

Highlands Estates Subdivision Project

Public Inquiry Tracking Table

Inquiry #	Date	Person Taking Inquiry	Inquirer's Contact Information (Name, Phone, Email)
1	12/14/2018	Kristen Outten (SWCA)	Dave Michaels dm94402@gmail.com

1

12/14/2018

Kristen Outten (SWCA)

Dave Michaels
dm94402@gmail.com

2

3/18/2019

Camille Highlands
(County of San Mateo)

Dave Michaels
dm94402@gmail.com

3

8/9/2019

Camille Highlands
(County of San Mateo)

Corrin Brown
corrinbrown15@icloud.com

4

5

Transmittal Type (Email, Phone, In Person, etc.)	Question / Comment

Email

1. Concerned that the County granted permission for removal of heritage oaks, beyond the initial 7 trees that were approved for the project, without issuance of a new Resource Management Permit. *Requests information regarding this potential compliance issue.*

2. Concerned about elevation levels on Lots 5-11, especially Lots 5-8. Requests the following:
 - a. *Verify with you and see the required engineer's or surveyor's data points, as described in Condition 4, Measure AES-1a, that were established at the time of the application*
 - b. *Verify that this was the same data provided to, and used by, Impact Sciences when illustrating to the community the Aesthetic Impacts of the project*
 - c. *Verify that the sea-level data in items 1 and 2 above is the same sea-level data reflected in the sea-level heights of the remaining seven homes of the project currently in planning*
 - d. *Once we have items 1-3 above, we can determine if the "Aesthetic Impacts" section of the EIR is valid and true, or if it needs to be revisited/revise.*

1. Concerns regarding timing of initial dusky-footed woodrat trapping and relocation effort (more than 30 days prior to construction).
2. The developer's biologist "misrepresented the project" in the CDFW dusky-footed woodrat application.
3. The County is ultimately responsible for enforcing compliance with the mitigation measures (not CDFW).

Email

4. Developer's biologist is proposing to trap woodrats during the breeding period, which would be in conflict with the EIR mitigation measures.
5. Dave and others (San Mateo County citizens?) would like a follow-up environmental impact review for Lots 5-11; specifically concerning dusky-footed woodrat, white-tailed kite, the sinking of Ticonderoga Drive, the entire floor area of the project, all heritage trees to be removed, sea-level height of homes, all grading, and possibly more.

Email

Corrin emailed Camille picture of an excavator with the following message: "What is with this earth mover?? All of the neighbors are calling us! Has the permit been issued?"

Response / Resolution	Name of Person Providing Response / Resolution	Date of Response / Resolution
-----------------------	--	-------------------------------

12/17/2018: K. Outten responded to D. Michaels stating that she would look into his inquiry and get back to him shortly.

12/17/2018: Since this is a County planning concern, K. Outten forwarded the email to Camille Leung, as per her request.

12/20/2018: D. Michaels sent follow up email to K. Outten asking for confirmation that a hard card or permit would not be issued until his questions/concerns are clarified.

12/21/2018: K. Outten responded to D. Michaels clarifying SWCA's scope of work, and informed him that she forwarded the inquiry to C. Leung.

03/22/2019: K. Outten emailed Camille Leung providing responses to each of D. Michaels concerns.

08/09/2019: C. Leung replied to Corrin via email explaining that the machine is needed for the construction entrance on Lots 9 and 10.

08/14/2019: Sam Naifeh emailed C. Leung expressing concerns that the applicant was digging before a building permit was issued. S. Naifeh requested (1) a copy of the building permit, (2) a copy of the review of Storm Drainage Plan by Dept of Public Works, and (3) official County confirmation and supporting County engineering analysis to verify construction will not contribute to instability of parcel or adjoining lands.

Additional Notes