified five overarching outcomes. Highlights of the actions and results achieved by Probation and its Juvenile Probation Camp Funding (JPCF) and Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act (JJCPA) funded grantees over the five-year term are highlighted here.

4,719

Youth
Served*

5

Outcome
Areas

Outcome Area 1:

Behavioral Health

3,894

youth were served using **trauma-informed practices**.

3

CBOs (Acknowledge Alliance, StarVista, and YMCASF) **counseled youth** in schools and other settings.

2

CBOs (StarVista, and YMCASF) provided **substance use services**.

Outcome Area 2:

Positive Pathways for Youth

281

youth participated in **job readiness training** and **case management** services offered by Success Centers.

2

CBOs (FLY and BGCP) linked youths with **mentors** to support healthy development and help navigate challenges and opportunities.

Youth-Reported
Outcomes

73-96%

of youth served by BGCP reported developing positive and **supportive relationships**.

85-96%

of youth participating in FLY's programs reported access to **positive adult role models**.

*Youth served across multiple fiscal years are duplicated in the count, but not within a fiscal year.

Outcome Area 3:


Parent Education and Support

StarVista implemented the **Parent Support Group** from 2022-2025.

Zero youth in the Family Preservation Program required an **out-of-home placement**.

Outcome Area 4:

Access to Effective Services



All programs offered **free of charge** to youth and their families.

Same 6 CBOs offered services consistently throughout the 5 years.

Outcome Area 5:

Alignment and Coordination of Systems

216 **trauma-informed trainings** hosted by probation for Group Supervisors (GSs) and Deputy Probation Officers (DPOs), supporting a cross-system goal that all youth-serving providers use trauma-informed practices.

Probation has **formal agreements** in place with San Mateo County Behavioral Health and Recovery Services and San Mateo County Health and the Human Services Agency to coordinate services for youth.



Conclusion

The FY 2024-25 comprehensive JJCPA/JPCF evaluation report provides valid and useful data that helps create a more comprehensive profile of youth served in San Mateo County. The dissemination and evaluation of this effort will help the JJCC and all San Mateo County stakeholders continue to improve and refine constructive and innovative solutions, thus improving the well-being and outcomes of youth in the County. Through effective and thoughtful youth service programs, San Mateo County remains committed to improving outcomes for youth and their families.

Data presented in the FY 2024-25 San Mateo County Probation Department JJCPA/JPCF comprehensive evaluation report will continue to inform additional strategies, service planning, and policy decision-making by local planning bodies over the next year as San Mateo County continues to address the needs and obstacles of its most vulnerable youth.

Appendix A: Funding Types

JUVENILE JUSTICE CRIME PREVENTION ACT (JJCPA)

In September 2000, the California Legislature passed AB1913, the Schiff-Cardenas Crime Prevention Act, which authorized funding for county juvenile justice programs. A 2001 Senate Bill extended the funding and changed the program’s name to the Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act (JJCPA). This effort was designed to provide a stable funding source to counties for juvenile programs that have been proven effective in reducing crime among young offenders and those at risk of offending. Counties used to be required by statute to collect data at program entry and report data in the following six categories: at 180-days post-entry: arrest rate, detention rate, probation violation rate, probation completion rate, court-ordered restitution completion rate, and court-ordered community service completion rate. San Mateo County has elected to report on two key indicators—arrest rate and probation violation rate.

In addition to these outcomes, many counties track and report on local outcomes specific to their individual programs. For example, some local outcomes relate to academic progress, including school attendance, grade point average, and school behaviors.

JUVENILE PROBATION AND CAMP FUNDING (JPCF)

The Juvenile Probation and Camp Funding Program (JPCF) was developed in response to legislation signed by Governor Schwarzenegger in July 2005 (AB 139, Chapter 74), which appropriated state funds to support a broad spectrum of county probation services targeting at-risk youth and juvenile offenders and their families. JPCF is administered by the State Controller’s Office with the funding amount dependent upon actual receipts from California Vehicle License fees.

Appendix B: Clearinghouses for Evidence-Based Practices

CLEARINGHOUSE NAME	WEBSITE
The SAMHSA Evidence-Based Practices Resource Center	https://www.samhsa.gov/ebp-resource-center
Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Model Programs Guide	https://www.ojjdp.gov/mpg/
The California Evidence-Based Clearinghouse for Child Welfare	https://www.cebc4cw.org/
Washington State Institute for Public Policy & University of Washington: Evidence Based Practice Institute	http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/

Appendix C: Justice Outcome Sample Sizes

JUV SVCS CRT/DIV UNIT	FY 20-21	FY 21-22	FY 22-23	FY 23-24	FY 24-25
Youths Arrested for a New Law Violation	67	62	121	0	2
Youths with Probation Violations	3	2	0	0	-
FLY	FY 20-21	FY 21-22	FY 22-23	FY 23-24	FY 24-25
Youths Arrested for a New Law Violation	10	20	7	0	0
Youths with Probation Violations	6	14	3	0	0
FPP	FY 20-21	FY 21-22	FY 22-23	FY 23-24	FY 24-25
Youths Arrested for a New Law Violation	21	6	-	4	-
Youths with Probation Violations	21	2	-	1	-
STARVISTA INSIGHTS	FY 20-21	FY 21-22	FY 22-23	FY 23-24	FY 24-25
Youths Arrested for a New Law Violation	44	20	17	3	0
Youths with Probation Violations	35	17	17	8	-

Acknowledge was previously funded through JJCPA from FY 2019-23. Refer to prior reports for Acknowledge justice outcome sample sizes.

Appendix D: Glossary of Terms

TERM	DESCRIPTION
Acknowledge	Acknowledge Alliance
ASR	Applied Survey Research
BGCP	Boys and Girls Club of the Peninsula
BHRS	Behavioral Health and Recovery Services
CANS	Child Adolescent Needs and Strengths Assessment
CFS	Child and Family Services
CBO	Community Based Organization
DA	District Attorney’s Office
DPO	Deputy Probation Officer
EBP	Evidence-based practice
FLY	Fresh Lifelines for Youth, Inc.
FPP	Family Preservation Program
FY	Fiscal Year
GAF	Global Assessment of Functioning
HSA	Human Services Agency
Insights	StarVista Insights
JJCC	Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council
JJCPA	Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act
JPCF	Juvenile Probation Camp Funding
LAP	Local Action Plan
JUV SVCS CRT/DIV UNIT	Juvenile Services Court/Diversion Unit
OYAS	Ohio Youth Assessment System
OYAS-DIS	Ohio Youth Assessment System Disposition tool
OYAS-DIV	Ohio Youth Assessment System Diversion tool
OYAS-RET	Ohio Youth Assessment System Reentry tool
Probation	San Mateo County Probation Department
OYCR	Office of Youth and Community Restoration
SOY	StarVista Strengthen Our Youth
SSA	School Safety Advocates
SC	Success Centers
Triple-P	Positive Parenting Program
YMCA SF	YMCA of San Francisco
YSC-JH	Youth Services Center-Juvenile Hall

Appendix E: CANS Modules

MODULES	NUM. OF ITEMS	DESCRIPTION
CORE MODULES		
Youth Strengths	12	Assets that can be used to advance healthy development: 0 or 1 ratings indicate a potential strength, whereas 2 or 3 indicate areas that could be a focus to cultivate into a strength.
Life Functioning	12	How youth is functioning in the individual, family, peer, school, and community realms; completing the School item prompts completion of the School module.
Youth Risk Behaviors	11	Behaviors that may lead youth into trouble or cause harm to themselves or others: rating of 1 or higher on Delinquent Behavior item prompts completion of the Juvenile Justice module.
Youth Behavioral/Emotional Needs	10	Behavioral health needs of the youth: rating of 1 or higher on Adjustment to Trauma or Substance Use items prompts completion of the Trauma or Substance Use secondary modules.
Acculturation	4	Linguistic and cultural issues for which service providers must make accommodations.
Caregiver Strengths & Needs	12	Caregivers’ potential areas of needs and areas in which caregiver can be a resource for the youth.
Transition Age Youth	11	Contains two submodules for youth ages 16-18 years: <i>Life Functioning</i> (individual, family, peer, school, and community realms) and <i>Strengths</i> (assets to advance healthy development).
SECONDARY MODULES		
School	4	How well youth is functioning in school, including attendance, behavior, achievement, and relationships with teachers.
Trauma	16	Contains two submodules: <i>Adverse/Traumatic Childhood Experiences</i> (static indicators of childhood trauma) and <i>Trauma Stress Symptoms</i> (how youth is responding to traumatic events).
Substance Use	6	Details of youth’s substance use.
Juvenile Justice	9	The nature of the youth’s involvement with the juvenile justice system.

Appendix F: Case Triage Dispositions

DISPOSITIONS	FY 20-21		FY 21-22		FY 22-23		FY 23-24		FY 24-25	
Mandatory court cases	191	75%	232	59%	326	57%	383	68%	487	56%
Booked into secure custody	52	20%	116	29%	144	25%	103	18%	190	22%
Placed in Petty Theft Program	1	<1%	6	2%	21	4%	13	2%	37	4%
Placed in Juvenile Mediation/Victim Impact Awareness Program	3	1%	3	1%	25	4%	32	6%	3	0%
Screened and referred to Traffic Court	28	11%	20	5%	32	6%	9	2%	9	1%
Referred to youth's county of residence	18	7%	43	11%	71	12%	127	23%	96	11%
Youth Outreach Program families served	14	6%	17	4%	9	2%	25	4%	18	2%
Alcohol and Other Drug assessment	2	1%	5	1%	16	3%	2	<1%	-	-
Received Letter of Reprimand	25	10%	53	13%	79	14%	51	9%	51	6%
Juvenile record sealing application evaluated for submission to the Court	62	24%	52	13%	42	7%	36	6%	50	6%
Assessed and placed on diversion contracts	9	4%	11	3%	13	2%	33	6%	6	1%
§ Intervention (90-day contract)	4	2%	4	1%	13	2%	12	2%	2	<1%
§ Informal diversion (6-month contract)	5	2%	7	2%	0	0%	3	1%	4	<1%
Total Cases Screened and Managed	254		395		574		564		867	

Note: The total cases screened and managed include all active caseloads. The numbers reported for Petty Theft Program, Juvenile Mediation, and Victim Impact Awareness programs are from assigned diversion cases in FY 2024-25. Alcohol and Other Drug assessments not tracked for FY24-25.

SAN MATEO COUNTY

PROBATION DEPARTMENT

Comprehensive Annual YOBG and
JJRBG Evaluation Report

2024-2025



ABOUT THE RESEARCHER

Applied Survey Research (ASR) is a nonprofit social research firm dedicated to helping people build better communities by collecting meaningful data, facilitating information-based planning, and developing custom strategies. The firm was founded on the principle that community improvement, initiative sustainability, and program success are closely tied to assessment needs, evaluation of community goals, and development of appropriate responses.

AUTHORS

Kim Carpenter, Ph.D.

Connie Chu, M.A.

Kimberly Gillette, M.P.H.

Claire Miller, Ph.D.

HEADQUARTERS

Physical address:

55 Penny Lane, Suite 101
Watsonville, CA 95076
Phone 831-728-1356

Mailing address:

Applied Survey Research
PO Box 1927
Watsonville, CA 95077

Website:

www.appliedsurveyresearch.org

Contents

YOBG EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	IV
Summary of Findings	v
YOBG BACKGROUND.....	1
CBO Assessment Tools	1
Program Challenges	2
YOBG EVALUATION PLAN AND METHODOLOGY	3
Data Collection	3
YOBG EVALUATION FINDINGS	5
Youth Profile	5
Services Provided	7
Program-Specific Outcomes for YOBG-funded CBOs	7
Population Reports.....	10
Booking Types.....	11
Youth Mental Health Cases.....	12
Youths in the Youth Services Center-Juvenile Hall (YSC-JH)	13
YOBG PROGRAMS OFFERED IN THE INSTITUTIONS SERVICES DIVISION.....	15
Programs and Services in the Institutions Services Division.....	15
YOBG-Funded Contractors and Their Use of Evidence-Based Practices	18
Youth Feedback About Programs Offered in the Institutions Services Division.....	19
Client Stories	20
JRFBG ANNUAL REPORT	23
JRFBG Background	23
JRFBG EVALUATION PLAN AND METHODOLOGY	25
JRFBG EVALUATION FINDINGS.....	26
Profile of Youths Served	26
Services Provided	26
Program-specific outcomes	27
JRFBG Contractors and Their Use of Evidence-Based Practices.....	29
Client Stories	30
APPENDIX A: CLEARINGHOUSES FOR EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICES.....	31

YOBG Executive Summary

The Board of State and Community Corrections, through the State of California, provides an annual allocation to the San Mateo County Probation Department (Probation) through the Youthful Offender Block Grant (YOBG) program. This grant bolsters the capacity of local communities by effectively implementing a continuum of responses to juvenile crime and delinquency. In fiscal year (FY) 2024-25, YOBG funding supported eight contracts with community-based organizations (CBOs) to provide job-readiness training, reentry case management, law-related education, yoga sessions, mindfulness workshops, arts classes, creative writing workshops, beat making workshops, a sexual violence prevention program, and a culinary arts program for youth in custody at the Youth Services Center-Juvenile Hall (YSC-JH). The YSC-JH is a secure detention facility providing rehabilitative, therapeutic, secondary- and post-secondary education, reentry, and other services to youth in the San Mateo County juvenile justice system. Youth reside in designated housing units and participate in programs facilitated by Probation staff, CBOs, volunteers, and County partners such as Behavioral Health and Recovery Services (BHRS).

The report's findings are based on data from multiple sources, including:

1. The Institutions Services Division Workload Analysis;
2. The Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC) Juvenile Detention Survey Profile;
3. Probation Booking Logs;
4. Diversion data from the Juvenile Services Court/Diversion (JUV SVCS CRT/DIV) Unit; and
5. YOBG-funded CBO units of service, performance measures, and inventory of evidence-based practices.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Eight YOBG-funded CBOs served 194 unduplicated youth in fiscal year 2024-25 with an average of 12.1 hours of service per youth and 4.2 months in the program (Exhibit 1).

Exhibit 1. Key Findings: Youths and Services

YOUTHS AND SERVICES	FY 20-21	FY 21-22	FY 22-23	FY 23-24	FY 24-25
Number of Youths Served	73	195	263	194	194
Average Number of Hours Served	24.4	17.9	18.6	22.7	12.1
Average Months in the Program	10.4	4.2	4.6	6.2	4.2

The following are highlights regarding the youths who received services in the Institutions Services Division:

- The average daily population of the YSC-JH was 25.
- Overall, during FY 2024-25, youths ages 15-17 saw the most population change in the second half of the fiscal year.
- Youths in custody at the YSC-JH had access to 25 unique programs. Of these programs:
 - Almost all programs were provided in person, with only one program provided virtually.
 - A little over half of the 25 programs were provided by funded CBOs, while the remaining programs were led by Probation staff or volunteers.

YOBB Background

An annual allocation to the San Mateo County Probation Department (Probation) is provided through the Youthful Offender Block Grant (YOBB) from the Board of State and Community Corrections through the State of California. The purpose of the allocation is to promote the capacity of local communities to implement an effective continuum of response to juvenile crime and delinquency. In FY 2024-25, allocations from YOBB supported job-readiness training, reentry case management, law-related education, yoga sessions, mindfulness workshops, arts classes, creative writing workshops, beat making workshops, a sexual violence prevention program, and a culinary arts program. Funding also supported County mental health services and staff-led programming.

In FY 2024-25, eight CBOs provided services at the YSC-JH (Exhibit 2). Fresh Lifelines for Youth (FLY), Success Centers (SC), and YMCA of San Francisco (YMCASF) were also funded through the Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act (JJCPA) and Juvenile Probation Camp Funding (JPCF) to provide services for out-of-custody youth.

Exhibit 2. Program Descriptions of YOBB-Funded CBOs in FY 2024-25

YOBB-FUNDED CBO	SHORT NAME	DESCRIPTION
Art of Yoga Project	AYP	AYP uses a multi-dimensional approach—combining yoga, art, health education, and self-regulation practices—to help youth from traumatic backgrounds build maturity, resilience, and pro-social behaviors.
The Beat Within (A member of Intersection for the Arts)	BW	BW provides weekly creative and literary arts programming.
Fresh Lifelines for Youth	FLY	FLY provides weekly law-related education workshops, pre-release and reentry case management, reentry prosocial activities, one-on-one coaching, and career navigation workshops.
Mind Body Awareness Project	MBA	MBA provides classes focusing on mindfulness, stress and anxiety reduction, and emotion regulation.
Success Centers	SC	SC provides weekly job-readiness and life skills workshops and computer literacy training classes.
Therapeutic Beat Making, LLC	TBM	TBM provides beat making therapeutic and educational interventions to help youth express themselves and gain new skills.
University of California Cooperative Extension	UCCE	UCCE provides a weekly culinary arts program and career training around healthy cooking, food safety, and food traditions to develop skills and foster a love for cooking
YMCA of San Francisco	YMCASF	YMCASF provides Sexual Violence Prevention group classes.

CBO ASSESSMENT TOOLS

The funded agencies reported using a variety of tools in their work with youth from intake through program participation to establish and measure progress. The Art of Yoga Project (AYP) administered its semi-annual Program Feedback Form assessments. Therapeutic Beat Making (TBM) and The Beat Within (BW) administered periodic surveys. FLY used its intake and closure assessments in law-related education sessions. Mind Body Awareness Project (MBA) administered multiple assessments, including

pre- and post-intervention tests, the Perceived Stress Scale, the Health Self-Regulation Scale, the Mindful Attention Awareness Scale, the Rosenberg Self-Awareness and Self-Esteem Scales, and the Teen Conflict Survey. SC collected feedback via forms related to Job Readiness Training. YMCASF collected client satisfaction data at the end of treatment and treatment outcomes using the Partners for Change Outcome Management System tool. Lastly, the University of California Cooperative Extension (UCCE) collected program-specific feedback.

PROGRAM CHALLENGES

Seven of the YOBG-funded CBOs reported programming challenges for FY 2024-25. While staffing changes within CBOs (FLY and YMCASF) contributed to service delays, other challenges reflected the dynamic nature of operating within a secure juvenile facility. Staffing transitions at YSC-JH, along with ongoing safety, security, and youth movement needs, at times affected coordination, scheduling, and attendance. Several programs (AYP, SC, MBA, and UCCE) noted coordination challenges arising from the fast-paced operational environment. Participant engagement and program continuity were also influenced by youth mobility and short lengths of stay, and some programs (SC, TBM, and UCCE) encountered logistical constraints such as limited internet access for youth or barriers to data collection. Collectively, these factors underscore the importance of continued coordination, flexibility, and partnership between CBOs and Probation to support consistent, high-quality programming within a secure setting.

YOBG Evaluation Plan and Methodology

DATA COLLECTION

The following section details the process followed by Probation and Applied Survey Research (ASR) to monitor and collect data from all YOBG-funded programs provided by Probation and eight CBOs. All funded CBOs monitor their service delivery and then report youth, service, and outcome data to Probation and ASR. The methods and tools used to collect this information are described below.

Youth Demographics and Service Utilization from YOBG-Funded CBOs

YOBG-funded CBOs collected and submitted youth-level data on demographics and service participation (Exhibit 3). These datasets provided useful information about the characteristics of youth participants and their length of involvement in services at the YSC-JH.

Exhibit 3. Youth and Services Data Collected, by YOBG-Funded CBOs

DEMOGRAPHICS	SERVICE UTILIZATION
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Date of birth • Gender • Race/Ethnicity • City and ZIP Code of residence 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Service type (e.g., group counseling, individual counseling, yoga, reentry services, etc.) • Length of time a youth was served (e.g., program entry and exit dates) • Number of service hours

Youth Data from the Institutions Services Division

In addition, several types of data shared by Probation provided information about the youth population at the YSC-JH:

- **Institutions Services Division Workload Analysis** – Monthly divisional reports that include YSC-JH average daily population data and total number of bookings, releases, and commitments.
- **Juvenile Detention Profile Survey** – The Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC) requires all counties to complete monthly and quarterly Juvenile Detention Profile Surveys. These surveys gather pertinent data, including average daily population, charge, disposition, gender, booking, mental health, average length of stay, and age.
- **Booking Logs for Juvenile Hall** – Record of bookings for youths from San Mateo County or from out of the County, along with whether the offense was considered 707(b).¹

¹ *Welfare and Institutions Code section 707(b)* offenses include crimes considered serious and violent in nature. These crimes include murder, certain arson offenses, robbery, rape, kidnapping, attempted murder, assault with a firearm, assault with force likely to produce great bodily injury, discharge of firearm, offenses in which victim is over 65 or disabled, carjacking, and various other crimes.

Programs and Services Offered within the Institutions Services Division

Probation provided a list of programs and services offered within the YSC-JH. Probation further grouped the programs and services into two categories:

- Contracted programs
- Staff-initiated and volunteer programs

Program-Specific Outcomes from YOBG-funded CBOs

CBOs collected their own program-specific outcome data. Short summaries of these results are presented in this report.

YOBBG Evaluation Findings

YOUTH PROFILE

In FY 2024-25, CBOs utilizing YOBBG funding reported serving a combined total of 194 unduplicated youths during the fiscal year (FY). As shown in Exhibit 4, the majority of youths who accessed programs and services funded by YOBBG were served by FLY (86%), followed by AYP (71%), BW (66%), and TBM (60%). CBOs UCCE, MBA, SC, and YMCASF had a smaller number of youths (<15%).

Exhibit 4. Number and Percentage of Youths Served, by Program

YOBBG PROGRAMS	FY 20-21 (% OF TOTAL)	FY 21-22 (% OF TOTAL)	FY 22-23 (% OF TOTAL)	FY 23-24 (% OF TOTAL)	FY 24-25 (% OF TOTAL)
Art of Yoga Project	40 (55%)	78 (40%)	119 (45%)	129 (66%)	137 (71%)
The Beat Within	---	---	---	114 (59%)	129 (66%)
Fresh Lifelines for Youth	34 (47%)	90 (46%)	132 (50%)	141 (73%)	166 (86%)
Mind Body Awareness Project	11 (15%)	18 (9%)	24 (9%)	41 (21%)	24 (12%)
Success Centers	29 (40%)	18 (9%)	32 (12%)	1* (1%)	18 (9%)
Therapeutic Beat Making	---	---	---	103 (53%)	117 (60%)
University of California Cooperative Extension	---	---	---	---	28 (14%)
YMCA of San Francisco	---	---	---	6 (3%)	14 (7%)
ALL (Unique Youths)	73	195	263	194	194

Note: The total across YOBBG programs equals 633 youths instead of the 194 listed because most youths were served by more than one program. ---Indicates program was not funded for that fiscal year.

Youth Demographics Characteristics

Race/ethnicity information was available for 81% of youths served by YOBBG-funded CBOs during FY 2024-25. As shown in Exhibit 5, 66% of all youths served identified as Hispanic/Latino, followed by 12% identifying as Black/African American, 7% identifying as multi-racial/ethnic, 6% identifying as Asian/Pacific Islander, 6% identifying as another race or ethnicity, and 3% identifying as White/Caucasian.

Exhibit 5. Race/Ethnicity Profile of Youths Served, by Program

YOBG PROGRAMS	HISPANIC/ LATINO	BLACK/ AFRICAN AMERICAN	MULTI- RACIAL/ ETHNIC	ASIAN/ PACIFIC ISLANDER	ANOTHER RACE/ ETHNICITY	WHITE/ CAUCASIAN
Art of Yoga Project	79%	11%	1%	5%	2%	2%
The Beat Within	71%	13%	1%	7%	6%	2%
Fresh Lifelines for Youth	66%	8%	11%	7%	4%	4%
Mind Body Awareness Project	84%	8%	0%	4%	4%	0%
Success Centers	76%	6%	18%	0%	0%	0%
Therapeutic Beat Making	73%	11%	1%	8%	2%	5%
University of California Cooperative Extension	75%	11%	0%	4%	10%	0%
YMCA of San Francisco	57%	0%	7%	0%	29%	7%
ALL (Unique Youths)	66%	12%	7%	6%	6%	3%

Note: All N=194, AYP n=98, BW n=129, FLY n=166, MBA n=24, SC n=17, TBM n=80, UCCE n=28, YMCASF n=14. Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding.

Probation provided data for all 194 youths reported as in custody at the facility. Nearly nine out of 10 youths identified as male (89%), and the average age of the youths was 15.7 years old (Exhibit 6). By CBO, these proportions varied slightly, most notably by gender, with some programs (most notably YMCASF) serving larger percentages of male youths.

Exhibit 6. Gender and Age Profile of Youths Served, by Program

YOBG PROGRAMS	MALE	FEMALE	TRANSGENDER/ ANOTHER GENDER	AVERAGE AGE OF YOUTH
Art of Yoga Project	92%	8%	*	16.1
The Beat Within	90%	10%	*	15.4
Fresh Lifelines for Youth	88%	12%	*	15.7
Mind Body Awareness Project	96%	4%	*	15.4
Success Centers	94%	6%	*	18.2
Therapeutic Beat Making	92%	8%	*	15.9
University of California Cooperative Extension	93%	7%	*	16.4
YMCA of San Francisco	79%	21%	*	17.0
ALL (Unique Youths)	89%	11%	*	15.7

Note: All N=194; AYP n=137 (Gender), n=98 (Age), BW n=129, FLY n=161 (Gender), n=163 (Age), MBA n=24, SC n=18 (Gender), n=17 (Age), TBM n=82 (Gender), n=80 (Age), UCCE n=28 (Gender), n=27 (Age), YMCASF n=14 (Gender), n=9 (Age). *Probation data did not include this category.

SERVICES PROVIDED

Length of Participation and Hours of Service

Exhibit 7 shows that the average length of participation reported by CBOs ranged from 1.1 months (YMCASF) to 6.0 months (FLY), and the average hours of service provided per youth ranged from 4.6 hours for TBM to 11.2 hours for MBA, reflecting differences in service dosage and participation.

Exhibit 7. Average Months in Program and Average Hours of Service Received, per Youth

YOBG PROGRAMS	AVERAGE NUMBER OF MONTHS IN PROGRAM	AVERAGE HOURS OF SERVICE RECEIVED PER CLIENT
Art of Yoga Project	1.9	5.6
The Beat Within	2.2	7.6
Fresh Lifelines for Youth	6.0	10.8
Mind Body Awareness Project	2.7	11.2
Success Centers	3.1	6.3
Therapeutic Beat Making	1.3	4.6
University of California Cooperative Extension	5.3	7.0
YMCA of San Francisco	1.1	5.4
ALL (Unique Youths)	4.2	12.1

Note: All N=194, AYP n=137, BW n=129, FLY n=166, MBA n=24, SC n=18, TBM n=117, UCCE n=28, YMCASF n=14.

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC OUTCOMES FOR YOBG-FUNDED CBOS

Art of Yoga Project (AYP)

AYP exceeded its target goal of 75% for each of its performance measures for FY 2024-25 (Exhibit 8).

Exhibit 8. Program-Specific Outcomes for AYP

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC OUTCOMES	FY 24-25 TARGET	FY 24-25 RESULTS
Percentage of youths who reported using tools for emotional regulation outside of class.	75%	79%
Percentage of youths who reported an improvement in interpersonal skills and pro-social behavior.	75%	78%
Percentage of youths who reported increased self-awareness and self-respect.	75%	81%

The Beat Within (BW)

BW met its target goal for each performance measure in FY 2024-25 (Exhibit 9).

Exhibit 9. Program-Specific Outcomes for BW

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC OUTCOMES	FY 23-24 TARGET	FY 23-24 RESULTS
Percentage of youths who reported increased self-esteem through Beat Within weekly workshops.	87%	87%
Percentage of youths who reported developing positive relationships with adult role models through Beat Within weekly workshops.	87%	87%
Number of times the Beat Within Magazine was distributed at the facility each year.	25	25

Fresh Lifelines for Youth (FLY)

FLY exceeded all of its five performance targets in FY 2024-25 (Exhibit 10).

Exhibit 10. Program-Specific Outcomes for FLY

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC OUTCOMES	FY 24-25 TARGET	FY 24-25 RESULTS
Youths reported they now have access to a positive adult role model (Law Program).	80%	96%
Youths were less likely to commit crimes and more likely to make healthy choices (Law Program).	75%	94%
Youths gained the skills to resist negative peer pressure (Law Program).	80%	96%
Youths reported school improvement in attendance or performance (Law Program).	80%	82%
Number of youths who received Reentry services	30	55

Mind Body Awareness Project (MBA)

MBA met or exceeded all three performance targets in FY 2024-25 (Exhibit 11).

Exhibit 11. Program-Specific Outcomes for MBA

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC OUTCOMES	FY 24-25 TARGET	FY 24-25 RESULTS
Percentage of youths who reported improved emotional regulation, self-control, and stress reduction.	80%	100%
Percentage of staff who reported improved general behavior in the hall.	80%	80%
Percentage of youths who reported greater self-esteem, self-compassion, and empathy.	80%	100%

Success Centers (SC)

SC exceeded one goal and was not able to report out on their other two goals in FY 2024-25 (Exhibit 12).

Exhibit 12. Program-Specific Outcomes for SC

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC OUTCOMES	FY 24-25 TARGET	FY 24-25 RESULTS
JRT/Life Skills participants reported enhanced knowledge about job readiness and life skills.	80%	100%
Employee Spotlight participants reported enhanced knowledge of employment opportunities.	80%	N/A
Career Advising participants reported increased knowledge about achieving career goals.	80%	N/A

Therapeutic Beat Making (TBM)

TBM exceeded its target goals for all three performance measures in FY 2024-25 (Exhibit 13).

Exhibit 13. Program-Specific Outcomes for TBM

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC OUTCOMES	FY 24-25 TARGET	FY 24-25 RESULTS
Percentage of youth participants who reported they like making beats.	75%	99%
Percentage of youth participants who reported they learned more about making music.	75%	98%
Percentage of youth participants who reported they liked their instructor.	75%	100%

University of California Cooperative Extension (UCCE)

UCCE exceeded all of their performance measures for FY 2024-25 (Exhibit 14).

Exhibit 14. Program-Specific Outcomes for UCCE

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC OUTCOMES	FY 24-25 TARGET	FY 24-25 RESULTS
Percentage of youths who reported exposure to new foods through the program.	75%	100%
Percentage of youths who reported increased knowledge of cooking techniques.	75%	83%
Percentage of youths who reported increased awareness of and/or interest in careers in cooking or cooking for self and others.	75%	83%

YMCA of San Francisco (YMCASF)

YMCASF met its performance measure using the Partners for Change Outcome Management System (PCOMS), but due to staff on leave, the collection of pre- and post-class surveys was disrupted (Exhibit 15).

Exhibit 15. Program-Specific Outcomes for YMCASF


PROGRAM-SPECIFIC OUTCOMES	FY 24-25 TARGET	FY 24-25 RESULTS
Percentage of youths who attended the Sexual Violence Prevention group class who reported improved understanding of curriculum as measured by pre- and post-class surveys.	80%	N/A
Percentage of youths who attended the Sexual Violence Prevention group class who showed improvement in individual ratings of self and facilitator over the duration of the group as measured by the PCOMS Outcome Rating and Session Rating Systems.	80%	90%

POPULATION REPORTS

Average Daily Population per Month

A total of 194 youths were at the YSC-JH in FY 2024-25. The average daily population (not including youth committed to Secure Track) ranged from 17 to 36 youths per month at the YSC-JH, averaging 25 across the 12 months (Exhibit 16).

Exhibit 16. YSC-JH Average Daily Population, by Month, FY 2024-25




Source: Institutions Division Workload Analysis.

BOOKING TYPES

Probation Violation (WIC 777) Bookings

The total number of bookings for probation violations only (WIC 777 bookings) across the fiscal year was 48 (n=34 unique youths; Exhibit 17).² The highest number of bookings for probation violations only (n=8) occurred in November 2024, and the lowest number (n=1) occurred in December 2024.

Exhibit 17. Number of Probation Violations WIC 777 Bookings, by Month, FY 2024-25




Source: BSCC Monthly Juvenile Detention Profile Survey; Probation Booking Logs.

Weapons-Related Bookings

During FY 2024-25, there were 46 bookings for weapons-related offenses (involving 43 unique youths), averaging four per month (Exhibit 18). This represents a decrease from FY 2023-24, when there were 64 such bookings involving 61 unique youths. Monthly totals in FY 2024-25 generally ranged between one and six, except in March (n=10) and June (n=7).

Exhibit 18. Number of Weapons-Related Bookings, by Month, FY 2024-25



Source: BSCC Monthly Juvenile Detention Profile Survey; Probation Booking Logs.

² WIC 777 orders remove the youth from the custody of the parent, guardian, or relative and place them in the foster care system, private institution or county institution. <https://codes.findlaw.com/ca/welfare-and-institutions-code/wic-sect-777>

In-County and Out-of-County Bookings

The YSC-JH had a total of 231 bookings in FY 2024-25, involving 186 unique youths. (Exhibit 19).³ Out of these bookings, 181 (78%) were for youths residing in-County, and 50 (22%) were for youths residing out-of-County.

Exhibit 19. Number of In-County and Out-of-County Bookings, FY 2024-25

	ALL BOOKINGS	IN-COUNTY BOOKINGS	OUT-OF-COUNTY BOOKINGS
Total Bookings	231	181	50


Source: Booking Logs for Juvenile Hall, Institutions Workload Analysis, Case Management System.

YOUTH MENTAL HEALTH CASES

Mental Health Cases and Use of Psychotropic Medication

In FY 2024-25, the YSC-JH had an annual average of 27 open mental health cases and 13 youths receiving psychotropic medication, based on snapshot data collected each month. Open mental health cases include youths committed to Secure Track. Just under half of youths (48%) with open mental health cases also received psychotropic medication (Exhibit 20).

Exhibit 20. Open Mental Health Cases and Youths Receiving Psychotropic Medication, FY 2024-25



Source: Juvenile Detention Survey Profile.


³ This data only reflects bookings that resulted in new cases and does not include youths booked for probation violations.

YOUTHS IN THE YOUTH SERVICES CENTER-JUVENILE HALL (YSC-JH)

Total Number of Bookings and Releases

The total number of bookings at the YSC-JH for FY 2024-25 was 231, and the number of releases was 222 (Exhibit 21). The number of bookings and releases showed a mixed pattern that generally aligned through the fiscal year, both averaging 19 and over 12 months, respectively. Bookings ranged from three to 30, with the highest booking volume in October (n=30), while December recorded the lowest (n=3). Releases ranged from 11 to 28, where August, December, April, and June recorded more releases than bookings.

Exhibit 21. Juvenile Hall Total Number of Bookings and Releases per Month, FY 2024-25




Source: Institutions Division Workload Analysis.

Juvenile Hall Average Daily Population per Month, by Gender

In FY 2024-25, the average daily population of the YSC-JH (not including youths committed to Secure Track) was 25, consisting of 23 males and two females (Exhibit 22).

Exhibit 22. Juvenile Hall Average Daily Population per Month, by Gender, FY 2024-25




Source: Institutions Division Workload Analysis.

Age of Youths Who Received Services in Juvenile Hall, by Quarter

Across the fiscal year, the total number of unique youths per quarter (including youths committed to Secure Track) ranged from 21 to 38, with the largest increase between quarters two (n=21) and three (n=34). Youths ages 12-14 remained relatively low through the year compared to older age groups. In contrast, youths aged 15-17 saw the most change. After a slight decrease in quarter two, those ages 15-17 more than doubled in quarter three and remained steady through quarter four. Like the youngest youths, the population of youths 18 and over remained relatively stable, showing slight fluctuations across the fiscal year (range 8-10). While each quarter’s numbers reflect unique individuals for that period, some youths remained in the institutions over multiple quarters.

Exhibit 23. Number of Youths in Juvenile Hall, by Age Each Quarter, FY 2024-25



Source: Quarterly Juvenile Detention Profile Survey.

YOBBG Programs Offered in the Institutions Services Division

PROGRAMS AND SERVICES IN THE INSTITUTIONS SERVICES DIVISION

Youth services and programs take place every day of the week at YSC-JH. While youths are invited and encouraged to attend all programs, specific programming for each youth is also determined by those who are court-appointed, assigned by a Deputy Probation Officer (DPO), or selected by Institutions Services Managers (ISMs) or Group Supervisors (GSs) on the housing units based upon the individual needs of youth. Exhibit 24 provides a complete list of all youth programming in YSC-JH, broken out by those considered evidence-based programs and services that are integrating evidence-based practices (EBP), curriculum-based programs and services, and staff-initiated informal programs and services.

Based on data provided by Probation, a total of 25 programs are offered at the YSC-JH. Of those programs provided during FY 2024-25, contracted services from community-based organizations provided a little over half of all programs and services (56%), while probation staff or volunteers led the remaining programs. Almost all programs were provided in person, and only one program was provided virtually.

Exhibit 24. Programs and Services in the Institutions Services Division

PROGRAM/ ORGANIZATION	DESCRIPTION	FUNDING SOURCE(S)	FORMAT	HOUSING UNITS	
				P4	F2/F3
COMMUNITY-BASED ORGANIZATIONS					
Addiction Education Society, Inc.	Added in FY 24-25 – This provides a drug and alcohol addiction-prevention class called “Neuroscience of Addiction.” This is a six-session class that will be offered to two cohorts in FY 24-25.	JRBBG	In Person	X	
Airballin’ (De Andre Drake)	These are weekly art and/or airbrushing classes promoting creative therapeutic outlets.	Juvenile Facility Improvement Funding	In Person	X	X
Alcoholics Anonymous	This program provides participants with a group of principles to help expel the obsession to drink and enable them to become happy and whole, provided by National AA organization. Services vary based on the availability of volunteers.	Outside (non-County) funding	In Person		X
Art of Yoga Project	AYP are weekly yoga and creative arts classes.	YOBBG	In Person	X	X
The Beat Within	Weekly creative and literary arts programming, BW enables transition-aged youth to develop pro-social skills, including positive self-esteem building, positive social interactions and positive relationship building with instructors.	JRBBG & YOBBG	In Person	X	X
Community Overcoming Relationship Abuse (CORA)	Added in FY 24-25 – CORA provides ongoing, weekly healthy relationships workshops and mental health groups tailored for different age groups, including transition-aged youth, as well as monthly workgroups for the parents of incarcerated minors/nonminors.	Probation Department Funding	In Person	X	X
Fresh Lifelines for Youth	FLY are weekly law-related education and career and education-focused workshops, plus in-custody case management and life coaching, and ongoing planning process to build out future Secure Youth Treatment Facility services.	JRBBG & YOBBG	In Person	X	X
Live In Peace	Added in FY 24-25 – This program provides additional, ongoing weekly life coaching, mentoring, and job readiness services by the Live in Peace nonprofit at no cost to the County.	Outside (non-County) funding	In Person	X	
MBA	MBA are weekly mindfulness meditation workshops and one-on-one coaching.	JRBBG & YOBBG	In Person	X	X
Prison Education Project	Prison Education Project provides weekly academic, cognitive, life skills, and career development programming.	Outside (non-County) funding	Virtual	X	X (F3)
Success Centers	SC provides weekly job-readiness and life skills workshops and computer literacy training classes.	JRBBG & YOBBG	In Person	X	X
Therapeutic Beat Making	A weekly program led by Elliot Gann, Psy.D., that uses hip hop and electronic music production (beat making) and DJ’ing as therapeutic and educational interventions to help participants to express themselves and gain new skills.	YOBBG	In Person	X	X
University of California Cooperative Extension	The Healthy Living Ambassador’s Cooking Program provides weekly education, skill building and career training around nutrition, healthy cooking, and food safety. Participants gain skills to cook for themselves and others through culturally relevant and personally meaningful recipes. Participants also gain career skills, including the opportunity to earn a food handler’s license, which enables them to work in commercial kitchens.	YOBBG	In Person	X	
YMCA of San Francisco	YMCASF provides emotional regulation and other group programs, weekly, depending on availability of participants or instructors.	YOBBG	In Person	X	

PROGRAM/ ORGANIZATION	DESCRIPTION	FORMAT	HOUSING UNITS	
			P4	F2/F3
STAFF-INITIATED OR VOLUNTEER PROGRAMS				
Bible Study (volunteer-led)	Bible study is a voluntary Bible study group for youth inside the juvenile hall.	In Person	X	X
Book Club	Book Club is a forum to discover new books, increase literacy, and focus on literary critique.	In Person	X	X
Career Technical Education	The Career Technical Education Program offered through the San Mateo County Office of Education engages minors/nonminors with real-world technology and problem-based, hands-on learning.	In Person	X	X
Essay Program	The Essay Program teaches critical thinking and creative writing skills.	In Person	X	X
Garden Program	The Garden Program is horticultural training in creating onsite gardens.	In Person	X	X
Large Muscle Activity	All minors/nonminors are provided the opportunity to participate in large muscle activity (i.e., structured sports, physical activities) seven days a week for at least an hour each day.	In Person	X	X
Life Skills	Resume writing and interview skills, DMV preparation, hygiene, and other life skills are taught by staff.	In Person	X	X
Omega Program	The Omega Program is a staff-led speaker series where outside speakers and credible messengers speak to minors/nonminors about changing behaviors and eradicating violence in the community.	In Person	X	X
Phoenix Reentry Program	The Phoenix Reentry Program (PREP) is a juvenile hall furlough program for youth serving long-term commitments who have demonstrated readiness and need for increased privileges and services before release. PREP allows eligible youth to temporarily leave the facility to access community-based services and structured activities that support their rehabilitation and reentry, including education, DMV and banking services, vocational training, and counseling. These opportunities help build essential skills and connections to facilitate a successful transition back into the community.	In Person	X	X
Religious Services (volunteer-led)	Religious Services are voluntary religious services, if requested, for youth inside the juvenile hall.	In Person	X	X
WhyTry	WhyTry is a social and emotional learning program that is used in education and therapeutic environments including trauma informed care and in areas that practice restorative justice.	In Person	X	X

YOBG-FUNDED CONTRACTORS AND THEIR USE OF EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICES

Probation prioritizes using evidence-based practices (EBPs) among its contracted service providers. Beginning in 2020, all YOBG-funded CBOs were asked to list the practices and curricula of their YOBG-funded programs. ASR conducted a thorough search through evidence-based practice clearinghouses and empirical sources to verify programs as “evidence-based.”

Exhibit 25 depicts the evidence-based practices reported by YOBG-funded CBOs for FY 2024-25. For a complete list of clearinghouses used to evaluate the practices provided, please see Appendix A.

Exhibit 25. Evidence-based Practices Implemented by YOBG-funded Contractors

	Art of Yoga Project	The Beat Within*	Fresh Lifelines for Youth	Mind Body Awareness Project	Success Centers**	Therapeutic Beat Making	University of California Cooperative Extension	YMCA of San Francisco
Aggression Replacement Treatment (ART) ⁴								✓
Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) ⁵			✓					✓
Critical Time Intervention ^{6, 7}			✓					
Dialectical Behavioral Therapy (DBT) ⁸								✓
Mindfulness-Based Interventions ⁹				✓				✓
Motivational Interviewing ^{***}			✓					✓
Neuro-sequential Model of Therapeutics ¹⁰						✓		

⁴ Pennsylvania State University, EPISCenter. (n.d.). *Aggression Replacement Training (ART)*. <https://www.episcenter.psu.edu/ebp/ART>

⁵ Washington State Institute for Public Policy. (2020). Updated Inventory of Evidence-Based, Research-Based, and Promising Practices: For Prevention and Intervention Services for Children and Juveniles in the Child Welfare, Juvenile Justice, and Mental Health Systems. http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/ReportFile/1727/Wsipp_Updated-Inventory-of-Evidence-Based-Research-Based-and-Promising-Practices-For-Prevention-and-Intervention-Services-for-Children-and-Juveniles-in-the-Child-Welfare-Juvenile-Justice-and-Mental-Health-Systems_Report.pdf

⁶ Evidence-Based Practice Center (n.d.). Critical Time Intervention. <https://ebpcenter.umaryland.edu/Training-Topics/Critical-Time-Intervention/>

⁷ Social Programs that Work. (n.d.). Critical Time Intervention. <https://evidencebasedprograms.org/programs/critical-time-intervention/>

⁸ Washington State Institute for Public Policy. (2020). Updated Inventory of Evidence-Based, Research-Based, and Promising Practices: For Prevention and Intervention Services for Children and Juveniles in the Child Welfare, Juvenile Justice, and Mental Health Systems. http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/ReportFile/1727/Wsipp_Updated-Inventory-of-Evidence-Based-Research-Based-and-Promising-Practices-For-Prevention-and-Intervention-Services-for-Children-and-Juveniles-in-the-Child-Welfare-Juvenile-Justice-and-Mental-Health-Systems_Report.pdf

⁹ Hofmann, S. G., & Gómez, A. F. (2017). Mindfulness-Based Interventions for Anxiety and Depression. *The Psychiatric clinics of North America*, 40(4), 739–749. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psc.2017.08.008>

¹⁰ Perry, B.D. (2009). Examining child maltreatment through a neurodevelopmental lens: Clinical application of the neurosequential model of therapeutics. *Journal of Loss and Trauma*, 14, 240-255. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15325020903004350>

Partners for Change Outcome Management System (PCOMS) ¹¹								✓
Social- Emotional Learning ¹²			✓			✓		
Trauma-Informed Practice/Care ¹³	✓		✓			✓	✓	✓

* Utilizes only promising and non-evidence-based practices.

** Practices were not provided as of 10/7/2025.

*** Evidence-based practice according to the Center for Evidence-Based Practices.¹⁴ Elsewhere rated as research-based for children in mental health treatment¹⁵, but the Office of Justice Programs rates the use of motivational interviewing for juvenile substance abuse as having “no effect” for clients ages 14-19.¹⁶

YOUTH FEEDBACK ABOUT PROGRAMS OFFERED IN THE INSTITUTIONS SERVICES DIVISION

Twice during the fiscal year, the Institutions Services Division surveyed youths about the quality and effectiveness of services provided at the YSC-JH. The youth survey assessed youths’ experiences, learning, and satisfaction, and the staff survey asked staff to share their impressions on the degree to which programs were supporting the needs of youths.

A total of 48 surveys were completed by youths in three units: Pine 4 (P4), Forrest 2 (F2), and Forrest 3 (F3). Youths were asked to self-report on a series of questions, including, but not limited to, helpfulness of the programs, engagement/interest in each program, and overall rating of each program. Nineteen surveys were completed in February 2025, and 29 surveys were completed in June 2025. The results discussed below are combined across these two time points.

¹¹ Washington State Institute for Public Policy. (2020). Updated Inventory of Evidence-Based, Research-Based, and Promising Practices: For Prevention and Intervention Services for Children and Juveniles in the Child Welfare, Juvenile Justice, and Mental Health Systems. http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/ReportFile/1727/Wsipp_Updated-Inventory-of-Evidence-Based-Research-Based-and-Promising-Practices-For-Prevention-and-Intervention-Services-for-Children-and-Juveniles-in-the-Child-Welfare-Juvenile-Justice-and-Mental-Health-Systems_Report.pdf

¹² OJJDP Model Program Guide. (2015). Practice Profile: School-Based Social and Emotional Learning (SEL) Programs. <https://crimesolutions.ojp.gov/ratedpractices/39#pd>

¹³ SAMHSA. (2014). SAMHSA’s Concept of Trauma and Guidance for a Trauma-Informed Approach, p10. Pub ID#: SMA14-4884. <https://store.samhsa.gov/product/SAMHSA-s-Concept-of-Trauma-and-Guidance-for-a-Trauma-Informed-Approach/SMA14-4884>

¹⁴ Center for Evidence-Based Practices (2018). Motivational Interviewing. Case Western Reserve University. <https://www.centerforebp.case.edu/practices/mi>


¹⁵ Washington State Institute for Public Policy. (2020). Updated Inventory of Evidence-Based, Research-Based, and Promising Practices: For Prevention and Intervention Services for Children and Juveniles in the Child Welfare, Juvenile Justice, and Mental Health Systems. http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/ReportFile/1727/Wsipp_Updated-Inventory-of-Evidence-Based-Research-Based-and-Promising-Practices-For-Prevention-and-Intervention-Services-for-Children-and-Juveniles-in-the-Child-Welfare-Juvenile-Justice-and-Mental-Health-Systems_Report.pdf

¹⁶ OJJDP Model Program Guide. (2011). Practice Profile: Motivational Interviewing (MI) for Substance Abuse Issues of Juveniles in a State Facility <https://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=180>

Youth Ratings of Helpfulness of Programs

Exhibit 26 shows the percentage of youths who reported that a program was “very” or “extremely” helpful. UCCE and Live in Peace were reported as helpful programs by more than 90% of youths who participated in those services.

Exhibit 26. Percentage of Youths Rating Each Program as “Very” or “Extremely” Helpful



Note: Total N=48. The number of youths’ ratings per program is displayed in parentheses next to the program name. Response options included: 1=Not at All, 2=A little, 3=Somewhat, 4=Very, 5=Extremely.

CLIENT STORIES

Each year, staff at YOBG-funded programs collect client stories to help illustrate the impact of services on their clients. The following stories are two examples of client success for FY 2024-25.

Exhibit 27. Client Success Story (The Beat Within)

Name of client	Jeff (pseudonym)
Age and gender	16-year-old male
Reason for referral	Weekly service programming workshop visit
Client’s behavior, affect, and appearance when they first started in the program	When he first joined the program Jeff was engaging but as time went on and he saw his friends go home while he remained at the Institutions, the gravity of his situation began to weigh heavily on his mental health. Sometimes his overwhelming emotions caused him to stay in his room, but in those cases, staff made every effort to drop by his door to say hello.
Activity engagement and consistency	Weekly workshop visits, always participated with writing and sometimes drawing
Client’s behavior, affect, and appearance toward the end of the program	Jeff always joined the weekly workshops, seemed happy and content, and very engaged with the weekly writing.
What the client learned as a result of the program	Jeff expressed that he learned that he enjoys writing.
What the client is doing differently in their life now as a result of the program	Jeff expressed that he improved his writing and his insightfulness.
The value of the program in the client’s words	Jeff learned "to share without the fear of being judged."

Exhibit 28. Client Success Story (Mind Body Awareness Project)

Name of client	Harris
Age and gender	16-year-old male
Reason for referral	Harris joined MBA groups after hearing a presentation about MBA at the juvenile hall and being referred by an ISM.
Client’s behavior, affect, and appearance when they first started in the program	Harris was referred due to concerns related to self-harming behaviors and trauma-related responses. Upon intake, his affect was withdrawn and anxious. When he did share, his communication was often tangential or unrelated to the topic at hand. Harris demonstrated limited social skills and reported feeling isolated.
Activity engagement and consistency	In the initial phase of the program, Harris established rapport, safety, and trust with facilitators, though he was initially less open with peers. Over time and through participation in multiple cohorts, he became more connected and increasingly engaged in peer conversations, particularly those related to the curriculum.

<p>Client’s behavior, affect, and appearance toward the end of the program</p>	<p>By the end of the program, Harris demonstrated increased initiative, actively contributing to group culture and encouraging peers to implement interventions taught during sessions. Due to his growth and leadership, he was invited to return as a peer support facilitator for the next cohort.</p>
<p>What the client learned as a result of the program</p>	<p>Harris demonstrated increased ability to identify, express, and process emotions, including struggles and successes, contributing to improved emotional regulation and overall mental health. He became informed about the areas of Mindfulness, Emotional Intelligence, and Trauma Resiliency.</p>
<p>What the client is doing differently in their life now as a result of the program</p>	<p>Harris reported he has demonstrated behavioral and emotional progress. He has ceased engaging in self-harming behaviors and has shown a significant reduction in aggressive interactions with peers and staff. He exhibits increased confidence in his identity, a strengthened sense of purpose, and a hopeful outlook for his future and particularly in his expressed desire to support his family.</p>
<p>The value of the program in the client’s words</p>	<p>"Participating in MBA groups has helped me grow up and become more mature. My mental health has improved thanks to the staff and program at MBA. I now have the resources, techniques, and coping skills to handle myself. I am more aware and will take that with me on the outs. I am ready to be on the outs with this awareness and strength."</p>

JJRBG ANNUAL REPORT

JJRBG BACKGROUND

Senate Bill 823 (2020) and Senate Bill 92 (2021) directed the gradual closure of the state Division of Juvenile Justice (DJJ) and transferred the responsibility of custody, supervision, and care of juveniles to County jurisdictions. The new laws have provided resources to counties to undertake this responsibility through the Juvenile Justice Realignment Block Grant (JJRBG) program. In fiscal year 2024-25, Probation used JJRBG funds to support contracts with The Addiction Education Society (AES), The Beat Within (BW), Fresh Lifelines for Youth (FLY), Mind Body Awareness (MBA) Project, and Success Centers (SC) for the DJJ-realignment population.

SOARR Program

In response to the new laws, Probation worked with system partners to create the Success and Opportunities Aspiring Readiness for Reentry (SOARR) Program, to house and treat youth who were previously eligible to be committed to DJJ. These include youth adjudicated for 707(b)¹⁷ and 290.008¹⁸ offenses and deemed unsuitable by the court for less restrictive settings. Youth committed to the SOARR program often face longer stays than other youth being treated and housed at the YSC-JH. SOARR commitments, also referred to as Secure Track commitments, could remain in custody up to age 25, depending on the seriousness of their offense. San Mateo County anticipates one to three youth entering the SOARR program each year. The program's first commitment came in November 2022. In FY 2024-25, nine youths were served in the SOARR program, all of whom accessed JJRBG-funded programs and services.

Services Provided

In addition to serving the SOARR population, CBOs funded by JJRBG funding in FY 2024-25 also provided programs and services to non-SOARR youths in the same housing unit (Pine 4). Exhibit 29 provides program descriptions of the CBOs that received JJRBG funds from the County.

¹⁷ Welfare and Institutions Code section 707(b) offenses include crimes considered serious and violent in nature. These crimes include murder, certain arson offenses, robbery, rape, kidnapping, attempted murder, assault with a firearm, assault with force likely to produce great bodily injury, discharge of firearm, offenses in which victim is over 65 or disabled, carjacking, and various other crimes.

¹⁸ California Penal Code section 290.008 refers to sexual offenses.

Exhibit 29. Program Descriptions of JJRBG-Funded CBOs in FY 2024-25

JJRBG-FUNDED CBO	SHORT NAME	DESCRIPTION
The Addiction Education Society	AES	AES provides curriculum on the Neuroscience of Addiction.
The Beat Within (A member of Intersection for the Arts)	BW	BW provides weekly creative and literary arts programming.
Fresh Lifelines for Youth	FLY	FLY provides weekly skill building and career navigation workshops and in-custody case management services.
Mind Body Awareness Project	MBA	MBA provides classes focusing on mindfulness, stress and anxiety reduction, and emotion regulation.
Success Centers	SC	SC provides weekly job-readiness, life skills workshops, and computer literacy training classes.

Note: AES provided programming for two cohorts in January and March-April 2025. Due to the short nature of the services, limited data are featured in this report.

CBO Assessment Tools

The funded agencies reported using a variety of tools in their work with youth from intake through program participation to establish and measure progress. AES collaborated with Stanford University to develop student pre- and post-surveys that measure baseline and student content retention. The Beat Within (BW) administered periodic surveys. Fresh Lifelines for Youth (FLY) administered and continued refining assessment measures related to career readiness and youth service plan goals. Mind Body Awareness (MBA) administered multiple assessments, including pre- and post-intervention tests, the Perceived Stress Scale, the Health Self-Regulation Scale, the Mindful Attention Awareness Scale, the Rosenberg Self-Awareness and Self-Esteem Scales, and the Teen Conflict Survey. Lastly, Success Centers (SC) collected feedback via forms related to tech training.

Program Challenges

Four of the five JJRBG-funded CBOs reported challenges with program implementation. While three programs cited communication difficulties with YSC-JH staff that at times delayed service delivery, these issues often reflected the operational demands and safety priorities of a secure facility. Two programs noted inconsistent youth attendance influenced by movement between units or scheduling adjustments, and one reported that limited laptop availability restricted the administration of pre- and post-surveys. These challenges underscore the need for continued coordination and flexibility between CBOs and Probation to maintain effective programming within a secure setting.

JJRBG Evaluation Plan and Methodology

FY 2024-25 was the third year youths were served in the SOARR program. In the first year of implementation (FY 2022-23), Probation and Applied Survey Research (ASR) created an evaluation plan to monitor the service delivery. The plan considered the deliverables necessary for the JJRBG program and information Probation was interested in collecting, such as client stories. ASR sought feedback from the JJRBG-funded CBOs on the data collection plan. Probation and ASR created six tracking tools: two for Probation (Institutions and Reentry) and one for each current contracted CBO (BW, FLY, MBA, and SC). Funded CBOs monitored their service delivery and then reported youth, service, and outcome data to Probation and ASR. Exhibit 30 details the demographic and service utilization data collected.

Exhibit 30. Youth and Services Data Collected, by JJRBG-Funded CBOs

DEMOGRAPHICS	SERVICE UTILIZATION
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Date of birth • Gender • Race/Ethnicity • ZIP Code of residence 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Service type (e.g., group counseling, individual counseling, yoga, reentry services, etc.) • Length of time a youth was served (e.g., program entry and exit dates) for in-custody and post-release • Number of service hours • Number of multi-disciplinary teams (MDTs) attended by CBO

Program-Specific Outcomes from JJRBG-funded CBOs

CBOs collected their own program-specific outcome data. Short summaries of these results are presented in this report. Client success stories were also collected to help illustrate the impact of services on their clients for FY 2024-25.

JJRBG Evaluation Findings

PROFILE OF YOUTHS SERVED

In FY 2024-25, JJRBG-funded CBOs served a combined total of 63 unduplicated youths. As shown in Exhibit 31, the majority of youths who accessed programs and services funded by JJRBG were served by BW (39%), followed by FLY (21%), AES (20%), and SC (14%). MBA had the smallest number of youths served under JJRBG (<10%).

Exhibit 31. Number and Percentage of Youths Served, by Program

JJRBG PROGRAMS	NUMBER OF YOUTHS	% OF TOTAL
Addiction Education Society	16	20%
The Beat Within	31	39%
Fresh Lifelines for Youth	17	21%
Mind Body Awareness Project	5	6%
Success Centers	11	14%
ALL (Unique Youths)	63	100%

Note: The total across JJRBG programs equals 80 youths instead of the 63 listed because some youths were served by more than one program.

Youth Demographics Characteristics

Seventy-seven percent of JJRBG-funded youths identified as Hispanic/Latino, followed by 6% identifying as Black/African American, 6% identifying as multi-racial/ethnic, 4% identifying as Asian/Pacific Islander, 4% identifying as White/Caucasian, and 2% identifying as another race or ethnicity. Nine in 10 youths served identified as male (94%), and the average age of the youths was 17.6 years old.

SERVICES PROVIDED

Length of Participation and Hours of Service

Exhibit 32 shows that the average length of participation reported by CBOs ranged from half a month for AES to 5.5 months for SC, and the average hours of service provided per youth ranged from 6.0 for AES to 51.5 for FLY, reflecting differences in service dosage and participation.

Exhibit 32. Average Number of Months in Program and Average Hours of Service Received per Youth

JJRBG PROGRAMS	AVERAGE NUMBER OF MONTHS IN PROGRAM	AVERAGE HOURS OF SERVICE RECEIVED PER CLIENT
Addiction Education Society	0.5	6.0
The Beat Within	4.1	15.9
Fresh Lifelines for Youth	4.6	51.5
Mind Body Awareness Project	5.2	24.0
Success Centers	5.5	12.9
ALL (Unique Youths)	3.4	27.4

Note: All N=63, AES n=16, BW n=31, FLY n=17, MBA n=2, SC n=11.

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC OUTCOMES

Addiction Education Society, Inc. (AES)

AES exceeded its target goal of 75% for each of its performance measures for FY 2024-25 (Exhibit 33). AES did not report on punctuality.

Exhibit 33. Program-Specific Outcomes for AES

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC OUTCOMES	FY 24-25 TARGET	FY 24-25 RESULTS
Students in Cohort 1 who demonstrated increased knowledge of the curriculum based on pre- and post-surveys.	75%	80%
Students in Cohort 2 who demonstrated increased knowledge of the curriculum based on pre- and post-surveys.	75%	80%
Punctuality – Percentage of times instructors arrived on time prepared to teach.	100%	N/A

Note: Based on combined reporting for both cohorts, 0% were very knowledgeable at pre-survey and 80% were very knowledgeable at post-survey.

The Beat Within (BW)

BW met its target goal for each performance measure in FY 2024-25 (Exhibit 34).

Exhibit 34. Program-Specific Outcomes for BW

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC OUTCOMES	FY 24-25 TARGET	FY 24-25 RESULTS
Percentage of youths who reported increased self-esteem through with Beat Within weekly workshops.	87%	87%
Percentage of youths who reported developing positive relationships with adult role models through Beat Within weekly workshops.	87%	87%
Number of times the Beat Within Magazine was distributed at the facility each year.	25	25

Fresh Lifelines for Youth (FLY)

FLY reported that they exceeded all of their performance measures, as seen in Exhibit 35.

Exhibit 35. Program-Specific Outcomes for FLY

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC OUTCOMES	FY 24-25 TARGET	FY 24-25 RESULTS
Youths reported they have more confidence to deal with negative peer pressure.	80%	100%
Youths reported that they are less likely to commit crimes and more likely to make healthy choices.	75%	80%
Youths reported that they have hope for the future and want to make positive changes.	80%	100%
Youths who enrolled and completed case management and achieved at least one goal from their service plan.	100%	100%

Mind Body Awareness Project (MBA)

MBA met or exceeded all three performance targets in FY 2024-25 (Exhibit 36).

Exhibit 36. Program-Specific Outcomes for MBA

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC OUTCOMES	FY 24-25 TARGET	FY 24-25 RESULTS
Percentage of youths who reported improved emotional regulation, self-control, and stress reduction	80%	100%
Percentage of staff who reported improved general behavior in the hall	80%	80%
Percentage of youths who reported greater self-esteem, self-compassion, and empathy	80%	100%

Success Centers (SC)

SC did not provide performance measure outcomes in FY 2024-25 (Exhibit 37).

Exhibit 37. Program-Specific Outcomes for SC

PROGRAM-SPECIFIC OUTCOMES	FY 24-25 TARGET	FY 24-25 RESULTS
JRT/Life Skills participants reported enhanced knowledge about job readiness and life skills.	80%	---
Career Advising participants reported increased knowledge about achieving career goals.	80%	---
Code on Point participants reported increased knowledge about computer literacy and coding.	80%	---

JJRBG CONTRACTORS AND THEIR USE OF EVIDENCE-BASED PRACTICES

Probation continued to emphasize the implementation of evidence-based practices (EBPs) among its contracted service providers during FY 2024–25. All JJRBG-funded community-based organizations (CBOs) were asked to identify the practices and curricula utilized in their JJRBG-funded programs. ASR conducted a comprehensive review of established evidence-based practice clearinghouses and relevant empirical literature to determine whether each program could be classified as “evidence-based.” Exhibit 38 presents the evidence-based practices reported by JJRBG-funded CBOs for FY 2024–25. A complete list of the clearinghouses consulted as part of this review is provided in Appendix A.

Exhibit 38. Evidence-based Practices Implemented by JJRBG-funded Contractors

	Addiction Education Society*	The Beat Within*	Fresh Lifelines for Youth	Mind Body Awareness Project	Success Centers**
Critical Time Intervention ^{19, 20}			✓		
Mindfulness-Based Interventions ²¹				✓	
Motivational Interviewing***			✓		
Social- Emotional Learning ²²			✓		
Trauma-Informed Practice/Care ²³			✓	✓	

* Utilizes only promising and non-evidence-based practices.

** Practices were not provided as of 9/30/2025.

*** Evidence-based practice according to the Center for Evidence-Based Practices.²⁴ Elsewhere rated as research-based for children in mental health treatment²⁵, but the Office of Justice Programs rates the use of motivational interviewing for juvenile substance abuse as having “no effect” for clients age 14-19.²⁶

¹⁹ Evidence-Based Practice Center (n.d.). Critical Time Intervention. <https://ebpcenter.umaryland.edu/Training-Topics/Critical-Time-Intervention/>

²⁰ Social Programs that Work. (n.d.). Critical Time Intervention. <https://evidencebasedprograms.org/programs/critical-time-intervention/>

²¹ Hofmann, S. G., & Gómez, A. F. (2017). Mindfulness-Based Interventions for Anxiety and Depression. *The Psychiatric clinics of North America*, 40(4), 739–749. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psc.2017.08.008>

²² OJJDP Model Program Guide. (2015). Practice Profile: School-Based Social and Emotional Learning (SEL) Programs. <https://crimesolutions.ojp.gov/ratedpractices/39#pd>

²³ SAMHSA. (2014). SAMHSA’s Concept of Trauma and Guidance for a Trauma-Informed Approach, p10. Pub ID#: SMA14-4884. <https://store.samhsa.gov/product/SAMHSA-s-Concept-of-Trauma-and-Guidance-for-a-Trauma-Informed-Approach/SMA14-4884>

²⁴ Center for Evidence-Based Practices (2018). Motivational Interviewing. Case Western Reserve University. <https://www.centerforebp.case.edu/practices/mi>

²⁵ Washington State Institute for Public Policy. (2020). Updated Inventory of Evidence-Based, Research-Based, and Promising Practices: For Prevention and Intervention Services for Children and Juveniles in the Child Welfare, Juvenile Justice, and Mental Health Systems. http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/ReportFile/1727/Wsipp_Updated-Inventory-of-Evidence-Based-Research-Based-and-Promising-Practices-For-Prevention-and-Intervention-Services-for-Children-and-Juveniles-in-the-Child-Welfare-Juvenile-Justice-and-Mental-Health-Systems_Report.pdf

²⁶ OJJDP Model Program Guide. (2011). Practice Profile: Motivational Interviewing (MI) for Substance Abuse Issues of Juveniles in a State Facility <https://www.crimesolutions.gov/ProgramDetails.aspx?ID=180>

CLIENT STORIES

The following is one example of client success in FY 2024-25. Given the small number of youths and few stories available, only one success story is featured this year.

Exhibit 39. Client Success Story (FLY)

Name of client	Antonio (pseudonym)
Age and gender	19-year-old male
Reason for referral	Antonio joined the program a few months after arrival to the YSC once he learned that he would be staying longer than anticipated. He approached FLY staff and asked if he could join because he wanted help and wanted to better himself.
Client’s behavior, affect, and appearance when they first started in the program	Antonio described himself as a lost cause. He was focused on getting back into the community to pick up old habits where he left off. He was not willing to change; he had a fixed mindset on who he wanted to be and what he wanted to do. Antonio was interested in "bad things" and saw that as his only option. He was quiet and stayed to himself because he did not trust anybody.
Activity engagement and consistency	The client joined FLY workshops where he learned about high IQ vs low IQ, conflict resolution and understanding roles. He also began working one on one with his case manager and opened up about his upbringing, he practiced emotional awareness and gained trust in his case manager, where he then allowed himself to be more open and honest about his circumstances and areas of improvement that he needs/wants to work on. Antonio has been consistently engaged and focused on the work he is doing. He has also graduated high school and started college.
Client’s behavior, affect, and appearance toward the end of the program	Antonio showed significant improvement in his mindset, his goals, and his priorities. He was more emotionally aware and improved his relationships with others. He showed great determination to be successful and has mapped out his plans for his future. He was goal-oriented and took his work seriously. He has opened up more to his case manager. Antonio was outspoken and not afraid to speak his mind. He asked questions when he was unsure and maintained a positive relationship with his STAY case manager and FLY staff. He was respectful and courteous.
What the client learned as a result of the program	The client has learned how to be patient. He has learned that the lifestyle he was involved in prior to his incarceration would lead to only two outcomes: prison or death. He has learned that life is bigger than what he initially thought; his family needs him. Antonio has learned to work hard and give it his best. He has also learned how to communicate better with his peers.
What the client is doing differently in their life now as a result of the program	Antonio stated he is minding his business and has refrained from getting any incident reports since his time in the program. He reaches out for support and to check in with his case manager whenever he can. He is focused on work, school, and has begun preparing for his reentry.

The value of the program in the client's words

"It gives me resources, someone to talk to, someone to care about me and genuinely want to see me do better. It's their job to push us to be better, care for us, help us and give us alternatives. You guys made me see things that I never saw before and made me see qualities in myself that I never recognized."

Appendix A: Clearinghouses for Evidence-Based Practices

CLEARINGHOUSE NAME	WEBSITE
The SAMHSA Evidence-Based Practices Resource Center	https://www.samhsa.gov/ebp-resource-center
Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Model Programs Guide	https://www.ojjdp.gov/mpg/
The California Evidence-Based Clearinghouse for Child Welfare	https://www.cebc4cw.org/
Washington State Institute for Public Policy & University of Washington: Evidence Based Practice Institute	http://www.wsipp.wa.gov/

COMPREHENSIVE JJCPA, JPCF, YOBG, & JJRBG ANNUAL REPORT

FY 2024-25

Presentation to JJCC




November 20, 2025



Agenda

- Evaluation Plan
- Services Provided
- Client Demographic Profile
- Criminogenic Risk
 - OYAS Risk Scores
 - Other Risk Indicators
 - Youth Strengths and Support Needs
- Mental Health Cases
- Outcomes
 - Justice Outcomes
 - Program Outcomes
- Local Action Plan Five Year Review

Evaluation Plan



Service Types for JPCF and JJCPA-funded Programs

	Acknowledge Alliance	Boys and Girls Club of the Peninsula	Family Preservation Program	Fresh Lifelines for Youth	Juvenile Services CRT/DIV	StarVista	Success Centers	YMCASF
Mentoring/ Education		✓		✓ x	x	✓ x		✓
Behavioral Health Counseling	✓					✓ x		x
Case Management	✓		x	✓ x	x	✓ x	✓	✓
Parent Education/ Counseling					x	✓ x		✓
Outreach					x	✓ x		
Job Readiness/ Placement							✓ x	

Evidence-based Practices for JPCF and JJCPA-funded Programs

	Acknowledge Alliance	Boys and Girls Club of the Peninsula	Fresh Lifelines for Youth	StarVista Insights	StarVista SOY	Success Centers	YMCASF
Acceptance Commitment Therapy (ACT)					✓		
Aggression Replacement Training (ART)							✓ x
Check & Connect		✓					
Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT)			✓ x	x	✓		✓ x
Dialectical Behavior Therapy (DBT)					✓		✓ x
Functional Family Therapy							✓
Growth Mindset		✓				✓ x	
Mindfulness-Based Interventions				x			✓ x

✓ = JPCF

x = JJCPA

Evidence-based Practices for JPCF and JJCPA-funded Programs

	Acknowledge Alliance	Boys and Girls Club of the Peninsula	Fresh Lifelines for Youth	StarVista Insights	StarVista SOY	Success Centers	YMCASF
Motivational Interviewing		✓	✓ x		✓	✓ x	x
Neurosequential Model of Therapeutics (NMT)							✓
Partners for Change Outcome Management System (PCOMS)							x
Psychodynamic Psychotherapy	✓						
Social-Emotional Learning			✓ x				
Transtheoretical Model (Stages of Change Model)		✓					
Trauma-Informed Practice/Care	✓	✓	✓ x	x	✓		✓

✓ = JPCF

x = JJCPA

Evidence-based Practices for YOBG-funded Programs

	Art of Yoga (AOY)	The Beat Within*	Fresh Lifelines for Youth (FLY)	Mind Body Awareness (MBA)	Success Centers**	Therapeutic Beat Making	UCCE	YMCASF
Aggression Replacement Training (ART)								✓
Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT)			✓					✓
Critical Time Intervention			✓					
Dialectical Behavior Therapy (DBT)								✓
Mindfulness-Based Interventions				✓				✓
Motivational Interviewing			✓					✓
Neuro-sequential Model of Therapeutics	✓					✓		
Partners for Change Outcome Management System (PCOMS)								✓
Social-Emotional Learning			✓			✓		
Trauma-Informed Practice/Care	✓		✓	✓		✓	✓*	✓

Evidence-based Practices for JJRBG-funded Programs


	Addiction Education Society*	The Beat Within*	Fresh Lifelines for Youth (FLY)	Mind Body Awareness (MBA)	Success Centers**
Critical Time Intervention			✓		
Mindfulness-Based Interventions				✓	
Motivational Interviewing			✓		
Social- Emotional Learning			✓		
Trauma-Informed Practice/Care			✓	✓	

* Utilizes only promising and non-evidence-based practices

**Practices not provided


Client Demographic Profile

Number of Youths Served




In FY 2024-25, JPCF, JJCPA, YOBG, and JJRBG funded program served **1,439** unique youths.

Client Service Duration: Average Number of Months




Client Service Dosage: Average Number of Hours



Race/Ethnicity of Youths Served by Funding Stream

In FY 2024-25, at least 60% of youths identified as Hispanic/Latino in programs funded by JJCPA, JPCF, YOBG, and JJRBG.




■ Hispanic/Latino
 ■ Black/African American
 ■ Asian/Pacific Islander
 ■ White/Caucasian
 ■ Multi-Racial/Multi-Ethnic
 ■ Another Race

Note: JPCF n=768; JJCPA n=193; YOBG n=194; JJRBG n=47

Age and Gender of Youths


JJRBG funded programs served a higher proportion of older and male youths than the other funding streams.




Note: JPCF n=768; JJCPA n=193; YOBG n=194; JJRBG n=47

Geographic Distribution of Youths Served by JPCF- & JJCPA-funded Programs

Youths by Region	Number	Percent
North	353	40%
Coast	68	8%
Mid	157	18%
South	314	35%
Total	892	100%




Average Daily Population by Month




Criminogenic Risk

OYAS-DIV Risk Categories by Diversion Status



Notes: Criminogenic risk categories from OYAS-DIV assessments and diversion status derived from Probation databases for N=20 including n=10 for 'Low', n=10 for 'Moderate', n=0 for 'High' risk categories. The exhibit displays the number of youth assessments by final risk category and status of the youth's case.

OYAS-DIS Criminogenic Risk by Supervision Status




Notes: Criminogenic risk categories from OYAS-DIS assessments and supervision status both derived from Probation databases. N=92 total assessments, including n=79 for “low”, n=13 for “moderate”, and n=0 for “high”.

Other Risk Indicators


Percentage of Youths Identified with an Alcohol or Drug Problem




Percentage of Youths Identified with an Attendance Problem




Percentage of Youths Identified with a Suspension/Expulsion



OYAS-DIS Youth Strengths and Support Needs



OYAS-DIS Youth Strengths and Support Needs



Source: OYAS-DIS assessment. N=92.


OYAS-DIS Youth Strengths and Support Needs



Source: OYAS-DIS assessment. N=92.

Mental Health Cases

Mental Health Cases and Use of Psychotropic Medication




Note: Annual averages are based on monthly snapshot data.

Client Outcomes: Justice Outcomes


Justice Outcomes (JJCPA)

Compared to the previous FY, the proportion of youths with **new law violations** decreased slightly while those with **probation violations** continued to decrease.

Youths Arrested for a New Law Violation



Youths with Probation Violations



Note: In FY 2024-25, N=145 used to calculate the percentage of Youths Arrested for a New Law Violation. N=12 used to calculate the percentage of Youths with Probation Violations.

**Client Outcomes:
Program Outcomes**

JPCF and JJCPA-funded Program-Specific Outcomes

Boys & Girls Clubs of the Peninsula

81% of youths reported developing supportive and positive relationships because of the program

Family Preservation Program

0 youths received an out-of-home placement order

Fresh Lifelines for Youth

80% of youths enrolled in the Law & Leadership Programs that reported they are less likely to break the law after being in FLY

StarVista

100% of youths enrolled in VIA did not sustain a new charge

Success Centers

57% of youths receiving case management services who reported developing supportive and positive relationships because of the program

YMCASF

100% of youths enrolled in VIA did not sustain a new charge

YOBG-funded Program-Specific Outcomes

Art of Yoga

79% of youths reported using tools for emotional regulation outside of class

The Beat Within

87% of youths reported increased self-esteem

Fresh Lifelines for Youth

96% of youths gained the skills to resist negative peer pressure

Mind Body Awareness Project

100% of youths reported improved emotional regulation, self-control, and stress reduction

YOBG-funded Program-Specific Outcomes cont

Success Centers

100% of youths reported enhanced knowledge about job readiness and life skills

University of California Cooperative Extension

83% of youths reported increased awareness of and/or interest in careers in cooking or cooking for self and others

Therapeutic Beat Making

98% of youths reported they learned more about making music

YMCASF

90% of youths showed improvement in individual ratings of self and facilitator

JJRBG-funded Program-Specific Outcomes

Addiction Education Society, Inc.

80% of youths demonstrated increased knowledge of the curriculum

The Beat Within

87% of youths reported increased self-esteem

Fresh Lifelines for Youth

100% of youths reported that they have hope for the future and want to make positive changes

Mind Body Awareness Project

100% of youths reported improved emotional regulation, self-control, and stress reduction

Youth Surveys

Probation staff administered a semi-annual survey to youths to obtain feedback on YOBG and other funded services and programs.



Percentage of Youths Rating Each Program as “Very” or “Extremely” Helpful



Youth Success Story


Program: FLY (JJRBG-funded)

Age, gender: 19, male

Initial behavior: He was focused on getting back into the community to pick up old habits where he left off. He was not willing to change.

Services: He joined FLY workshops and worked one on one with his case manager, where he began to trust his case manager and opened up about his upbringing.

Outcome: He learned that the lifestyle he was involved in prior to his incarceration would lead to only two outcomes: prison or death. He learned that life is bigger than what he initially thought; his family needs him. He graduated high school and started college.



"[FLY] gives me resources, someone to talk to, someone to care about me and genuinely want to see me do better ... You guys made me see things that I never saw before and made me see qualities in myself that I never recognized."

LAP 5 Year Review

2020-2025 Local Action Plan (LAP)

4,719

Youth
Served*

5

Outcome
Areas



Outcome Area 1: Behavioral Health

3,894

youth were served using **trauma-informed practices**.

3

CBOs (Acknowledge Alliance, StarVista, and YMCASF) **counseled youth** in schools and other settings.

2

CBOs (StarVista, and YMCASF) provided **substance use services**.

Outcome Area 2: Positive Pathways for Youth

281 youth participated in **job readiness training** and **case management** services offered by Success Centers.

2 CBOs (FLY and BGCP) linked youths with **mentors** to support healthy development and help navigate challenges and opportunities.

Youth-Reported Outcomes

73-96%

of youth served by BGCP reported developing positive and **supportive relationships**.


85-96%

of youth participating in FLY's programs reported access to **positive adult role models**.

Outcome Area 3: Parent Education and Support

StarVista implemented the **Parent Support Group** from 2022-2025.

Zero youth in the Family Preservation Program required an **out-of-home placement**.



Outcome Area 4: Access to Effective Services

FY
2020-21

FY
2021-22

FY
2022-23

FY
2023-24

FY
2024-25

Probation implemented OYAS, as a more **culturally responsive risk and needs assessment** tool, to identify the strengths and barriers of the youth and family.

All 6 CBOs use at least 2 **evidence-based practices**.

All programs offered **free of charge** to youth and their families.

Same 6 CBOs offered services consistently throughout the 5 years.

Outcome Area 5: Alignment and Coordination of Systems

216 trauma-informed trainings

hosted by probation for Group Supervisors (GSs) and Deputy Probation Officers (DPOs), supporting a cross-system goal that all youth-serving providers use trauma-informed practices.

Probation has **formal agreements** in place with San Mateo County Behavioral Health and Recovery Services and San Mateo County Health and the Human Services Agency to coordinate services for youth.



Thank you!

Kim Gillette: Gillette@appliedsurveyresearch.org

Kim Carpenter: Kim@appliedsurveyresearch.org

Connie Chu: Connie@appliedsurveyresearch.org