From: <u>Erica Adams</u>
To: <u>Mercedes Segura</u>

Subject: FW: PLN 2020-00467 Correspondence **Date:** Tuesday, May 23, 2023 5:30:59 PM

From: Russell Larsen <rlarsen@gmail.com> Sent: Sunday, May 21, 2023 9:30 PM

Cc: Erica Adams <eadams@smcgov.org>

Subject: Re: PLN 2020-00467

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Sorry I'm a little like a goldfish, when these things disappear I forget about them. This water egress point (349.00) appears to shortly drop off into the creak. I'm not a planner, civil engineer or anything like that (so obviously listen to them), but it looks like that one egress point is taking the runoff from the backside retaining wall, the surface area of the house and driveway/turnaround. I would guess that it'll naturally run off toward the "v" along the driveway just on the other side of the property line, which might impact the driveway in the long run. I don't know if depositing into the creek make sense like 328.00FL.

In the <u>latest planning commission review meeting</u> there are these sentences:

٠.,

The grading plans have been

reviewed by the Department of Public Works, Cal Fire and the Geotechnical Section of the Building and Planning Department. The plans received conditional approvals.

Is there anywhere to look at the what are the conditions of the approvals?

Thanks!

Russell

On Fri, Jan 6, 2023 at 7:41 AM Alexander Bagerman bagerman@gmail.com wrote:

Yes, I believe so

On Thu, Jan 5, 2023 at 11:56 PM Russell Larsen <<u>rlarsen@gmail.com</u>> wrote:

The egress to the system is not as clear. Does the water egress solely through "349.0FL"?

On Thu, Jan 5, 2023 at 3:20 PM Alexander Bagerman < bagerman@gmail.com > wrote:

Russell

According to our civil engineer (if I understood him correctly) there are two feeds leading to the detention system from points marked as 367.0 T.G. I hope this helps Alex

On Wed, Jan 4, 2023 at 7:47 AM Alexander Bagerman < bagerman@gmail.com > wrote:

Russell,

I forwarded your question to our civil engineer and will share the information as soon as I hear back from him.

Alex

On Tue, Jan 3, 2023 at 9:43 PM Russell Larsen < rlarsen@gmail.com> wrote:

Got it, thanks for getting back to me so quickly! The diagram really helps me as a lay person understand the form and function of the device. What is the egress of the detention system, is it the egress marked '349.0 F.L.'?

~Russell

On Tue, Jan 3, 2023 at 8:49 PM Alexander Bagerman < bagerman@gmail.com > wrote:

Hi Russell,

I am forwarding you a copy of the C3 sheet which shows the diagram 7 - Detention system. Let me know if you have any additional questions,

Alex Bagerman

650 504 7424

On Tue, Jan 3, 2023 at 7:55 PM Russell Larsen < rlarsen@gmail.com> wrote:

Hope you had a good New Year. On C3 PDF page 22 there is a diagram 7 that appears to be missing, is this missing in your copy as well? I've created a screenshot of what I'm seeing, maybe it's just the way I'm viewing it?

I'm trying to get a sense for how water drains, and how a water detention system works. Sorry this is last minute, I haven't had much time with the recent weather to focus on this.

Thanks! Russell On Tue, Jan 3, 2023 at 7:29 PM Russell Larsen < rlarsen@gmail.com> wrote:

Hi Erica,

Hope you had a good New Year. On C3 PDF page 22 there is a diagram 7 that appears to be missing, is this missing in your copy as well? I've created a screen shot of what I'm seeing, maybe it's just the way I'm seeing it?

Thanks! Russell

On Tue, Dec 27, 2022 at 1:16 PM Russell Larsen < <u>rlarsen@gmail.com</u>> wrote:

If you are interested.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Erica Adams** < <u>eadams@smcgov.org</u>>

Date: Tue, Dec 27, 2022 at 10:42 AM

Subject: RE: PLN 2020-00467

To: Russell Larsen < rlarsen@gmail.com>

Hello Russell,

Here is the link to the plans.

https://drive.google.com/file/d/1iA4CZUVnRM_Rj5QF7Hv0OLsa1-88Amri/view?usp=share_link

It is going to take a day or so to get the plans uploaded as the secretary is on vacation and I need to find one from another dept.

Let me know if you cannot open the link.

Regards,

Erica D. Adams
Planner III
San Mateo County
Planning and Building Department
455 County Center

Redwood City, CA 94063 T (650) 363-1828 F (650) 363-4849 planning.smcgov.org
From: Russell Larsen < rlarsen@gmail.com > Sent: Saturday, December 24, 2022 9:07 AM To: Erica Adams < eadams@smcgov.org > Cc: Jennifer Larsen < rednissly@gmail.com > Subject: PLN 2020-00467
CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links open attachments or reply.
I noticed the attached posting near my home, I would like to review the plan before the meeting in order to have meaningful comments, but I don't see the plans available through the website in what I believe is the normal places:
https://www.smcgov.org/planning/bayside-design-review-committee https://www.smcgov.org/planning/event/bayside-design-review-hearing- january-4-2023
And as you can see the posted notice doesn't give discernable information.
Thanks and Happy Holidays, Russell
 Sent from Gmail Mobile