## **Amy Ow**

From: Kristen Outten <koutten@swca.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 06, 2019 8:55 PM

To: Camille Leung

Cc: Jessica Henderson-McBean; Amy Ow
Subject: RE: Highland Estates Lot 11 biology report

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Hello Camille,

From what I can tell from the photos, the silt fence is adequate. Once weekly site inspections begin, we will notify the contractor and County right away if we see any areas that require attention/repair.

Thanks, Kristen

From: Camille Leung <cleung@smcgov.org>
Sent: Friday, October 4, 2019 4:20 PM
To: Kristen Outten <koutten@swca.com>

Cc: Jessica Henderson-McBean < JHenderson-McBean@swca.com>; Amy Ow < aow@smcgov.org>

Subject: RE: Highland Estates Lot 11 biology report

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside SWCA. Please use caution when replying.

Hi Kristen,

Is silt fence ok too or needs re-inspection?

Also, I met with Steve regarding the wet season exception and he needs a lot more detail on the process of grading. So we are at least 1-2 weeks away still.

## **Thanks**

From: Kristen Outten [mailto:koutten@swca.com]

**Sent:** Friday, October 04, 2019 4:14 PM **To:** Camille Leung <cleung@smcgov.org>

Cc: Jessica Henderson-McBean < JHenderson-McBean@swca.com >; Amy Ow < aow@smcgov.org >

Subject: RE: Highland Estates Lot 11 biology report

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Hi Camille,

From what I can see from the Tay's photos, the riparian fencing seems adequate to confirm compliance.

The woodrat location on Lot 9 is sufficient.

Thanks, Kristen

From: Camille Leung <<u>cleung@smcgov.org</u>>
Sent: Friday, October 4, 2019 2:30 PM
To: Kristen Outten <<u>koutten@swca.com</u>>

Cc: Jessica Henderson-McBean < JHenderson-McBean@swca.com >; Amy Ow < aow@smcgov.org >

Subject: RE: Highland Estates Lot 11 biology report

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside SWCA. Please use caution when replying.

Hi Kristen,

Thank you ② I will pass along the information to Tay and the contractors.

For the riparian fencing and signage requirements as well as the silt fence requirement, do the pictures suffice and show enough detail to confirm compliance? If not, please arrange a site visit with Tay and the contractor.

Is the woodrat relocation on Lot 9 sufficient?

## **Thanks**

From: Kristen Outten [mailto:koutten@swca.com]

**Sent:** Friday, October 04, 2019 12:12 PM **To:** Camille Leung <cleung@smcgov.org>

Cc: Jessica Henderson-McBean < JHenderson-McBean@swca.com>

Subject: RE: Highland Estates Lot 11 biology report

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of San Mateo County. Unless you recognize the sender's email address and know the content is safe, do not click links, open attachments or reply.

Hello Camille,

SWCA has reviewed the attached memo regarding Tay Peterson's site visit to Lot 11 on 9/24/2019. To ensure compliance with the project's Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) and Conditions of Approval (COA), the following items should be addressed:

- Page 1 of the memo lists the COA measures that apply to Lot 11; however, there is no mention of Mitigation Measure BIO-2a. Mitigation Measure BIO-2a applies to Lot 11, therefore I recommend including it on this list.
- Page 2 summarizes the findings of the California red-legged frog (CRLF) survey. Mitigation Measure BIO-2d states that the pre-construction CRLF survey shall occur "immediately preceding initial ground disturbance activities on Lot 11". Therefore, a follow-up pre-construction CLRF survey will be required, ideally the same day as the start of ground disturbing activities.
- Page 2 states "Ms. Peterson also surveyed the property for woodrat houses. No new houses have been established; there are two houses on the perimeter, outside the construction zone." Please include a map

showing the location of the woodrat houses. How far are they from the impact area? As per Mitigation Measure BIO-2a, the survey needs to determine if active woodrat nests (stickhouses) with young are present within the disturbance zone or within 100 feet of the disturbance zone. If active woodrat nests (stickhouses) with young are identified, a fence shall be erected around the nest site adequate to provide the woodrat sufficient foraging habitat at the discretion of a qualified biologist and based on consultation with the CDFG.

The attached memo also states that temporary fencing and signage is in place around the riparian habitat. Please let me know if you'd like to have SWCA run out to the site to confirm proper installation of erosion and sediment control BMPs (e.g., silt fence keyed in), or if you plan to set up a site visit with the entire team.

Thanks, Kristen

**From:** Taylor Peterson < tpeterson@migcom.com >

Sent: Wednesday, October 2, 2019 5:01 PM

**To:** Camille Leung <<u>cleung@smcgov.org</u>>; Kristen Outten <<u>koutten@swca.com</u>>

**Cc:** Jack Chamberlain < <u>ituttlec@aol.com</u>>; Noel Chamberlain < <u>noel@nexgenbuilders.com</u>>; Robert Pellegrine

<robertpellegrine@yahoo.com>

Subject: Highland Estates Lot 11 biology report

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside SWCA. Please use caution when replying.

Hi Camille and Kristen,

Here is the biology report for a pre-construction survey conducted before the new orange protective fencing and the silt fencing/wattle were installed, and a post installation inspection. Please let me know if there are any questions. Thanks.

Tay

Taylor Peterson Director of Biological Analysis MIG, Inc. 2635 North First Street, Suite 149 San Jose, California 95134 Cell: (650) 400-5767