

SAN MATEO



LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION

455 COUNTY CENTER, 2ND FLOOR • REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063-1663 • PHONE (650) 363-4224 • FAX (650) 363-4849

Action Minutes

San Mateo Local Agency Formation Special Commission Meeting

September 18, 2024

Chair Martin called the Wednesday, September 18, 2024, Regular Meeting of the Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCo) to order at 2:30 pm at the San Mateo County Board of Supervisors Chambers, 400 County Center, Redwood City, CA. Members of the public were also able to participate in the meeting remotely via Zoom.

1. Roll Call

Members Present: Kati Martin, Tygarjas Bigstycck, Virginia Chang-Kiraly, Harvey Rarback, Ann Draper, Ray Mueller

Members Absent: Warren Slocum

Staff Present: Rob Bartoli, Executive Officer
Timothy Fox, Legal Counsel
Diane Estipona, Clerk

2. Oath of Office for Appointed Commissioner

Newly elected special district alternate member, Katheryn Slater-Carter, recited the oath of office.

3. Public Comment for Items Not on the Agenda

Greg Farris, resident of Menlo Park, spoke in favor for the West Menlo Park Triangle Annexation.

4. Consent Agenda

- a) Approval of Action Minutes: July 17, 2024

Commissioner Bigstycck made a correction to the minutes stating that he did not speak in favor of LAFCo dissolution of Broadmoor Police District as noted, but instead echoed the comments of Commissioner Slocum.

Commissioner Chang-Kiraly clarified that item 9B in the minutes should correctly list her CALAFCO nomination as the Coastal Region's Special District Representative.

COMMISSIONERS: KATI MARTIN, CHAIR, SPECIAL DISTRICT ▪ RAY MUELLER, VICE CHAIR, COUNTY ▪ VIRGINIA CHANG KIRALY, SPECIAL DISTRICT
▪ HARVEY RARBACK, CITY ▪ TYGARJAS BIGSTYCK, CITY ▪ WARREN SLOCUM, COUNTY ▪ ANN DRAPER, PUBLIC

ALTERNATES: KATHRYN SLATER-CARTER, SPECIAL DISTRICT ▪ ANN SCHNEIDER, CITY ▪ JAMES O'NEILL, PUBLIC ▪ NOELIA CORZO, COUNTY

STAFF: ROB BARTOLI, EXECUTIVE OFFICER ▪ SARAH FLAMM, MANAGEMENT ANALYST ▪ TIM FOX, LEGAL COUNSEL ▪
DIANE ESTIPONA, CLERK

Commission Action: Commissioner Chang-Kiraly moved to approve the consent agenda. Commissioner Rarback seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously by roll call vote. (Ayes: Commissioners Martin, Bigstycck, Chang-Kiraly, Draper, Rarback, Mueller; Absent: Commissioner Slocum)

5. Presentation from the Peninsula Healthcare District – Information Only

Rob Bartoli, LAFCo Executive Officer, stated that a presentation from the Peninsula Health Care District was requested as part of the 2023-2024 LAFCo work plan. This was also in response to a letter from the League of Women Voters of North and Central San Mateo County that wanted an MSR for the District.

Ana Pulido, The CEO of Peninsula Health Care District (PHCD), provided a brief overview of the programs provided which included services for medical and dental health, education, and community living for seniors. The program mission is to support all residents of all ages to reach their optimal health and wellness through education, advocacy, prevention, and safeguarding community access to basic health services.

Matt Grey, Legal Counsel for PHCD, gave an update on the Peninsula Wellness Community (PWC). The PWC project is planned as a mixed-use and mixed-income community focused on health, wellness, and community connectivity. The project will include market-rate and below-market-rate housing, commercial medical offices, and a central location for innovative healthcare services. The district is currently negotiating a development agreement with developers.

Commissioner Draper requested that public benefits provided by public agencies be available and encouraged by the for-profit developer.

Commissioner Chang-Kiraly acknowledged the great work on the projects especially with the offering of the dental clinic, Sonrias Dental, and has heard positive reviews from local constituents and the Sequoia Health Care District.

Public Hearings

6. Consideration of LAFCo File No. 24-08 - Proposed Sphere of Influence amendment for County Service Area 11 (CSA 11) and annexation by CSA 11 of the Pescadero Middle/High School located at 350-360 Butano Cut Off, Pescadero (APN 087-053-010)

Commissioner Mueller – recused himself and left the meeting at 3 PM.

Mr. Bartoli opened the presentation and stated that this proposal, which was submitted by resolution by the County of San Mateo, requested an annexation by County Service Area 11 (CSA 11) of the Pescadero Middle/High School located at 350-360 Butano Cut Off (APN 087-053-010).

The proposal was also requested a Sphere of Influence (SOI) amendment for CSA 11 to the subject property.

The County of San Mateo proposed to construct a new County fire station on an undeveloped portion of Pescadero Middle/High School located at 350-360 Butano Cut Off (APN 087-053-010). As part of this project, the County is requesting the annexation of the parcel to CSA 11 to provide domestic water service to a proposed new fire station, Fire Station 59. A waterline would be extended from the existing CSA 11 boundaries east along Pescadero Road, south on Cloverdale Road, and east on Butano Cut Off. At the request of Cal Fire, seven fire hydrants will be installed along the new water main extension. The pipe alignment is not proposed to be within the annexation area.

The annexation would also facilitate domestic water service from CSA 11 to the existing Pescadero Middle/High School buildings. The school had historically relied on a well for domestic water. However, due to elevated contaminant levels in the groundwater, the school has relied on bottled water for drinking for several years. Mr. Bartoli summarized the history of CSA 11, and several factors that were reviewed as part of the proposal such as growth in the affected area, impacts to agricultural lands and the ability of the agency to provide service.

The proposal to annex will allow the County to construct a new fire station at 350-360 Butano Cut Off. The current fire station located on Pescadero Creek Road is partially within a floodplain. This flooding also resulted in isolating the fire station from the town of Pescadero, with subsequent delays in responding to emergency calls that originate on the east side of Butano Creek.

The alternative of no annexation would prohibit the extension of CSA 11 to the high school property and require that the school continue to rely on bottled water for drinking due to elevated contaminants levels in the domestic well on the property. As efforts to provide domestic water to the site by drilling an additional well on the property have been unsuccessful, it would be unlikely that the new fire station could be constructed without the annexation of the property to CSA 11.

As noted, the fire station site is mapped as Class I Agricultural Soils and does contain agricultural land as defined in the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Act. As stated in reports from the County and in the application to LAFCo, these agriculture lands are inactive. Though the project area may be of a quality to sustain high yield irrigated crops, the project area has not been in agricultural use for at least eight years, as opposed to the adjacent field to the north of the site consistently used for irrigated agriculture.

As required by both the County and the California Coastal Commission, a mitigation measure has been incorporated into the project to address the loss of this agricultural lands. This mitigation measure required that prior to issuance of the building permit for construction of the fire station, the County shall submit evidence to the Coastal Commission for review and approval indicating that an agricultural easement burdening off-site agricultural property has been granted in perpetuity to the County or other qualifying entity, along with adequate funding to compensate for reasonable administrative costs incurred by the easement holder.

Mr. Bartoli stated that a groundwater supply study was prepared for CSA-11. That study indicated that the CSA-11 supply is overdrawn on an annualized basis, but would be adequate for about 90 years. A follow-up study conducted by the County was prepared that audited existing water connections, and assessed long term water supply yield, sustainability, and water quality impacts with the proposed connections to the high school and new fire station. The study estimated that the system has sufficient capacity to supply existing demand plus the demands associated with Pescadero Middle/High School and the relocated fire station for at least the next 30-40 years. If the aquifer does not recharge at its current rate or this additional demand is added to CSA 11, the lifespan of the existing wells will be negatively impacted. With additional pumping it is possible that Well No. 1 would be out of service by 2057 and that Well No. 3 would need to be lowered to continue to serve Pescadero.

The County will implement two mitigations measures related to CSA 11 water levels. The first requires that CSA 11 shall conduct monthly water level measurement of Well #1 and Well #3 to monitor ongoing aquifer capacity. The second states that CSA 11 shall evaluate groundwater level trends

Per the County's application, on-going waterline extension operation and maintenance (O&M) costs are estimated at \$11,900 per year. This cost is limited to the waterline extension only and not for the CSA 11 system as a whole. These O&M costs include 1.27 miles of new water main, seven (7) new fire hydrants, several new gate valves, and two (2) new service meters..

These expenditures will be assessed to LHPUSD and Fire station/County Fire as the sole users of the waterline extension. These charges will be assigned as a separate service charge in addition to both users tiered water rates, that can be increased over time as costs increased. The service charges would fund the ongoing operations and maintenance. These O&M costs will not be paid by the existing rate payers within CSA 11.

Consistency in conjunction with this annexation, the County submitted amendments to the approved Local Coastal Program to the California Coastal Commission. These changes included a text amendment to allow the extension of municipal water provision to the new fire station site and to public schools in the rural service center of Pescadero. A change in the LCP land use map for the Middle/High Site was also proposed. On February 9, 2024, the California Coastal Commission certified the Local Coastal Plan Map and Text amendments that facilitate the project.

In a letter dated May 20, 2024, the Superintendent of the La Honda-Pescadero Unified School District (LHPUSD) started support of the annexation and SOI amendment. The letter notes that is application "is an important step in securing clean, safe, drinking water for our middle and high school students." A subsequent letter from LHPUSD was submitted on June 24, 2024, reiterated support for the project and requested that the protest proceedings be waived as the sole landowner of the affected area.

Mr. Bartoli stated that property owners and residents within 300 feet of the annexation area and the Pescadero Municipal Advisory Council were notified of the project. Today, a written public comment was submitted by Bridget Jett regarding the annexation. In a summary, the letter brings up concerns regarding the current status of the fire station location regarding active agricultural uses, a bond for the school district that included a master plan for the school site and if there is a conflict with the proposed fire station, need for voter input on the project, clarification about a reference to low-income and affordable housing, growth in Pescadero, and the status of the water for the school site.

The annexation of the school site will allow Pescadero Middle/High School to have a source of drinking water that will meet the needs for the students and staff. High School serves approximately 170 students in Grades 6 through 12. The school relies upon groundwater for its water needs. The State Water Resources Control Board (Division of Drinking Water) has cited the school's well for exceeding maximum allowed nitrate and coliform contaminant levels. The school currently relies on bottled water for consumption needs. Past attempts to drill new wells have failed due to insufficient water quality and quantity on the property. Currently, students and staff must rely on bottled water due to elevated contaminates levels in the drinking water supplied by an on-site well.

Pescadero and the surrounding area is an important farming community in the County, and it is likely that there are farmworkers living in the area of CSA 11 who have an income lower than median income for Pescadero. This is evidenced by affordable housing and farm labor housing programs that are supported by the County in the agricultural areas of the coast side.

On November 15, 2022, the San Mateo County Board of Supervisor certified by motion a Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) for the CSA-11 Water Service Extension and Pescadero Fire Station (Station 59) Project. Included in the scope of this project was Sphere of Influence amendment and annexation by CSA 11 of the Pescadero Middle/High School and new fire station property, subject to approval by the San Mateo Local Agency Formation Commission. The MND found there would be several potential impacts to the environment. However, the CEQA document proposed mitigation measures for these impacts. Areas of impacts include agriculture, hydrology and water quality, hazards and hazardous materials, and noise. With mitigation measures, each impact is considered to be sufficiently addressed.

Commissioner Bigstyk commented that with development projects comes public concern and asked why 300 feet is the set radius for noticing the public that will be impacted. Mr. Bartoli answered that this standard was set by the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Act (CKH) which details the adjacent neighbors or parcels within the 300 feet radius will be contacted which includes landowners and residents. Mr. Bartoli explained that this has been an on-going project and County staff has done several outreach events to keep the public informed.

Commissioner Bigstyk asked a follow up question regarding if LAFCo staff is prepared to answer affordable housing questions related to this project and if the property tax measures passed by LHPUSD will be impacted by the final Commission decision. Mr. Bartoli confirmed

that LAFCo staff is not aware of the status for future land use of this site for affordable housing and any potential conflicts pertaining to the parcel is not within the purview of LAFCo. Sophie Minter, Assistant Director of San Mateo County Planning and Building, further confirmed that the county has no future plans to develop housing in this site. Although the housing element is underworks including an implementation of the Pescadero site analysis.

Commissioner Bigstyk also asked if LAFCo staff would elaborate on their suggestion of further investigations on future water supply. Mr. Bartoli explained that in a 2019 and 2021 report conducted by County of Public works, which operates CSA 11, details potential uses of aquifers and fire stations were considered to positively impact the area.

Commissioner Draper questioned how the county permits use of the current water distribution system. Mr. Bartoli commented that several factors restrict urban level services including municipal water to be extended to the rural areas. The areas surrounding the pipeline is considered rural and is therefore prohibited from extension of water utility. Future services are possible if amendments to local coastal programs are established which reclassifies these areas.

Chair Martin asked if the County is issuing private building permits in the Pescadero area in response to the aquifer problem. Mr. Bartoli confirmed that there is no prohibition against issuance of building permits within CSA11. Ms. Minter also echoed this confirmation and added that only properties located within the CSA boundaries can connect to water services.

Chair Martin also questioned how the county determined the agricultural land has not been used for seven years as listed in the staff report. Michael Schaller, Senior Planner with San Mateo County Planning and Building Department, explained that the CSA development area is extremely limited because it is classified by FEMA as being under a mapped floodway. Mr. Schaller highlighted that the only active agricultural areas have consisted of lavender fields and hay production.

Commissioner Draper asked Mr. Fox to verify if a bond measure is not a land use referenda. Mr. Fox agreed with proposition that a bond measure is not a referendum on land use regulation or proposal.

Chair Martin opened the public hearing.

Dr. Patrick Horn, Pescadero Municipal Advisory Councilmember, spoke in favor of delaying the vote for more community engagement.

Robert Skinner, Corresponding Secretary for Pescadero Municipal Advisory Council, spoke in favor of delaying the vote until the next meeting.

Bridget Jett, Pescadero resident, spoke in favor of delaying the vote for more transparency and additional noticing.

BJ Burns, Pescadero resident, spoke in favor of delaying the vote on the proposal.

Katherine Slater-Carter, LAFCo alternate special district member, spoke of delaying the vote on the proposal and encouraging further investigation of the water issue at the school site.

Commissioner Bigstyk requested the technical reason for which LAFCo determined that the agricultural area has not used. Mr. Bartoli stated that in the application submitted by the County, include the environmental documents and reports before the Coastal Commission, that the County has identified the project area as not being in agricultural production.

Commissioner Draper stated that LAFCo is not a land use agency and recommended the Commission support the annexation. The issues investigating how water could be provided to the school site and the impacts on the CSA 11 have previously been evaluated by other agencies through their permitting process.

Commissioner Rarback spoke in favor of the annexation.

Commissioner Chang Kiraly asked for the item to be continued so that the community can be part of these process.

Commission Action: Commissioner Bigstyk moved to approve consideration of LAFCo File 24-08. Commissioner Rarback seconded the motion. Motion was approved by roll call vote 4-1-2. (Ayes: Commissioners Martin, Bigstyk, Draper, Rarback; Noes: Commissioner Chang-Kiraly; Recused: Commissioner Mueller; Absent: Commissioner Slocum)

7. Consideration of Municipal Service Review Circulation Draft for the San Mateo County Harbor District

Commission Mueller rejoined the meeting.

On August 28, 2024, a public hearing notice was published for the September 18, 2024, LAFCo meeting. The public hearing notice included the Consideration of Municipal Service Review (MSR) Circulation Draft for the San Mateo County Harbor District. LAFCo staff anticipated having this report prepared for the September 18 meeting, however, due to the analysis required for this MSR and due to the allocation of additional staff time allocated to finalize a recently approved LAFCo proposal, staff is requesting that this item be continued to the November 20, 2024, LAFCo Commission meeting.

Staff recommended to continue this item to the November 20, 2024, LAFCo Commission meeting.

Chair Martin open and closed public comment. No comments were received, and the item was continued to the next LAFCo meeting.

8. Consideration of Municipal Service Review Circulation Draft for the City of Millbrae

Mr. Bartoli presented the item.

The City's adopted Housing Element proposed an increase to its housing stock by 22% over the next eight years. The City's 2020 Urban Water Management Plan, which forecasted water

demand and availability through 2045, projected that the City's population will increase to over 27,000 residents and that water demand in 2045 will exceed the available water supply.

LAFCo encouraged the City to update the Urban Water Management Plan to align with the projected development in the City's adopted Housing Element.

The City boundaries and SOI are nearly contiguous with the exception of the San Francisco International Airport Lands located along the City's eastern border and west of Highway 101. Although the Capuchino High School site is part of the City of San Bruno it is surrounded by the City of Millbrae. There are no disadvantaged unincorporated communities within the City's SOI. LAFCo is not aware of any deficiencies in agency capacity to meet existing service needs for which the agency does not have a plan in place to resolve.

LAFCo staff recommendations included urging the City review of potential revenue increases or the creation of a dedicated revenue source for stormwater projects and continued collaboration with Central County Fire, the City of Burlingame and the Town of Hillsborough regarding the implementation of recommendations from the Community Risk Assessment/Standards of Cover & Deployment Analysis.

In addition, the City reviews of potential revenue increases for stormwater projects should be extended and development of projections for the Sewer, Water, and Storm Drain enterprise funds to provide the City a more complete assessment of these funds. These projections can be used in conjunction with the CIP to allow the City to allocate appropriate resource to infrastructure projects.

For future budget documents, the City should explore including details about capital improvement costs and operation and maintenance expense for enterprise funds to better illustrate what are ongoing versus one-time costs for these funds.

The City has three main enterprise funds, one for each Sewer, Water, and Storm Drain. These funds for FY24-25 are projected to operate at a deficit, with fund balance used to address the difference. In review of publicly available documents, it appears that these funds will not have enough revenue to address identified CIP projects. However, as budget documents do not differentiate between expenses for capital projects and for ongoing operations and maintenance, LAFCo staff is unable to determine if these are one-time costs or ongoing.

The LAFCo recommended that the storm drain fund receive fees collected as part of property tax, but also supplemented by transfers from the City's General Fund to support storm drain and flood control operations and capital improvements. LAFCo encouraged the City to continue to review potential revenue increases or the creation of a dedicated revenue source for stormwater projects.

Since 2014 fire protection services are provided to the City of Millbrae via a contract with the Central County Fire Department, a JPA between the City of Burlingame and Town of Hillsborough. The Community Risk Assessment/Standards of Cover & Deployment Analysis examined the department's response performance, operations, facilities and apparatus,

organizational structure, governance and mutual cooperation. The Assessment made several systemwide recommendations and noted several observations regarding the City of Millbrae, including noting the lack of representation from the City on the Board and that Fire Station 37 in the City of Millbrae has historically incurred most of the service demand within the service area.

Commissioner Chang-Kiraly requested clarification on how Central County Fire is funded and Mr. Bartoli stated that it is a JPA funded by the member agencies of Burlingame and Hillsborough. Millbrae is charged by the JPA for the services provided.

Chair Martin questioned if the Millbrae Police department is still contracting services from the Sheriff's Department. Mr. Bartoli confirmed that the City is contracting this service with the Sheriff.

Chair Martin opened public comment.

Ann Schneider, Millbrae councilmember, spoke regarding the Millbrae MSR.

Chair Martin closed public comment.

Commissioner Draper recommended that LAFCo staff connects with Ms. Schneider for further input regarding Millbrae MSR.

Commission Action: Commissioner Chang-Kiraly moved to direct the Executive Officer to schedule the Final Municipal Service Review for the City of Millbrae for a public hearing at the next Commission meeting on November 20, 2024, and circulate it with any necessary amendments to the County, cities, and independent special districts. Commissioner Draper seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously by roll call vote. (Ayes: Commissioners Martin, Bigstyck, Chang-Kiraly, Draper, Rarback, Mueller; Absent: Commissioner Slocum)

9. Consideration of Final Municipal Service Review for the City of Foster City and the Estero Municipal Improvement District (EMID)

Mr. Bartoli presented the item.

The City anticipated the use of reserve funds in future years to balance the budget due to a structural deficit and developed a possible revenue measure for Business License Tax to help address this issue. The Council has not yet finalized their vote.

In addition, The City's adopted Housing Element proposed to increase its housing stock by 13% over the next eight years. While water demand for proposed development under the 2023-2031 Housing Element will be able to be met, during single and multiple dry years, EMID's total annual water demand is expected to exceed EMID's available water supplies from 2025 to 2045 with or without the additional demand from the 2023-2031 Housing Element.

LAFCo staff encouraged the City/EMID to continue work related to water conservation to allow the City to meet needs for future development of the City.

The original purpose for EMID was to provide several municipal functions to an unincorporated area in anticipation of urban development and the potential future incorporated on the area. Now that the City of Foster City has been incorporated, the specific purpose of EMID has been fulfilled. EMID sold bonds to finance the major improvements needed for development of the City, of which the last bond payment was made in 2007.

A full merger of EMID with the City could result in long-term operational and administrative cost savings. A study of potential efficiencies and savings could be undertaken to determine the feasibility of this government structure alternative. Currently, the City/EMID have not explored the potential of a merger/dissolution of EMID.

Chair Martin open and closed public comment. No comments were made.

Commission Action: Commissioner Chang-Kiraly moved to approve the approve the consideration of Municipal Service Review Circulation Draft for the City of Foster City and the Estero Municipal Improvement District. Commissioner Bigstycck seconded the motion. Motion passed unanimously by roll call vote. (Ayes: Commissioners Martin, Bigstycck, Chang-Kiraly, Draper, Rarback, Mueller; Absent: Commissioner Slocum)

10. FY 23-24 Year End and FY24-25 Quarterly LAFCo Budget Update – Information Only

Mr. Bartoli opened the presentation. He stated that the LAFCo FY 22-23 final budget was adopted on May 17, 2023. Overall, LAFCo revenues totaled \$623,919 (six hundred twenty-three thousand, nine hundred nineteen dollars) exceeding its budgeted revenue of \$588,323 (five hundred eighty-eight thousand three hundred twenty-three dollars). This included the carryover from FY 22-23 of \$257,707 (two hundred fifty-seven thousand, seven hundred seven dollars). LAFCo received \$53,520 (fifty-three thousand, five hundred twenty dollars) in application fees in FY 23-24 out of a budget amount of \$35,000.

Mr. Bartoli stated that LAFCo expenditures totaled \$613,798 (six hundred thirteen thousand, seven hundred ninety-eight dollars) compared to a budgeted amount of \$738,142 (seven hundred thirty-eight thousand, one hundred (83%). The variance resulted from salary savings due to the vacancy of the Management Analyst position for four months and the vacancy of the LAFCo Clerk position for three. Higher than expected costs include expenditures for legal notices (paid for by application fees) and County Attorney Office (budgeted originally at \$40,000 and then increased to \$80,000.) The carryover funds will be allocated to the reserve fund until the next budget process commences. The ending fund balance for FY 24-25 is \$217,948.

LAFCo has received 99% of the 1/3 apportionment from member agencies. Application revenue to date is \$2,028.

The carryover fund balance from FY 22-23 was \$217,948 (two hundred seventeen thousand, nine hundred forty-eight dollars) approximately \$83,024 (eighty-three thousand twenty-four dollars) more than projected in May. These savings are based on lower than anticipated costs

for the County Attorney and the vacant Management Analyst position. These additional funds have been allocated to one-time costs for the County Attorney and for the LAFCo reserve fund.

LAFCo is within budget for all expenditures for FY 24-25.

11. Legislative and Policy Committee

- a) Legislative Report – Information Only

Mr. Bartoli reported that as of July 9, 2024, CALAFCO is tracking 10 bills.

12. Commissioner/Staff Reports – Information Only

- a) 2023 San Mateo County Crop Report – Information Only

Mr. Bartoli reminded the Attached for the Commissioners information is the 2023 San Mateo County Agricultural Crop Report prepared by the County Department of Agriculture / Weights and Measures; The total estimated gross value of San Mateo County agricultural production in 2023 was \$98,969,000, an increase of 7.4% from 2022.

Mr. Bartoli stated that the upcoming meeting space is pending further confirmation for November. The commission will be kept apprised on the meeting location.

Commissioner Chang-Kiraly announced that the Harbor District is hosting a boat parade and chili cookoff on December 14, 2024.

Commissioner Rarback stated the Summer's End Harvest in Halfmoon Bay will be on September 21, 2024, from 10 am to 5 pm. There will also be a Pumpkin Festival on October 19 and 20 from 9 am to 5 pm.

Commissioner Bigstycck stated that the Pacifica Fog Fest will be September 27 to 28.

13. Conference with Legal Counsel – Existing Litigation (§ 54956.9):

Name of Case: East Palo Alto Sanitary Dist. v. San Mateo Local Agency Formation Comm'n (San Mateo County Superior Court Case No. 24-CIV-01489)

Mr. Fox announced that there was no reportable action from the closed session.

14. Adjournment

The meeting ended at 5:33 PM.